# Consumption-based CAPM and GMM

#### **Table of Contents**

Introduction	1
Loading and structuring data	2
Moment conditions	
Object function	2
Long-run covariance matrix (S) and gradient (D)	
GMM estimation using only a constant as instrument	
GMM estimation using additional instrument(s)	

#### Introduction

This script analyses the asset pricing ability of the conventional Consumption-based CAPM (CCAPM) on a specific set of test assets. Specifically, we ask whether the CCAPM can explain the cross-sectional variation in excess returns among decile-sorted, value-weighted momentum portfolios. Those returns will be analysed more in detail in Week 7, and you will see their construction threre.

The CCAPM reads in its SDF representation, using excess returns,

$$E_t \left[ \delta \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{-\rho} (r_{it+1} - r_{ft+1}) \right) \right] = 0$$

for all i = 1, ..., n assets. Nota that  $R_{it} = 1 + r_{it}$  is the gross return such that excess gross returns imply  $R_{it} - R_{ft} = 1 + r_{it} - (1 + r_{ft}) = r_{it} - r_{ft}$ . Its unconditional implications are summarized as

$$E\left[\left(\delta\left(\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t}\right)^{-\rho}(r_{\mathrm{it+1}}-r_{\mathrm{ft+1}})\right)\otimes Z_t\right]=0,$$

where  $Z_t$  is a vector of instruments, including a constant as the first element. We will here consider two applications. One that uses no instruments in addition to the constant and another that uses four instruments in addition to the constant. We will use lagged consumption-growth as instruments for illustrative purposes. This choise is also common in the literature, though also dividend-price ratios, size and value spreads, and other variables have been used.

Data on stock returns and the risk-free rate is obtained from Kenneth French's data library, and we use in this example quarterly data. Data on consumption is the real nondurables plus services personal expenditure per capita series taken from St. Louis Federal Reserve database.

Since consumption inherently is a flow variable, and there is no point-in-time information as for returns, we need an assumption on the timing of actual consumption. The beginning of-period (BOP) timing convention assumes that consumption during period *t* takes place at the beginning of period *t*, while the end-of-period (EOP) timing convention assumes that it takes place at the end of period *t*. Most existing cross-sectional studies have adopted the EOP timing convention, although there are no definite theoretical reasons for choosing the

EOP convention over the BOP convention. When we use BOP, we match  $\frac{c_t}{c_{t-1}}$  with  $r_{\text{it}+1}$ , whereas EOP matches

 $\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t}$  with  $r_{it+1}$ . We employ EOP below, which is most often adopted in the literature.

### Loading and structuring data

We begin by loading pre-processed data on raw returns, the risk-free rate and  $\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t}$ . The file contains data from

1950 to 2018. Note that returns and the risk-free rate are expressed in percentages when downloaded (i.e. multiplied by 100).

```
% Housekeeping
clear;
clc;

% Loading CRSP data
load('momentumConsDataQ.mat');

% Define data variables and get dimensions
rf = table2array(momentumConsData(:,12));
retMom = table2array(momentumConsData(:,2:11));
c = table2array(momentumConsData(:,1));
[nObs,nAss] = size(retMom);
```

### Moment conditions

Now we set uo the (unconditional) moment conditions implied by the CCAPM. We will construct a new function that contains the moment conditions. It is called fMoments\_CCAPM().

```
% The function has two outputs: The sample average of moments and their time series
% Purely to see it workings, let us specify some input variable
ret
       = retMom;
       = ones (nObs, 1);
param = [0.95 5];
cons = c;
% Apply the function
[gT,GT] = fMoments CCAPM(param, ret, rf, cons, z);
% Show qT
qΤ
gT = 1 \times 11
  -0.0340
           0.0083 0.0072
                             0.0066
                                      0.0066
                                              0.0058
                                                       0.0060
                                                                0.0054 •••
```

### **Object function**

The object function we now want to minimize is given by

```
Q_T = g_T(\theta)' A_T g_T(\theta)
```

for some weighting matrix  $A_T$ . The function fGMM\_obj() computes  $Q_T$ .

```
% To see its workings, apply the function with identity matrix as weighting
AT = eye(size(gT,2));
QT = fGMM_obj(param,ret,rf,cons,z,AT)

QT = 0.0015
```

## Long-run covariance matrix (S) and gradient (D)

Now we set up the functions for computing the long-run covariance matrix (S) of the sample moments, the gradient (D) for computing standard errors, and the optimal weighting matrix in the second-stage GMM. They are called fLongRunHAC() and fGradient().

