# Typical height of the (2+1)-D Solid-on-Solid surface with pinning above a wall in the delocalized phase

Shangjie Yang

Weizmann: Students Probability Day IX

Joint work Naomi Feldheim

11/05/2023

### Organization of the talk

1. Background and model

2. Our results

3. Intuition

Part 1

# Background and model

The solid-on-solid model: a crystal surface model Introduced by [Burton, Cabrera, Frank '51] [Temperley '52] (d+1)-D SOS model on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ :

• Box  $\Lambda_N := [1, N]^d$  External boundary  $\partial \Lambda_N$ 

$$\partial \Lambda_N := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \Lambda_N : \ \exists y \in \Lambda_N, x \sim y \right\}$$

• State space  $\phi \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{\Lambda_N} := \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda_N} = \{f : \Lambda_N \to \mathbb{Z}\}$  Hamiltonian (0 b.c.)

$$\mathcal{H}_{N}(\phi) := \sum_{\substack{\{x,y\} \subset \Lambda_{N} \\ x \sim y}} |\phi(x) - \phi(y)| + \sum_{\substack{x \in \Lambda_{N}, \ y \in \partial \Lambda_{N} \\ x \sim y}} |\phi(x)|.$$

• SOS probability measure ( $\beta > 0$  inverse temperature)

$$egin{aligned} orall \phi \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{N}, & \mathbf{P}_{N}^{eta}(\phi) \coloneqq rac{1}{\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{N}^{eta}} e^{-eta \mathcal{H}_{N}(\phi)} \ & \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{N}^{eta} \coloneqq \sum_{\mathbf{e}} \ e^{-eta \mathcal{H}_{N}(\psi)} \le \left(rac{1+e^{-deta}}{1-e^{-deta}}
ight)^{|\Lambda_{N}|} \end{aligned}$$

### SOS: rigid/rough

- d=1: rough (delocalized) [Temperley '52, '56] [Fisher '84] for all  $\beta>0$ , the expectation of the absolute value of the height at the center diverges in the thermodynamic limit.
- $d \ge 3$ : rigid (localized) [Bricmont, Fontaine, Lebowitz '82] for all  $\beta > 0$ , the expectation of the absolute value of the height at the center is uniformly bounded (by Peierls argument).
- d = 2 a phase transition between rough and rigid
  - ▶ rough: for small  $\beta$  ([Fröhlich, Spencer '81, '83])
  - ▶ rigid: for large  $\beta$  ( [Brandenberger, Wayne '82], [Gallavotti, Martin-Löf, Miracle-Solé '73]).
  - Numerical critical point:  $\beta_c \approx 0.806$

### (2+1)-D SOS above a hard wall

Above a hard wall

$$\forall \phi \in \Omega_{\textit{N}} := \left\{ \phi \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{\textit{N}} : \phi \geq 0 \right\}, \qquad \mathbb{P}_{\textit{N}}^{\beta} \left( \phi \right) := \mathbf{P}_{\textit{N}}^{\beta} \left( \phi \right) / \mathbf{P}_{\textit{N}}^{\beta} \left( \Omega_{\textit{N}} \right).$$

• [Bricmont, Mellouki, and Fröhlich '86]: for large  $\beta$ , the average height H of the surface satisfies

$$\frac{1}{C\beta}\log N \le H \le \frac{C}{\beta}\log N.$$

• [Caputo, Lubetzky, Martinelli, Sly, Toninelli '14] for  $\beta \geq 1$ , the typical height of the surface concentrates at

$$H = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{4\beta} \log N \right\rfloor$$

with fluctuations of order O(1).

### Typical height of (2+1)-D SOS above a wall

### Theorem (Caputo, Lubetzky, Martinelli, Sly, Toninelli '14)

There exist two universal constants C, K > 0 such that for all  $\beta \geq 1$  and all integer  $k \geq K$ , we have for all N,

$$\mathbb{P}_N^{\beta}\left(|\{x\in\Lambda_N:\ \phi(x)\geq H+k\}|>e^{-2\beta k}N^2\right)\leq e^{-Ce^{-2\beta k}N\left(1\wedge e^{-2\beta k}N\log^{-8}N\right)}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}_N^\beta \left( |\{x \in \Lambda_N: \ \phi(x) \leq H - k\}| > e^{-2\beta k} N^2 \right) \leq e^{-e^{\beta k} N}.$$

Entropic repulsion: In the large  $\beta$  regime, the presence of an impenetrable wall pushes the surface up to the height of order  $\frac{1}{4\beta}\log N$ , instead of remaining uniformly bounded when no wall is present.

