

# E9 205 – Machine Learning for Signal Processing

*Homework # 2*

Due date: April 12, 2021

Analytical in writing and report scanned and submitted.

Source code also need to be included.

Name of file should be “Assignment2.FullName.pdf” submitted to teams channel.

Assignment should be solved individually without consent.

April 2, 2021

1. **Maximum Likelihood Classification** Consider a generative classification model with  $K$  classes defined by prior probabilities  $p(C_k) = \pi_k$  and class-conditional densities  $p(\phi|C_k)$  where  $\phi$  is the input feature vector. Suppose that a training data is given  $\{\phi_n, t_n\}$  for  $n = 1, \dots, N$  and  $t_n$  denotes a binary target vector of dimension  $K$  with components  $t_{nj} = \delta_{j,k}$  if input pattern  $\phi_n$  belongs to class  $k$ . Assuming that the data points are drawn independently, show that the ML solution for prior probabilities is given by,

$$\pi_k = \frac{N_k}{N}$$

where  $N_k$  is the number of points belonging to class  $k$ . (Points 10)

2. **Maximum Likelihood Linear Regression** - Kiran is doing his PhD on acoustic channel estimation. In order to estimate the channel characteristics, he designs an experiment in which he records the ultra sound signal at the source as well as at the output of the acoustic channel. Let  $\mathbf{x}_i, i = 1, \dots, N$  and  $\mathbf{y}_i, i = 1, \dots, N$  denote the feature sequence corresponding to the source and channel outputs.

- (a) He begins with an assumption of a linear model for the channel,

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

where the source features  $\mathbf{x}$  are assumed to be non-random and  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  represents i.i.d. channel noise  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$ . Given this model, his advisor Mohan recommends the maximum likelihood (ML) method to estimate the parameters of the channel  $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}, \sigma)$ . How will you solve the problem if you were Kiran ? (Points 10)

- (b) While Kiran is successful in estimating the parameters of his model, Mohan is unhappy with the results when the model is used to approximate a cell phone transmission. Mohan proposes a more complex model where the source ultrasound data  $\mathbf{x}_i, i = 1, \dots, N$  is modeled as a Gaussian mixture model (GMM).

$$\mathbf{x} \sim \sum_{m=1}^M \alpha_m \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_m, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m)$$

Further, the channel is modeled as a linear transformation of the GMM mean components  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_m = \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}_m + \mathbf{b}$ . The covariances are not affected in this model. With the channel outputs  $\mathbf{y}_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ , how will you help Kiran achieve his PhD faster by solving for the channel parameters  $\mathbf{A}_m, \mathbf{b}_m, m = 1, \dots, M$  assuming that the source signal GMM is already estimated. Simplify your result. **(Points 20)**

3. **Implementing GMM** - A set of training and test examples of music and speech are provided.

[http : //www.leap.ee.iisc.ac.in/sriram/teaching/MLSP21/assignments/speechMusicData.tar.gz](http://www.leap.ee.iisc.ac.in/sriram/teaching/MLSP21/assignments/speechMusicData.tar.gz)

Using these examples,

- a Generate spectrogram features - Use the log magnitude spectrogram as before with a 64 component magnitude FFT (NFFT). In this case, the spectrogram will have dimension 32 times the number of frames (using 25 ms with a shift of 10 ms).
- b Train two GMM models with K-means initialization (for each class) separately each with (i) 2 mixtures with diagonal covariance, (ii) 2 mixtures with full covariance and (iii) 5-mixture components with diagonal/full covariance respectively on this data. Plot the log-likelihood as a function of the EM iteration.
- c Classify the test samples using the built classifiers and report the performance in terms of error rate (percentage of mis-classified samples) on the text data.
- e Discuss the impact on the performance for different number of mixture components, diagonal versus full covariance ?

**(Points 30)**

4. **Unsupervised Sentiment Analysis** - Download the movie review data (each line is a individual review)

[http : //www.leap.ee.iisc.ac.in/sriram/teaching/MLSP21/assignments/movieReviews1000.txt](http://www.leap.ee.iisc.ac.in/sriram/teaching/MLSP21/assignments/movieReviews1000.txt)

- a Write a code to extract TF-IDF features for each word from this dataset (remove the labels which are the last entry of each line). Use the average the TF-IDF feature as a document embedding vector (one feature per review) .
- b Perform PCA on embedding vector to reduce to 10 dimensions.
- c Train a two mixture diagonal covariance GMM on this data. Show the progress of the EM algorithm by coloring each data point by assigning the data point to the argmax of posterior probability of mixture component given the data point. Use the first two PCA dimensions for this scatter plot.
- d Check if the cluster identity of (max posterior probability of each review) correlates with true label given for each review.

**(Points 30)**