### GMM estimation using only a constant as instrument

We are now ready to conduct our GMM estimation. This can be done as first stage GMM (using the identity matrix as weighting matrix), second stage (using, subsequently, the inverse of the long-run covariance matrix), and iterated GMM (continuing updating the estimates and the long-run covariance matrix). Estimation is done with the function fGMM(). Recall that the GMM estimator is defined as

$$\hat{\theta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} g_T(\theta) A_T g_T(\theta).$$

There exists a variety of numerical optimizers in Matlab. We will use fmincon() here, but one might also use fminsearch(), fminunc(), or another, and one may add a pertubation of starting values to appropriately search for global optima, using e.g. multistart() or globalsearch().

```
% Set starting values of parameters
delta0 = 0.95;
rho0 = 5;
param0 = [delta0,rho0];
flagAndrews = 1;
nLags = 4; % irrelevant when flagAndrews == 1
% Specify instruments
z = ones(nObs,1);
% Specify nIter that determines whether we use first, second or iterated GMM
nIter = 6;
```

```
% Apply fGMM() to obtain GMM estimates and output
         = fGMM(param0, ret, rf, cons, z, flagAndrews, nLags, nIter);
Parameters after optimization stage:1
param = 1x2
   0.6996 91.4125
Parameters after optimization stage:2
param = 1x2
   0.8179 64.7944
Parameters after optimization stage: 3
param = 1x2
   0.8335 59.5574
Parameters after optimization stage: 4
param = 1x2
   0.8355 58.5818
Parameters after optimization stage:5
param = 1x2
   0.8352 58.5826
Parameters after optimization stage:6
param = 1x2
           58.5826
   0.8352
% Have a look at the output
res.theta
ans = 1 \times 2
   0.8352
           58.5826
res.stdErr
ans = 1 \times 2
   0.1122
           33.6986
res.tStat
ans = 1 \times 2
   7.4459
             1.7384
res.J
ans = 7.1764
res.Jpval
```

Try to experiment with choice of iterations in the GMM estimator to understand its impact on the standard errors.

No matter the number of iterations, we observe that the parameter estimates are  $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\delta}, \hat{\rho})^{'}$  such that the value of the subjective discount factor is to the low side, yet the estimate of the relative risk aversion parameter is very high (much in excess of the reasonable range of (0, 10]). This is, yet another, sign of the equity premium puzzle...

### **GMM** estimation using additional instrument(s)

ans = 0.7087

We now consider the case where we use first, second, third, and fourth, lag of consumption growth as instruments, in addition to the constant. We keep everything else constant and there is, therefore, no need for changing any other inputs to the function other than z.

```
Parameters after optimization stage:1
param = 1x2
           90.6482
    0.7018
Parameters after optimization stage:2
param = 1x2
   0.7223
            98.5390
Parameters after optimization stage:3
param = 1x2
    0.7390 101.2647
Parameters after optimization stage:4
param = 1x2
   0.7500 101.3769
Parameters after optimization stage:5
param = 1x2
   0.7577 100.3381
Parameters after optimization stage:6
param = 1x2
   0.7635 98.8964
Parameters after optimization stage:7
param = 1x2
   0.7682
             97.3862
Parameters after optimization stage:8
param = 1x2
   0.7721
            95.9444
Parameters after optimization stage:9
param = 1x2
           94.6157
   0.7756
Parameters after optimization stage:10
param = 1x2
   0.7786
             93.4114
Parameters after optimization stage:11
param = 1x2
   0.7813 92.3252
Parameters after optimization stage:12
param = 1x2
   0.7837
            91.3475
Parameters after optimization stage:13
param = 1x2
    0.7859
            90.4649
Parameters after optimization stage:14
param = 1x2
```

0.7874 89.9157 Parameters after optimization stage:15 param = 1x20.7888 89.3868 Parameters after optimization stage:16 param = 1x20.7901 88.8886 Parameters after optimization stage:17 param = 1x288.4243 0.7912 Parameters after optimization stage:18 param = 1x20.7922 87.9953 Parameters after optimization stage:19 param = 1x20.7932 87.5987 Parameters after optimization stage:20 param = 1x20.7941 87.2319 Parameters after optimization stage:21 param = 1x20.7949 86.8961 Parameters after optimization stage:22 param = 1x20.7956 86.5850 Parameters after optimization stage:23 param = 1x20.7963 86.2961 Parameters after optimization stage:24 param = 1x20.7970 86.0302 Parameters after optimization stage:25

param = 1x2

0.7976 85.7854

Parameters after optimization stage:26 param = 1x2

0.7981 85.5595

Parameters after optimization stage:27 param = 1x2

> 0.7986 85.3497

Parameters after optimization stage:28 param = 1x2

0.7991 85.1565

Parameters after optimization stage:29 param = 1x2

> 0.7995 84.9767

Parameters after optimization stage: 30 param = 1x2

0.7999 84.8102

Parameters after optimization stage:31 param = 1x2

0.8003 84.6567

Parameters after optimization stage: 32 param = 1x2

0.8006 84.5155

Parameters after optimization stage:33 param = 1x2

0.8009 84.3845

Parameters after optimization stage:34 param = 1x2

> 0.8012 84.2620

Parameters after optimization stage:35 param = 1x2

0.8015 84.1474 Parameters after optimization stage:36 param = 1x20.8017 84.0440 Parameters after optimization stage: 37  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8019 83.9472 Parameters after optimization stage:38 param = 1x283.8564 0.8022 Parameters after optimization stage:39 param = 1x20.8024 83.7736 Parameters after optimization stage:40 param = 1x20.8025 83.6961 Parameters after optimization stage:41  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8027 83.6255 Parameters after optimization stage: 42  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8029 83.5581 Parameters after optimization stage:43  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8030 83.4927 Parameters after optimization stage:44  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8032 83.4356  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8033 83.3829 Parameters after optimization stage:46  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8034 83.3330

