Explicitly Correlated Driven Similarity Renormalized Group

Shiyu Dong[†] and Chenyang Li[†]

†College of Chemistry, Beijing Normal University



Background

Strongly correlated systems requires methods that extend beyond mean-field theory due to their inherently multiconfigurational nature. Dynamic correlation plays a critical role in refining predictions.

Recent advancements of Driven Similarity Renormalization Group (DSRG) method, offer intruder-state-free frameworks with promising applications in challenging systems like the Cr_2 dimer and organic molecule singlet-triplet gap calculations.

Basis Set Incompleteness Error (BSIE) remains a key limitation in wavefunction-based ab initio electronic structure theory. Explicitly correlated F12 theory has emerged as a robust solution, systematically accelerating basis set convergence by incorporating explicit electron correlation terms.

In this work, we demonstrate that the Canonical Transformed F12 (CT-F12) method provides a universal, accurate, and computationally efficient strategy to eliminate BSIE in multireference systems. T his approach enables high-precision electronic structure calculations while maintaining simplicity in implementation.

Canonical Transformed F12

In nonrelativistic quantum chemistry, the exact hamiltonian can be written as

$$\hat{H} = h_{\nu}^{\mu} \hat{E}_{\mu}^{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} v_{\nu\kappa}^{\mu\lambda} \hat{E}_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu\kappa} \tag{1}$$

where h_{ν}^{μ} and $v_{\nu\kappa}^{\mu\lambda}$ are one electron integral and two electron repulsion integral respectivly. $\mu, \nu, \lambda, \kappa, \cdots$ indicates infinitly numbers of complete one-particle basis. Because Hamiltonian is an infinitly large matrix, CT-F12 use an Unitary Transformation e^A to downfold this into a finite basisset hamiltonian \bar{H} .

$$\hat{\bar{H}} = e^{\hat{A}^{\dagger}} \hat{H} e^{\hat{A}} \tag{2}$$

The transofrmation is determinated by cusp condition, and the operator

$$\hat{A} = \frac{1}{2}G_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(\hat{E}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} - \hat{E}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}) \tag{3}$$

where $\hat{E}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ is spin-free excitation operator (from occupied $(A \cup C)$ to virtual $(V \cup C)$ $CABS \cup \infty$). The amplitude is

$$G_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{3}{8} \langle \alpha\beta | \hat{Q}_{12} F_{12} | ij \rangle + \frac{1}{8} \langle \alpha\beta | \hat{Q}_{12} F_{12} | ji \rangle \tag{4}$$

$$F_{12} = -\frac{1}{\gamma}e^{-\gamma r_{12}} \tag{5}$$

Where the F_{12} is used to model cusp condition \hat{Q}_{12} aims to avoid double-count of configuration in downfoled hamiltonian. And the factor $\frac{3}{8}$, $\frac{1}{8}$ in G distinguishes the coalescence of same-spin or opposite-spin electrons.

Finallyl use BCH formula, and ommit operator more than two body (three body operator is approximated by cumulent approximation), we get

$$\hat{\bar{H}} \approx \hat{H} + [\hat{H}, \hat{A}]_{1,2} + \frac{1}{2} [[\hat{F}, \hat{A}]_{1,2}, \hat{A}]_{1,2}$$
 (6)

$$\hat{\bar{H}} \approx \hat{H} + [\hat{H}, \hat{A}]_{1,2} + \frac{1}{2} [[\hat{F}, \hat{A}]_{1,2}, \hat{A}]_{1,2}$$

$$= \bar{h}_p^q \hat{E}_q^p + \frac{1}{2} \bar{v}_{pq}^{rs} \hat{E}_{rs}^{pq}$$
(6)

F12-DSRG-PT2

In F12-DSRG the dressed hamiltonian \bar{H} is transformed by another unitary transformation, which drives the dressed hamiltonian toward block diagonal format.

$$\hat{\tilde{H}}(s) = U(s)^{\dagger} \hat{\bar{H}} U(s) \tag{8}$$

The unitary transformation is determinated by the condition

$$[\hat{H}(s)]_N = \hat{R}(s) \tag{9}$$

where $[\bar{H}(s)]_N$ is nondiagonal part of hamiltonian and $\hat{R}(s)$ is source operator. U(s) is parameterzed similar to Unitary coupled cluster

$$U(s) = e^{\hat{S}(s)} \quad \hat{S}(s) = \sum_{k} \hat{T}_{k} - \hat{T}_{k}^{\dagger} \quad \hat{T}_{k}(s) = \frac{1}{(k!)^{2}} \sum_{ij\cdots} \sum_{ab\cdots} t_{ab\cdots}^{ij\cdots} \{\hat{a}_{ij}^{ab}\}$$
(10)

And the source operator is choosed to make off-diagonal matrix elements

$$\tilde{v}_{ij}^{ab}(s) = \tilde{v}_{ij}^{ab}(0)e^{-s(\Delta_{ab\cdots}^{ij\cdots})^2}$$
(11)

In DSRG-PT2, after perturbative analysis, we get

$$t_a^i(s) = \frac{[f_a^i + \sum_{ux} \Delta_u^x t_{ax}^{iu}(s) \gamma_u^x][1 - e^{-s(\Delta_{ab}^{ij})^2}]}{\Delta_a^i}$$
(12)

$$t_{a}^{i}(s) = \frac{[f_{a}^{i} + \sum_{ux} \Delta_{u}^{x} t_{ax}^{iu}(s) \gamma_{u}^{x}][1 - e^{-s(\Delta_{ab}^{ij})^{2}}]}{\Delta_{a}^{i}}$$

$$t_{ab}^{ij}(s) = \frac{v_{ab}^{ij}[1 - e^{-s(\Delta_{ab}^{ij})^{2}}]}{\Delta_{ab}^{ij}}$$
(12)

The energy is determined from the eigenvalue of transformed hamiltonina

$$E = \langle \Phi | \hat{\tilde{H}}(s) | \Phi \rangle \tag{14}$$

Where $|\Phi\rangle$ is eigenvector of dressed hamiltonian \bar{H} .

$\mu, \nu, \kappa, \lambda, \cdots$ Complementary Auxiliary (CABS) x, y, \cdots Virtural Orbital Active Orbital Core Orbital

Result

Discussion

- We do not take the basisset incompletness error of cassef into account.
- Most time consumming step is calculation of integral
- Machine Learning (like DeepH) is a promissing way to accelerate this calculation.

References

Li, H., Wang, Z., Zou, N. et al. Deep-learning density functional theory Hamiltonian for efficient ab initio electronic-structure calculation Nat Comput Sci 2, 367–377 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-022-00265-6