### The (2+1)-D SOS surface with pinning above a wall

- State space  $\Omega_N = \mathbb{Z}_+^{\Lambda_N}$
- Inverse temperature  $\beta > 0$ , pinning parameter  $h \ge 0$
- Probability measure  $\mathbb{P}_N^{\beta,h}$ : above a wall, with 0 b.c., pinning reward h,

$$\mathbb{P}_{N}^{\beta,h}(\phi) := \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{N}^{\beta,h}} e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_{N}(\phi) + h|\{x \in \Lambda_{N}: \ \phi(x) = 0\}|},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_N^{\beta,h} := \sum_{\phi \in \Omega_N} e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_N(\phi) + h|\{x \in \Lambda: \ \phi(x) = 0\}|} \le e^{h|\Lambda_N|} \left(\frac{1 + e^{-2\beta}}{1 - e^{-2\beta}}\right)^{|\Lambda_N|}.$$

• Free energy  $(\log \mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda}^{\beta,h})$  is superadditive for disjoint boxes  $\Rightarrow \exists \text{ limit}$ 

$$F(\beta, h) := \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \log \mathcal{Z}_N^{\beta, h}$$

 $\mathrm{F}(eta,h)$  is increasing and convex in h by Hölder's inequality:  $\theta \in [0,1]$ 

$$\mathcal{Z}_N^{\beta,\theta h_1 + (1-\theta)h_2} \leq \left(\mathcal{Z}_N^{\beta,h_1}\right)^{\theta} \cdot \left(\mathcal{Z}_N^{\beta,h_2}\right)^{1-\theta}.$$

### The (2+1)-D SOS surface with pinning above a wall

• When  $F(\beta, h)$  is differentiable in h, the convexity allows us to exchange the order of limit and derivative to obtain the asymptotic contact fraction

$$\partial_h \mathbb{F}(\beta, h) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \mathbb{E}_N^{\beta, h} \left[ |\phi^{-1}(0)| \right].$$

• [Chalker '82]: Existence of criticality

$$h_w(eta) := \sup \left\{ h \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \mathrm{F}(eta,h) = \mathrm{F}(eta,0) \right\} > 0 \quad \text{for all } eta > 0$$

separates the delocalized phase  $(\partial_h F(\beta, h) = 0)$  from the localized phase  $(\partial_h F(\beta, h) > 0)$ . Furthermore, for all  $\beta > 0$ 

$$\log\left(\frac{e^{4\beta}}{e^{4\beta}-1}\right) \leq h_w(\beta) \leq \log\left(\frac{16(e^{4\beta}+1)}{e^{4\beta}-1}\right).$$

• [Alexander, Dunlop, Miracle-Solé, '11]: the lower bound above is asymptotically sharp, and when h decreases to  $h_w$  the system undergoes a sequence of layering transitions.

### The (2+1)-D SOS surface with pinning above a wall

• [Lacoin '18]: for  $\beta > \beta_1 \in (\log 2, \log 3)$ 

$$h_w(\beta) = \log\left(\frac{e^{4\beta}}{e^{4\beta} - 1}\right)$$

and there exists a constant  $C_{\beta}$  such that

$$\forall u \in (0,1], \quad C^{-1}u^3 \leq \operatorname{F}(\beta, u + h_w(\beta)) - \operatorname{F}(\beta, h_w(\beta)) \leq Cu^3.$$

- [Lacoin '21]: when  $h > h_w$ , a complete picture of the typical height, the Gibbs states and regularity of the free energy.
- Q: When  $0 \le h \le h_w$ , how does the surface look like?

Part 2

# Our results: typical height in delocalized phase

# Our result (Subcritical regime: $h \in (0, h_w)$ Pinning does not change the typical height (h = 0).

Theorem (N. Feldheim, Y. '23)

Fix 
$$\beta \geq 1$$
,  $h \in (0, h_w)$  and  $N \geq 1$ . Let  $H = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{4\beta} \log N \right\rfloor$ .