Parameters after optimization stage:45

Parameters after optimization stage: 47  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

0.8035 83.2831

Parameters after optimization stage: 48  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

0.8036 83.2419

Parameters after optimization stage:49  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

0.8037 83.2029

Parameters after optimization stage:50  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

0.8038 83.1660

Parameters after optimization stage:51  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

0.8039 83.1333

Parameters after optimization stage:52  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

0.8040 83.1014

Parameters after optimization stage:53  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

83.0723 0.8040

Parameters after optimization stage:54  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

0.8041 83.0456

Parameters after optimization stage:55  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

0.8042 83.0187

Parameters after optimization stage:56  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

0.8042 82.9971

Parameters after optimization stage:57

 $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8043 82.9749 Parameters after optimization stage:58  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8043 82.9547 Parameters after optimization stage:59  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8044 82.9351 Parameters after optimization stage:60  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8044 82.9176 Parameters after optimization stage:61  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8044 82.9006 Parameters after optimization stage:62  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8045 82.9006 Parameters after optimization stage: 63  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8045 82.8837 Parameters after optimization stage:64  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8045 82.8703 Parameters after optimization stage:65  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8046 82.8550 Parameters after optimization stage:66  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8046 82.8438 Parameters after optimization stage:67  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8046 82.8351 Parameters after optimization stage:68  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8046 82.8260 Parameters after optimization stage:69  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8046 82.8260 Parameters after optimization stage:70  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8046 82.8260 Parameters after optimization stage:71  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8047 82.8127 Parameters after optimization stage:72  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8047 82.8029 Parameters after optimization stage:73  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8047 82.7933 Parameters after optimization stage:74  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8047 82.7875 Parameters after optimization stage:75  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8047 82.7875 Parameters after optimization stage:76  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8047 82.7796 Parameters after optimization stage:77  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7716 Parameters after optimization stage:78  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7711

Parameters after optimization stage:79  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7677 Parameters after optimization stage:80  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7677 Parameters after optimization stage:81  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7599 Parameters after optimization stage:82  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7554 Parameters after optimization stage:83  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7554 Parameters after optimization stage:84  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7533 Parameters after optimization stage:85  $param = 1 \times 2$ 82.7527 0.8048 Parameters after optimization stage:86  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7483 Parameters after optimization stage:87  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7483 Parameters after optimization stage:88  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7443 Parameters after optimization stage:89  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7398 Parameters after optimization stage:90  $param = 1 \times 2$ 82.7386 0.8048 Parameters after optimization stage:91  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7386 Parameters after optimization stage:92  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7373 Parameters after optimization stage:93  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7373 Parameters after optimization stage:94  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7373 Parameters after optimization stage:95  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7373 Parameters after optimization stage:96  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7373 Parameters after optimization stage:97  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7373 Parameters after optimization stage:98  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7373 Parameters after optimization stage:99  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8048 82.7324 Parameters after optimization stage:100

 $param = 1 \times 2$ 

0.8049 82.7312 Parameters after optimization stage:101  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7311 Parameters after optimization stage:102  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7310 Parameters after optimization stage:103  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7310 Parameters after optimization stage:104  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7310 Parameters after optimization stage:105  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7289 Parameters after optimization stage: 106  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7289 Parameters after optimization stage: 107  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7289 Parameters after optimization stage:108  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7289 Parameters after optimization stage:109  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7289 Parameters after optimization stage:110  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7274 Parameters after optimization stage:111  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7263 Parameters after optimization stage:112  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7241 Parameters after optimization stage:113  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7241 Parameters after optimization stage:114  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7241 Parameters after optimization stage:115  $param = 1 \times 2$ 82.7218 0.8049 Parameters after optimization stage:116  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7208 Parameters after optimization stage:117  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7208 Parameters after optimization stage:118  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7208 Parameters after optimization stage:119  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7187 Parameters after optimization stage:120  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7185 Parameters after optimization stage:121  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7185 Parameters after optimization stage:122

```
param = 1 \times 2
    0.8049 82.7185
Parameters after optimization stage:123
param = 1 \times 2
    0.8049 82.7184
Parameters after optimization stage:124
param = 1 \times 2
    0.8049 82.7184
Parameters after optimization stage:125
param = 1 \times 2
    0.8049 82.7184
% Have a look at the output
res.theta
ans = 1 \times 2
    0.8049
              82.7184
res.stdErr
ans = 1 \times 2
    0.0120
               3.7839
res.tStat
ans = 1 \times 2
   67.1675
              21.8604
res.J
ans = 79.8524
res.Jpval
ans = 0.0127
```

We now reject the CCAPM based on the test of over-identifying restrictions, suggesting that there is information in lagged consumption grwoth that informs about future pricing errors of the model.