

Cutoff for polymer pinning dynamics in the repulsive phase

Shangjie Yang




Tsinghua University


2/11/2023

Markov chains

pulsive phase



Introduction to mixing for continuous-time Markov chains



Setup

- Finite state space Ω , elements $x, y, z \dots$
- Generator: $\mathcal{L} = (r(x, y))_{x, y \in \Omega}$ is an $\Omega \times \Omega$ matrix:
 - ▶ Off diagonal elements are nonnegative;
 - ▶ Every row sum is equal to zero.

Homeomorphism $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\Omega$ (for $f \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega$)

$$(\mathcal{L}f)(x) := \sum_{y \in \Omega} r(x, y) (f(y) - f(x)) .$$

- Markov semi-group $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$:

$$P_t := e^{t\mathcal{L}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t\mathcal{L})^k}{k!} ,$$

$$P_t(x, y) \geq 0, \quad \sum_{y \in \Omega} P_t(x, y) = 1 .$$

Markov chain definition

The random process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous-time Markov chain with generator \mathcal{L} and initial distribution ν if it is càdlàg and

-

$$\forall x \in \Omega, \quad \mathbb{P}[X_0 = x] = \nu(x);$$

- Markov property: for $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n < s < s + t$,

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{s+t} = y | X_s = x; X_{t_k} = z_k, \forall k \leq n] = \mathbb{P}[X_{s+t} = y | X_s = x] = P_t(x, y).$$

Invariant probability measure

- μ is an invariant probability measure if

$$(\forall t \geq 0, \mu P_t = \mu) \Leftrightarrow \mu \mathcal{L} = 0.$$

- Irreducible: for all $x \neq y \in \Omega$, there exists a path $\Gamma_{xy} = (x, z_1, \dots, z_{\ell-1}, y)$ with $r(z_{k-1}, z_k) > 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq \ell(x, y)$.

Theorem

If (Ω, \mathcal{L}) is irreducible, there exists a unique invariant probability measure μ , and the distribution \mathbb{P}^ν of $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with initial distribution ν converges to μ , i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{y \in \Omega} \left| \mathbb{P}^\nu [X_t = y] - \mu(y) \right| = 0.$$

Distance to equilibrium

- The total variation distance: two probability measures α, β on Ω ,

$$\|\alpha - \beta\|_{\text{TV}} := \sup_{A \subset \Omega} |\alpha(A) - \beta(A)| .$$

- The distance to equilibrium

$$d(t) := \max_{x \in \Omega} \|P_t(x, \cdot) - \mu\|_{\text{TV}} .$$

- Given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, the ε -mixing time

$$t_{\text{mix}}(\varepsilon) := \inf \{t \geq 0 : d(t) \leq \varepsilon\} .$$

Notation: $t_{\text{mix}} := t_{\text{mix}}(1/4)$.

Markov chain sequence and cutoff

- A sequence of Markov chains $(\Omega_n, \mathcal{L}_n, \mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Omega_n| = \infty$:

$t_{\text{mix}}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)$: the associated ε -mixing time.

Q: How does $t_{\text{mix}}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)$ grow in terms of n and ε ?

- Precutoff:

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_{\text{mix}}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)}{t_{\text{mix}}^{(n)}(1 - \varepsilon)} < \infty.$$

- Cutoff: for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_{\text{mix}}^{(n)}(\epsilon)}{t_{\text{mix}}^{(n)}(1 - \epsilon)} = 1. \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n \left(c t_{\text{mix}}^{(n)} \right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } c > 1. \end{cases}$$




image from Levin and Peres

Spectral gap of reversible chain

- The detailed balance condition: if for all $x, y \in \Omega$

$$\mu(x)r(x, y) = \mu(y)r(y, x). \quad \text{Then } \mu\mathcal{L} = 0.$$

- Spectral gap: minimal nonzero eigenvalue of $-\mathcal{L}$

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\mu := \sum_{x \in \Omega} \mu(x) f(x) g(x), \quad \text{Var}_\mu(f) := \langle f, f \rangle_\mu - \langle f, \mathbf{1} \rangle_\mu^2,$$

$$\text{gap} := \inf_{\text{Var}_\mu(f) > 0} \frac{-\langle f, \mathcal{L}f \rangle_\mu}{\text{Var}_\mu(f)}.$$

