Assignment-1 AE630A

Shivank Garg 160658 BT-EE

Problem 1 To Show: $\exp(\hat{\omega}) = R$ where, $\hat{\omega}$ is an anti-symmetric matrix and R is a rotational matrix.

Explanation. From our discussion in Class, we know Rotation matrix is of the form:

$$R = I + \sin \theta \hat{N} + (1 - \cos \theta) \hat{N}^2$$

Also for anti-symmetric matrix,

$$\begin{split} \hat{N}^3 &= -(n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2) \hat{N} = -n^2 \hat{N} \\ \Longrightarrow \hat{N}^{2m+1} &= (-1)^m n^{2m} \hat{N} \text{ and } \hat{N}^{2m} = (-1)^{m-1} n^{2m-2} \hat{N}^2 \end{split}$$

Note: symbols have usual meanings(as defined in class).

Now, use Taylor Series expansion for $\exp(\hat{\omega})$ and separate into even and odd

$$\exp(\hat{\omega}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (\hat{\omega})^n = I + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2m+1)!} (\hat{\omega})^{2m+1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2m)!} (\hat{\omega})^{2m}$$

put $\hat{w}^{2m+1} = (-1)^m n^{2m} \hat{w}$ and $\hat{w}^{2m} = (-1)^{m-1} n^{2m-2} \hat{w}^2$

$$=I+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^mn^{2m}}{(2m+1)!}(\hat{\omega})+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{m-1}n^{2m-2}}{(2m)!}(\hat{\omega})^2$$

Taylor Series expansion of sine and cosine function:

$$\sin(n) = n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{(2m+1)!} n^{2m} \text{ and } 1 - \cos(n) = n^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(2m)!} n^{2m-2}$$

Substitute these in above equation to get:

$$\exp\left(\hat{\omega}\right) = I + \frac{\sin n}{n}\hat{w} + \frac{1 - \cos n}{n^2}\hat{w}^2$$

replace $\frac{\hat{w}}{n}$ by \hat{w}' to get:

$$\exp(\hat{\omega}) = I + (\sin n)\hat{w}' + (1 - \cos n)\hat{w}'^2$$

So, we can see experession on RHS is a rotational matrix (put $\theta=n$) we wrote on the top. Therefore,

$$\exp(\hat{\omega}) = R$$

 \implies Exponential of exp $(\hat{\omega})$ is a rotational matrix

Problem 2 Difference between tangent and gradient.

Explanation. The gradient is can be seen as derivative of a function for multiple variables. It is a vector that points in the same direction as the normal to the function at a given point and shows the rate of change depending on all the given variables of the function.

The tangent vector points along the surface of a function and can represent a plane(for 3D surface) that is parallel to point on that surface. The tangent vector is thus perpendicular to the gradient.

Mathematically,

Gradient of the function
$$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \mapsto y$$
 is the vector $\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2}, ..., \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_n}\right)$
The tangent to a curve $x \mapsto (y_1, y_2, ..., y_m)$ is the vector $\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}y_1}{\mathrm{d}x}, \frac{\mathrm{d}y_2}{\mathrm{d}x}, ..., \frac{\mathrm{d}y_n}{\mathrm{d}x}\right)$

Reference:

- (1). https://math.stackexchange.com/a/2790005
- (2). https://math.stackexchange.com/a/290930
- $(3). \quad \verb|http://math.mit.edu/classes/18.013A/HTML/chapter06/section05. \\ \verb|html|$

Problem 3 To show that trace of product of symmetric and anti-symmetric 3×3 matrix is always zero.

Explanation. Let A is $n \times n$ symmetric matrix and B is $n \times n$ anti-symmetric matrix. So, we know by definition, $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$, $b_{ij} = -b_{ji}$ and $b_{ii} = 0$ for all i and i.

$$tr(AB) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}b_{ji}$$

We can split the summation as below: (note- $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le n$)

$$\implies tr(AB) = \sum_{i>j} \sum_{a_{ij}} a_{ij}b_{ji} + \sum_{i$$

Observe that 3rd term becomes 0 since $b_{ii} = 0$

$$\implies tr(AB) = \sum_{i>j} \sum_{a_{ij}} a_{ij}b_{ji} + \sum_{i< j} \sum_{a_{ij}} a_{ij}b_{ji}$$

Now, in 2nd term put $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$, $b_{ij} = -b_{ji}$, we get:

$$\implies tr(AB) = \sum_{i>j} a_{ij}b_{ji} - \sum_{i< j} a_{ji}b_{ij}$$

Swap the role of i and j in second term, that is $i, j \stackrel{swap}{\longleftarrow} j, i$

$$\implies tr(AB) = \sum_{i>j} \sum_{a_{ij}} a_{ij}b_{ji} - \sum_{j$$

Observe that, both terms cancels out, since they are equal.

$$\implies tr(AB) = 0$$

It's a general derivation true for all positive n.

Problem 4 Prove: $a^Tb = \langle a,b \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}trace(\hat{a}\hat{b})$

Explanation. $\langle a, b \rangle$ is a vector inner product, By the definition of inner product:

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i$$

Now, calculate $a^T b$:

$$a^T b = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \dots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i$$

Hence proved, $a^T b = \langle a, b \rangle$ Now,

$$\hat{a} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a_3 & a_2 \\ a_3 & 0 & -a_1 \\ -a_2 & a_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \hat{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b_3 & b_2 \\ b_3 & 0 & -b_1 \\ -b_2 & b_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$tr(\hat{a}\hat{b}) = (-a_3b_3 - a_2b_2) + (-a_3b_3 - a_1b_1) + (-a_2b_2 - a_1b_1) = -2(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + a_3b_3)$$

$$\implies (a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + a_3b_3) = -\frac{1}{2}trace(\hat{a}\hat{b})$$

$$\implies \langle a, b \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}trace(\hat{a}\hat{b})$$

Hence proved.

Problem 5

Explanation. The controller was tested with different values of **kr** and **kw**. But the it failed to converge to desired value of angle. The controller is unstable. The code to controller(implemented in python notebook) can be found here at this github link. https://github.com/shivankgarg98/AE630-control-codes/blob/master/Assignment1.ipynb

Plot of w against number of iterations. Ideally, it should have converged at (1,1,1)