There exist universal constants C, K > 0 s.t. for all integer  $m \ge K$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{N}^{\beta,h}\left(\left|\phi^{-1}([H+m,\infty))\right|>e^{-2\beta m}N^{2}\right)\leq e^{-Ce^{-2\beta m}N\left(1\wedge e^{-2\beta m}N\log^{-8}N\right)}.$$

**(**) For  $\delta > 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{N}^{\beta,h}\left(\left|\phi^{-1}([0,H-m])\right| > 2e^{-2\beta m}N^{2}\right) \leq 3e^{-\min\left(\frac{1}{2}e^{2\beta m} - 4\beta(1+\kappa), \, \delta\right)N}.$$

where (for  $h \in (0, h_w)$ ,  $e^{-h} + e^{-4\beta} > 1$ )

$$\kappa(\beta, h, \delta) := \frac{4\beta + \delta}{\log(e^{-h} + e^{-4\beta})}.$$

### At criticality: conjecture and result

At  $h = h_w$ , Lacoin conjectured: the surface height concentrates around

$$H_w := \left\lfloor \frac{1}{6\beta} \log N \right\rfloor,$$

with fluctuations similar to the subcritical regime.

Isolated and non-isolated zeros

$$q_1(\phi) := \{ x \in \Lambda_N : \ \phi(x) = 0, \forall y \in \Lambda_N, y \sim x, \phi(y) \ge 1 \},$$
  
$$q_{2+}(\phi) := \{ x \in \Lambda_N : \ \phi(x) = 0, \exists y \in \Lambda_N, y \sim x, \phi(y) = 0 \}.$$

Theorem (N. Feldheim, Y. '23)

For  $\beta \geq 1$  and  $h = h_w$ , we have for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and C > 0:

$$\mathbb{P}_N^{\beta,h_w}\left(\phi\in\Omega_N:\;|q_{2+}(\phi)|\geq \mathit{CN}\right)\leq e^{-N\left(\frac{\mathit{C}}{20}e^{-6\beta}-4\beta\right)}.$$

### At criticality: lower bound on the height

#### Proposition

For all  $\beta \geq 1$ , C > 0,  $h = h_w$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , letting  $H_w = \lfloor \frac{1}{6\beta} \log N \rfloor$  we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_{N}^{\beta,h_{w}}\left(\left\{|\phi^{-1}(0)| \leq CN^{\frac{4}{3}}\right\} \bigcap \left\{\left|\phi^{-1}([1,H_{w}-m])\right| \geq 2e^{-2\beta m}N^{2}\right\}\right) \\ \leq 2\exp\left(4\beta N + 4\beta CN^{\frac{4}{3}} - \frac{1}{2}e^{2\beta m}N^{\frac{4}{3}}\right). \end{split}$$

It suffices to prove that for large enough C > 0, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathit{N}}^{eta,h_{w}}\left(|q_{1}(\phi)|>\mathit{CN}^{4/3}
ight)=o(1)$$

in order to obtain a lower bound on the typical height of the surface at criticality, matching the conjectured height  $H_w$ .

### Part 3

## Intuition

#### Intuition

### Subcritical regime: typical height $H = \lfloor \frac{1}{AB} \log N \rfloor$

When  $\beta \gg 1$ , the surface is roughly flat and repelled to height H by lifting the inner boundary sites to H. By spatial mixing property, the isolated zeros are roughly IID with cardinality  $N^2 \exp(-4\beta H)$ . At equilibrium the penalty (lifting the boundaries up) and reward from the pinning balance,

$$\exp(-4\beta NH) \exp(hN^2 \exp(-4\beta H)) \approx 1.$$

### At criticality: typical height $H_w = \lfloor \frac{1}{66} \log N \rfloor$

The penalty for lifting the surface up to  $H_w$  is  $\exp(-4\beta NH_w)$ , and the reward is mainly from the zeros of size two with cardinality  $N^2 \exp(-6\beta H_w)$ . At equilibrium, the two effects balance,

$$\exp(-4\beta NH_w)\exp(N^2\exp(-6\beta H_w)) \approx 1.$$

The isolated zeros is roughly IID with cardinality  $N^2e^{-4\beta H_w} = N^{4/3}$ .

# Thank you for your attention!