- Relaxation time: $t_{\text{rel}} := \frac{1}{\text{gap}}.$


Letting $\mu_{\min} := \min_{x \in \Omega} \mu(x)$, for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$t_{\text{rel}} \log \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \leq t_{\text{mix}}(\varepsilon) \leq t_{\text{rel}} \log \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \mu_{\min}},$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log d(t) = -\text{gap}.$$

Cutoff for polymer pinning dynamics in the repulsive phase

The physical situation we are considering




An interface is an element of $(L \in 2\mathbb{N})$

$$\Omega_L := \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{[0, L]} : \xi(0) = \xi(L) = 0 \text{ and } \forall x, |\xi(x) - \xi(x-1)| = 1 \right\}.$$

The equilibrium measure

Given $\xi \in \Omega_L$,

- $\mathcal{N}(\xi) := \sum_{x=1}^{L-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi(x)=0\}}$ (# contacts with x -axis).




Given $\lambda \geq 0$, define $\mu = \mu_L^\lambda$ the probability on Ω_L :

$$\mu(\xi) = \frac{\lambda^{\mathcal{N}(\xi)}}{Z_L(\lambda)}, \quad Z_L(\lambda) := \sum_{\xi' \in \Omega_L} \lambda^{\mathcal{N}(\xi')}.$$

Corner-flip/Heat Bath dynamics $(\eta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on Ω_L

Each coordinate is updated at rate one.

When an update at x occurs at time t , η_t is sampled according to the conditional equilibrium measure $\mu_L^\lambda(\cdot \mid \eta_{t-}(y), y \neq x)$.



The measure μ satisfies the detailed balance condition, i.e.

$$\mu(\xi)r(\xi, \xi^x) = \mu(\xi^x)r(\xi^x, \xi).$$

\mathbf{P}^ξ : the distribution of the Markov chain $(\eta_t^\xi)_{t \geq 0}$ starting from ξ .

$T_{\text{mix}}^{L,\lambda}(\varepsilon)$: associated ε -mixing time.


Generator

- ξ^x : obtained by flipping the corner at coordinate x of the path ξ provided there is a corner at x and $\xi^x \in \Omega_L$.
- jump rate

$$R_x(\xi) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \xi(x-1) = \xi(x+1) > 1, \\ \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} & \text{if } (\xi(x-1), \xi(x), \xi(x+1)) = (1, 2, 1), \\ \frac{1}{1+\lambda} & \text{if } (\xi(x-1), \xi(x), \xi(x+1)) = (1, 0, 1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Generator: for $f: \Omega_L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$


$$(\mathcal{L}f)(\xi) := \sum_{x=1}^{L-1} R_x(\xi) [f(\xi^x) - f(\xi)].$$



Presentation of our results for the polymer pinning model

- (1) Properties of the model at equilibrium
- (2) Previous results for the polymer pinning dynamics
- (3) Our results for the polymer pinning dynamics in diffusive regime
- (4) Idea: Lower bound on the mixing time for $\lambda \in [0, 2)$
- (5) Idea: Upper bound on the mixing time for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$
- (6) Idea: Upper bound on the mixing time for $\lambda \in (1, 2)$ concerning the extremal initial conditions

Equilibrium Properties [Fisher 1984]



A transition at $\lambda = 2$ between a pinned phase and an unpinned phase. This transition can be seen when looking at the free energy

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L} \log 2^{-L} Z_L(\lambda) = \log \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\lambda-1}} \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda > 2\}} =: F(\lambda).$$

Details about the partition function

- Asymptotic of the partition function

$$2^{-L} Z_L(\lambda) \sim C(\lambda) \times \begin{cases} L^{-3/2} & \text{if } \lambda \in [0, 2), \\ L^{-1/2} & \text{if } \lambda = 2, \\ e^{LF(\lambda)} & \text{if } \lambda \in (2, \infty). \end{cases}$$

- How to calculate it? No positive constraint state space:

$$\tilde{\Omega}_L := \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^{[0, L]} : \xi(0) = \xi(L) = 0 \text{ and } \forall x, |\xi(x) - \xi(x-1)| = 1 \right\}.$$

$$\tilde{Z}_L(\lambda) := \sum_{\xi \in \tilde{\Omega}_L} \lambda^{\mathcal{N}(\xi)}, \quad \tilde{Z}_L(\lambda) = 2Z_L(2\lambda).$$

