Internet Appendix for

"Do short sellers affect corporate innovation? Evidence from a policy experiment"

Table A1: Randomization tests based on 5,000 simulated samples for the baseline DiD analysis

This table reports results for randomization tests based on 5,000 simulated samples for the baseline DiD analysis. For each simulation, we draw a random sample of 432 "pilot" firms from the pool of actual pilot and non-pilot firms in the event year (2004), and then treat the rest of the pool (793 of them) as "non-pilot" firms. We then perform the DiD tests as in Columns (2), (4), (6) of Table 3 Panel A on this simulated sample. We repeat the simulation process 5,000 times and summarize the distributions of the coefficients and t-stats for the main variable of interest, *Pilot*Post*.

| Variable | Mean | P25 | Median | P75 | S.D. | N |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|------|
| NSCitePat | | | | | | |
| Coefficient before Pilot*Post | 0.000 | -0.024 | 0.000 | 0.025 | 0.035 | 5000 |
| T-stat for <i>Pilot*Post</i> | 0.016 | -0.685 | -0.008 | 0.712 | 0.995 | 5000 |
| Value | | | | | | |
| Coefficient before Pilot*Post | -0.001 | -0.022 | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.031 | 5000 |
| T-stat for <i>Pilot*Post</i> | -0.015 | -0.697 | 0.000 | 0.656 | 1.006 | 5000 |
| NSCiteRD | | | | | | |
| Coefficient before Pilot*Post | 0.000 | -0.024 | 0.000 | 0.025 | 0.037 | 5000 |
| T-stat for <i>Pilot*Post</i> | 0.015 | -0.656 | 0.005 | 0.690 | 0.998 | 5000 |

Table A2: Cross-sectional tests based on transient institutional ownership

This table reports the results of the difference-in-differences (DiD) tests in the two subsamples based on whether a firm's ex-ante transient institutional ownership (measured in the year 2003) is above or below the sample median. Definitions of variables are listed in the Appendix. The same set of control variables used in Table 3 are included in all regressions. Each regression includes a separate intercept as well as firm and year fixed effects. Standard errors clustered by firm are displayed in parentheses. The last two rows report the Chi-squared (χ^2) test statistics and the corresponding p-values for the difference in the DiD estimators between the subsamples with high and low transient institutional ownership. ***, **, and * indicate significance at the 1, 5, and 10 percent levels, respectively.

| Dep. Var. | $NSCitePat_t$ | | Val | ue t | NSCii | NSCiteRD _t | |
|------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|--|
| | High | Low | High | Low | High | Low | |
| _ | Transient | Transient | Transient | Transient | Transient | Transient | |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | |
| Pilot*Post | 0.110** | 0.053 | 0.097** | 0.015 | 0.075** | 0.056 | |
| | (0.048) | (0.038) | (0.043) | (0.038) | (0.031) | (0.045) | |
| Controls | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Firm FE | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Year FE | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Obs. | 4,296 | 4,289 | 4,296 | 4,289 | 4,296 | 4,289 | |
| R-sq. | 0.800 | 0.830 | 0.800 | 0.867 | 0.790 | 0.800 | |
| χ^2 | 2.560 | | 4.254 | | 0.479 | | |
| P-value | 0.110 | | 0.039 | | 0.489 | | |

Table A3: Summary statistics for litigation risk and stock price informativeness variables

This table reports the summary statistics of the litigation risk and stock price informativeness variables. $PatentCase_SS$ ($PatentCase_All$) is a dummy variable that equals one if a firm experiences any patenting-related lawsuits filed by potential short-sellers (all types of plaintiffs) in a given year, and zero otherwise. These two variables are summarized using the Compustat universe (with available information) over the pre-event period (i.e., three years before Regulation SHO). $LitigationRisk_SS$ ($LitigationRisk_All$) is the fitted probability (estimated following Cohen, Gurun, and Kominers (2019)) that a firm will face a patenting-related lawsuit filed by potential short-sellers (all types of plaintiffs) in a given year. $1 - R^2$ is one minus the r-squared from regressing a firm's daily stock returns on a constant, the CRSP value-weighted market returns, and the returns of the three-digit SIC industry portfolio in a given year. PIN is a firm's probability of informed trading in a given year provided by Brown and Hillegeist (2007).

Panel A: Summary statistics for litigation risk variables

| | Mean | S.D. | P25 | Median | P75 | N |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|
| PatentCase_SS | 0.001 | 0.038 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 15,834 |
| PatentCase_All | 0.019 | 0.135 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 15,834 |
| LitigationRisk_SS | 0.424 | 0.287 | 0.190 | 0.369 | 0.650 | 8557 |
| _LitigationRisk_All | 4.747 | 3.459 | 1.833 | 3.741 | 7.823 | 8557 |

Panel B: Summary statistics for stock price informativeness variables

| | Mean | S.D. | P25 | Median | P75 | N |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| $1 - R^2$ | 0.672 | 0.242 | 0.541 | 0.731 | 0.857 | 8,977 |
| PIN | 0.146 | 0.078 | 0.097 | 0.129 | 0.179 | 8,942 |

Table A4: Testable hypotheses and the expected effects on firms' patent quality, quantity, and innovation investment

This table outlines the three testable hypotheses and their predictions regarding the effects of Regulation SHO on pilot firms' patent quality, quantity, and innovation investment. The *disciplining hypothesis* predicts an increase in patent quality but has no clear implications for patent quantity or innovation investment. The *strategic patenting* argument predicts an increase in patent quality, a decrease in patent quantity, and has no clear implications for innovation investment. The *managerial learning* argument predicts an increase in patent quality, but a decreases in patent quantity and innovation investment.

