


The Power of Choice in Data-Aware Cluster Scheduling

Shivaram Venkataraman¹, Aurojit Panda¹
Ganesh Ananthanarayanan², Michael Franklin¹, Ion Stoica¹

¹UC Berkeley, ²Microsoft Research




Trends: Big Data



Data grows faster than Moore's Law


Trends: Big Data



Facebook Hive cluster
Last 4 years:
data growth **2500x**
queries/day **60x**

Microsoft Scope Cluster
“The number of daily jobs
has **doubled every six
months** for the past two
years.”

Trends: Low Latency



Big Data or Low Latency ?

SQL Query : 2.5 TB on 100 machines



> 15 minutes



1 - 5 Minutes



< 10s

Sampling



Applications


Approximate Query Processing

blinkdb, presto, minitable


Machine learning algorithms

stochastic gradient, coordinate descent


Choices




Choices



Sampling → Smaller Inputs + Choice




Example



Existing

Available (N) = 2


Required (K) = 2



Choice-Aware

Available (N) = 4

Required (K) = 2




Choice-Aware

Available (N) = 4

Launched (M) = 3

Required (K) = 2




KMN Scheduler

- How much can KMN improve locality
- Propagate benefits across stages
- Handling stragglers

Job \rightarrow DAG

KMN
Scheduler




One-to-One

Many-to-One

One-to-One Stages

Locality




Disk ~ 100MB/s

Network ~ 10 Gbps (~1GB/s)

Memory ~ 50GB/s

KMN Locality




Locality, K=100

K – Number of blocks chosen
N – Number of blocks available


— K/N=1.0 — K/N=0.5 — K/N=0.1




KMN significantly improves locality




Many-to-One Stages



**KMN
Scheduler**




Many-to-One Stage




15 transfers

Many-To-One Transfers



Bottleneck Link



Bottleneck Link


Link with Max. transfers

Cross Rack Data Skew


$$\frac{\text{Maximum transfers}}{\text{Minimum transfers}}$$

$$= \frac{6}{2} = 3$$

Facebook Trace



Power of Choice




Load balancing: balls and bins

Insight: Run extra tasks ($M > K$)

Cross Rack Data Skew = 3

Power of Choice




Technique:

Spread out choice of
K tasks to reduce
skew

$M = 7, K = 5$
Cross Rack Data Skew = 2

Handling Stragglers



Stragglers

vs.

Cross-Rack
Data Skew

Using KMN

```
// Create Spark RDD
file = sc.textFile("tpc-h.data")

// Select a 10% sample using KMN
sample = file.blockSample(0.1)

// RDD operations
sample.map { li =>
    (li.linestatus, li.quantity)
}.collect()
```

Also in the paper

User-defined sampling functions

Placing reduce tasks

Killing extra tasks

Evaluation

Facebook traces replay

Long DAGs (Stochastic Gradient Descent)

SQL queries from Conviva


Reducer placement

Varying Utilization


Baseline: Use a pre-selected random sample

Setup: 100 m2.4xlarge EC2 machines, 60GB RAM/mc


Facebook Overall




Cross Rack Skew



How many extra tasks ?




50 - 150 tasks




> 150 tasks

KMN: How many stages ?




**Stochastic
Gradient
Descent**

KMN: How many stages ?




KMN Stages	Time (s)
Gradient	15.27

KMN: How many stages ?




KMN Stages	Time (s)
Gradient	15.27
Gradient + Agg1	12.72

KMN: How many stages ?



KMN Stages	Time (s)
Gradient	15.27
Gradient + Agg1	12.72
Gradient + Agg2	11.79

KMN: How many stages ?



KMN Stages	Time (s)
Gradient	15.27
Gradient + Agg1	12.72
Gradient + Agg2	11.79
Gradient + Agg3	12.09

Related Work

Power of Choice

Power-of-Two choices [TPDS'01]

Sparrow [SOSP'13]


Improving Cluster Scheduling

Quincy [SOSP'09]

alsched [SOCC'12]

Dolly [NSDI'13]

KMN Scheduler



Emerging applications: ML algorithms, AQP
Improves locality, Balances network transfers