- Renewal process viewpoint (\mathbf{P} : SRW $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$: renewal law)

$$K(n) := \mathbf{P}(S_1 \neq 0, S_2 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} \neq 0, S_{2n} = 0), \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

$$\tilde{K}(n) := \lambda e^{-2n\tilde{F}(\lambda)} K(n), \quad \tilde{F}(\lambda) = \inf\{\lambda \geq 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{L/2} \tilde{K}(n) \leq 1\}.$$

$$e^{-L\tilde{F}(\lambda)} 2^{-L} Z_L(\lambda) = e^{-L\tilde{F}(\lambda)} \sum_{k=1}^{L/2} \sum_{\substack{(n_1, \dots, n_k) \\ \sum_{i=1}^k n_i = L/2}} \prod_{i=1}^k K(n_i) \lambda$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{L/2} \sum_{\substack{(n_1, \dots, n_k) \\ \sum_{i=1}^k n_i = L/2}} \prod_{i=1}^k \tilde{K}(n_i) = \tilde{\mathbf{P}}(L \in \tau).$$

Previous results: Polymer pinning dynamics

[Caputo, Martinelli, Toninelli '08]:

- When $\lambda \in [0, 2)$, $\text{gap} \asymp L^{-2}$ and there is a precutoff, i.e.

$$\frac{1 + o(1)}{2\pi^2} L^2 \log L \leq T_{\text{mix}}^{L,\lambda}(\epsilon) \leq \frac{6 + o(1)}{\pi^2} L^2 \log L.$$

- When $\lambda = 2$, $\text{gap} \asymp L^{-2}$

$$cL^2 \leq T_{\text{mix}}^{L,\lambda}(1/4) \leq \frac{6 + o(1)}{\pi^2} L^2 \log L.$$

- When $\lambda > 2$, $\text{gap} \leq cL^{-1}$

$$T_{\text{mix}}^{L,\lambda}(1/4) \geq cL^2,$$

where c is independent of λ .

- When $\lambda = \infty$,

$$T_{\text{mix}}^{L,\lambda}(1/4) \leq L^2.$$

[Lacoin '14] identified the constant in the mixing (hitting) time when $\lambda = \infty$ for smooth initial profile.

Our main result: cutoff

Understand the pattern of relaxation to equilibrium, and in particular identify the mixing time.

$$T_{\text{mix}}^{L,\lambda}(\varepsilon) := \inf \left\{ t : \forall \xi \in \Omega_N, \left\| \mathbf{P}_t^\xi - \mu \right\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \varepsilon \right\}.$$


Theorem (Y, '21 (cutoff))

When $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi^2 T_{\text{mix}}^{L,\lambda}(\varepsilon)}{L^2 \log L} = 1.$$

Our main result: partial cutoff

$$\check{T}_{\text{mix}}^{L,\lambda}(\varepsilon) := \inf \left\{ t : \max(\|\mathbf{P}_t^\wedge - \mu\|_{\text{TV}}, \|\mathbf{P}_t^\vee - \mu\|_{\text{TV}}) \leq \varepsilon \right\}.$$



Theorem (Y, '21 (Partial cutoff))

If $\lambda \in (1, 2)$, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi^2 \check{T}_{\text{mix}}^{L,\lambda}(\varepsilon)}{L^2 \log L} = 1.$$

Polymer pinning dynamics

Idea: Lower bound on the mixing time for $\lambda \in [0, 2)$

Idea for the lower bound when $\lambda \in [0, 2)$

A weighted area function $\Phi: \Omega_L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ [introduced by Wilson '04]:

$$\Phi(\xi) := \sum_{x=1}^{L-1} \xi(x) \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{L}\right).$$

- Under equilibrium μ , Φ is at most of order $L^{3/2}$ since

$$\sup_{\lambda \geq 0, L \in 2\mathbb{N}} \sup_{x \in [1, L-1]} \mu_L^\lambda \left(\frac{(\xi(x))^k}{L^{k/2}} \right) < \infty.$$

- For the dynamics $(\eta_t^\wedge)_{t \geq 0}$ starting from the highest path \wedge , Φ is initially of order L^2 ;
- To show the time required by $\Phi(\eta_t^\wedge)$ to become of order $L^{3/2}$ is at least $(1 - o(1)) \frac{1}{\pi^2} L^2 \log L$, we estimate the mean $\mathbf{E}[\Phi(\eta_t^\wedge)]$ and its fluctuation by building a Dynkin's martingale and controlling the martingale bracket.