| Hypothesis | Patent quality | Patent quantity | Innovation investment |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Disciplining hypothesis | + | N/A | N/A |
| Strategic patenting hypothesis | + | - | N/A |
| Managerial learning hypothesis | + | - | - |

Table A5: DiD tests for new product announcements

This table reports the results of the difference-in-differences (DiD) tests on a firm's new product announcement. *AnnCAR* is a firm's sum of all positive cumulative abnormal returns (CAR) around product announcements in a given year. *MajorAnn* is a firm's log number of announcements with the CAR above the 75th percentile in a given year. Definitions of other variables are listed in the Appendix. The same set of control variables used in Table 3 are included in all regressions. Each regression includes a separate intercept as well as firm and year fixed effects. Standard errors clustered by firm are displayed in parentheses. ***, **, and * indicate significance at the 1, 5, and 10 percent levels, respectively.

| Dep. Var. | Ann | CAR_t | $MajorAnn_t$ | | |
|--------------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|--|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | |
| Pilot*Post | 0.005** | 0.004* | 0.022* | 0.019 | |
| | (0.002) | (0.002) | (0.013) | (0.013) | |
| Controls | No | Yes | No | Yes | |
| Firm FE | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Year FE | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Observations | 8,718 | 8,585 | 8,718 | 8,585 | |
| R-squared | 0.537 | 0.546 | 0.515 | 0.521 | |

Table A6: DiD tests for stock price informativeness

This table reports the results of the difference-in-differences (DiD) tests on firms' stock price informativeness measures. $1 - R_t^2$ is one minus the r-squared from regressing a firm's daily stock returns on a constant, the CRSP value-weighted market returns, and the returns of the three-digit SIC industry portfolio in year t. PIN_t is a firm's probability of informed trading in year t provided by Brown and Hillegeist (2007). Definitions of other variables are listed in the Appendix. The same set of control variables used in Table 3 are included in all regressions. Each regression includes a separate intercept as well as firm and year fixed effects. Standard errors clustered by firm are displayed in parentheses. ***, ***, and * indicate significance at the 1, 5, and 10 percent levels, respectively.

| Dep. Var. | 1 - | $-R_t^2$ | P | PIN_t | | |
|--------------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | |
| Pilot*Post | -0.015 | -0.013 | -0.020*** | -0.019*** | | |
| | (0.010) | (0.009) | (0.003) | (0.003) | | |
| Controls | No | Yes | No | Yes | | |
| Firm FE | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |
| Year FE | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |
| Observations | 8,977 | 8,848 | 8,942 | 8,806 | | |
| R-squared | 0.776 | 0.804 | 0.616 | 0.676 | | |

Table A7: Baseline difference-in-differences (DiD) test with additional control variables

This table reports the results of the difference-in-differences (DiD) test of innovation quality, value, and efficiency around Regulation SHO with additional control variables measured in the same year as the innovation outcome variable. AcqCost is acquisition expense scaled by total assets. DA is discretionary accruals constructed using the modified Jones model (Jones, 1991). ForecastBad is a dummy variable that equals one if a firm has at least one management forecast below the median of outstanding analyst forecast in a given year, and zero otherwise. *InsiderSell* is a dummy variable that equals one if a firm has at least one open market sell made by any insiders as recorded in Form 4 in a given year, and zero otherwise. SEO is a dummy variable that equals one if a firm has issued seasoned equity offerings in a given year, and zero otherwise. LogVega is the natural logarithm of the convexity of a CEO's compensation payoffs, provided by Coles, Daniel, and Naveen (2006). Definitions of other variables are listed in the Appendix. We include LogVega only in columns (4) to (6) because the construction of it requires a firm to be covered by Execucomp, which significantly reduces sample size. Other control variables used in Table 3 Panel A, lagged by one year, are also included but not reported. Each regression includes a separate intercept as well as firm and year fixed effects. Standard errors clustered by firm are displayed in parentheses. ***, **, and * indicate significance at the 1, 5, and 10 percent levels, respectively.

| Dep. Var. | $NSCitePat_t$ | $Value_t$ | $NSCiteRD_t$ | $NSCitePat_t$ | $Value_t$ | $NSCiteRD_t$ |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Pilot*Post | 0.077** | 0.052* | 0.068** | 0.050* | 0.067* | 0.086** |
| | (0.033) | (0.029) | (0.031) | (0.030) | (0.038) | (0.038) |
| $AcqCost_t$ | 0.067 | -0.051 | 0.082 | 0.145 | -0.083 | 0.036 |
| | (0.114) | (0.089) | (0.091) | (0.129) | (0.115) | (0.112) |
| DA_t | -0.006 | -0.003 | -0.001 | -0.003 | -0.002 | -0.001 |
| | (0.004) | (0.003) | (0.003) | (0.004) | (0.005) | (0.004) |
| $ForecastBad_t$ | -0.011 | -0.035** | -0.015 | -0.011 | -0.030 | -0.023 |
| | (0.020) | (0.018) | (0.017) | (0.021) | (0.023) | (0.019) |
| $InsiderSell_t$ | 0.017 | 0.014 | 0.008 | -0.010 | 0.062 | -0.007 |
| | (0.030) | (0.025) | (0.025) | (0.037) | (0.047) | (0.039) |
| SEO_t | -0.051 | -0.002 | -0.026 | 0.046 | 0.096 | 0.032 |
| | (0.048) | (0.051) | (0.033) | (0.063) | (0.073) | (0.043) |
| $LogVega_t$ | | | | -0.017* | -0.030*** | -0.016* |
| | | | | (0.009) | (0.010) | (0.009) |
| Controls | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Firm FE | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Year FE | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Observations | 8,483 | 8,483 | 8,483 | 5,265 | 5,265 | 5,265 |
| R-squared | 0.802 | 0.837 | 0.797 | 0.841 | 0.855 | 0.830 |