Idea for Lower bound when $\lambda \in [0, 2)$ [$\kappa_L := 1 - \cos(\pi/L)$]

- [Caputo, Martinelli, Toninelli]

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi(\eta_{t_0}^\wedge)] \geq \Phi(\eta_0^\wedge) e^{-\kappa_L t_0} - c(\lambda) L^{3/2} \geq 2C_\varepsilon L^{3/2}.$$

Notation: $t_0 := \frac{1}{\pi^2} L^2 \log L - C_\varepsilon L^2$ ($C_\varepsilon \gg 1$.)

- Build a Dynkin's martingale: $F(t, \xi) = \exp(\kappa_L(t - t_0))\Phi(\xi)$

$$M_t := F(t, \eta_t^\wedge) - F(0, \eta_0^\wedge) - \int_0^t (\partial_s + \mathcal{L})F(s, \eta_s^\wedge) ds.$$

$$(\partial_t + \mathcal{L})F(t, \eta_t^\wedge) = e^{\kappa_L(t-t_0)}\Psi(\eta_t^\wedge),$$

$$\Psi(\xi) := \sum_{x=1}^{L-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{L}\right) \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\xi(x-1)=\xi(x+1)=0\}} - \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi(x-1)=\xi(x+1)=1\}} \right].$$

Each transition can change M_t in absolute value by at most $2e^{\kappa_L(t-t_0)}$

$$\partial_t \langle M. \rangle_t \leq \sum_{x=1}^{L-1} 4e^{2\kappa_L(t-t_0)} \leq 4Le^{2\kappa_L(t-t_0)}.$$

Idea for Lower bound when $\lambda \in [0, 2)$

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{t_0}^2] = \mathbb{E}[\langle M \cdot \rangle_{t_0}] \leq \int_0^{t_0} 4Le^{2\kappa_L(t-t_0)} dt \leq \frac{8L^3}{\pi^2}.$$

$$\bullet \quad \Psi(\xi) = \sum_{x=1}^{L-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{L}\right) \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\xi_{x-1}=\xi_{x+1}=0\}} - \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi_{x-1}=\xi_{x+1}=1\}} \right].$$

$$B(t) := \int_0^t e^{\kappa_L(s-t_0)} \Psi(\eta_s^\wedge) ds.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|B(t_0)|] &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^{t_0} e^{\kappa_L(t-t_0)} |\Psi(\eta_t^\wedge)| dt\right] \\ &\leq C(\lambda) \kappa_L^{-1} \sum_{x=1}^{L-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{L}\right) \frac{L^{3/2}}{x^{3/2}(L-x)^{3/2}} \leq C(\lambda) L^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$


$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad \mathbb{P}\left[|\Phi(\eta_{t_0}^\wedge) - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(\eta_{t_0}^\wedge)]| \geq C_\varepsilon L^{3/2}\right] &= \mathbb{P}\left[|M_{t_0} + B(t_0) - \mathbb{E}[B(t_0)]| \geq C_\varepsilon L^{3/2}\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left[|M_{t_0}| \geq \frac{1}{3} C_\varepsilon L^{3/2}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[|B(t_0)| \geq \frac{1}{3} C_\varepsilon L^{3/2}\right] \\ &\leq \frac{9\mathbb{E}[M_{t_0}^2]}{C_\varepsilon^2 L^3} + \frac{3\mathbb{E}[|B(t_0)|]}{C_\varepsilon L^{3/2}} \leq \varepsilon \text{ if } C_\varepsilon \text{ is sufficiently large.} \end{aligned}$$

Polymer pinning dynamics

Idea: Upper bound on the mixing time for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

Idea: Upper bound on the mixing time for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

- A graphical construction preserves the monotonicity.



- Partial order “ \leq ” on Ω_L : $(\xi \leq \xi') \Leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \llbracket 0, L \rrbracket, \xi(x) \leq \xi'(x))$.
- The graphical construction preserves monotonicity

$$\xi \leq \xi' \Rightarrow \eta_t^\xi \leq \eta_t^{\xi'}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

$$\eta_t^\vee \leq \eta_t^\xi \leq \eta_t^\wedge, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \forall \xi \in \Omega_L.$$

Idea: Upper bound on the mixing time for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

- Reduce the problem to estimate the coalescing times

$$\|\mathbf{P}_t^\xi - \mathbf{P}_t^\mu\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \sum_{\xi' \in \Omega_L} \mu(\xi') \|\mathbf{P}_t^\xi - \mathbf{P}_t^{\xi'}\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \max_{\xi' \in \Omega_L} \|\mathbf{P}_t^\xi - \mathbf{P}_t^{\xi'}\|_{\text{TV}},$$

$$\|\mathbf{P}_t^\xi - \mathbf{P}_t^{\xi'}\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \mathbb{P}[\eta_t^\xi \neq \eta_t^{\xi'}] \leq \mathbb{P}[\eta_t^\wedge \neq \eta_t^\vee].$$

Coalescing times

$$\tilde{\tau} := \inf \{ t > 0 : \eta_t^\wedge = \eta_t^\vee \},$$

$$\tau' := \inf \{ t > 0 : \eta_t^\vee = \eta_t^\mu \},$$

$$\tau := \inf \{ t > 0 : \eta_t^\wedge = \eta_t^\mu \},$$

$$\tilde{\tau} = \max(\tau, \tau').$$

It is more practical to deal with τ', τ than $\tilde{\tau}$.

Idea: Upper bound on the mixing time for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

- An area function $\overline{\Phi}: \Omega_L \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by

$$\overline{\Phi}(\xi) := \sum_{x=1}^{L-1} \xi(x) \overline{\cos}_\beta(x) \quad \overline{\cos}_\beta(x) := \cos(\beta(x - L/2)/L)$$

where $\beta < \pi$ and β is chosen sufficiently close to π .

$$\xi \leq \xi' \quad \Rightarrow \quad \overline{\Phi}(\xi) \leq \overline{\Phi}(\xi'),$$

$$\delta_{\min} := \min_{\xi \leq \xi', \xi \neq \xi'} (\overline{\Phi}(\xi') - \overline{\Phi}(\xi)) = 2 \cos\left(\frac{\beta(L/2 - 1)}{L}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\pi - \beta).$$

- The area function between the paths $A_t := \delta_{\min}^{-1} [\overline{\Phi}(\eta^\wedge) - \overline{\Phi}(\eta^\mu)]$

$$A_t = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad t \geq \tau.$$

$A_t - A_0 - \int_0^t \mathcal{L}A_s ds$ is a Dynkin martingale

A_t is a supermartingale when $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

- $(f_x(\xi) := \xi_x, \quad \mathcal{L}\xi_x := (\mathcal{L}f_x)(\xi), \quad (\Delta\xi)_x := \frac{1}{2}(\xi_{x-1} + \xi_{x+1}) - \xi_x.)$

$$\mathcal{L}\xi_x = (\Delta\xi)_x + \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi_{x-1}=\xi_{x+1}=0\}} + \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda}\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{\xi_{x-1}=\xi_{x+1}=1\}}.$$

For $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, if $\xi \leq \xi'$,

$$\mathcal{L}\xi_x - (\Delta\xi)_x \geq \mathcal{L}\xi'_x - (\Delta\xi')_x, \quad \forall x \in \llbracket 1, L-1 \rrbracket.$$

$$\sum_{x=1}^{L-1} \overline{\cos}(x) ((\Delta\xi'_x) - (\Delta\xi)_x) = -(1 - \cos(\beta/L)) \sum_{x=1}^{L-1} \overline{\cos}(x) (\xi'_x - \xi_x).$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}\bar{\Phi})(\xi') - (\mathcal{L}\bar{\Phi})(\xi) &= \sum_{x=1}^{L-1} \overline{\cos}(x) ((\Delta\xi'_x) - (\Delta\xi)_x + \mathcal{L}\xi'_x - (\Delta\xi')_x - (\mathcal{L}\xi_x - (\Delta\xi)_x)) \\ &\leq \sum_{x=1}^{L-1} \overline{\cos}(x) ((\Delta\xi'_x) - (\Delta\xi)_x) \\ &= -(1 - \cos(\beta/L)) \sum_{x=1}^{L-1} \overline{\cos}(x) (\xi'_x - \xi_x), \\ &\Rightarrow (A_t)_t \text{ is a supermartingale.} \end{aligned}$$

Idea: Upper bound on the mixing time for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

(1) The decay rate of $\mathbb{E}[A_t]$ is at least $1 - \cos(\beta/L)$:

$$\frac{d\mathbb{E}[A_t]}{dt} = \mathbf{E}[\mathcal{L}A_t] \leq \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{\beta}{L}\right)\right] \mathbf{E}[A_t],$$

$$t_{\delta/2} := \frac{1 + \delta/2}{\pi^2} L^2 \log L, \quad A_{t_{\delta/2}} \ll L^{3/2}.$$

(2) For $t \geq t_{\delta/2}$, applying the supermartingale approach [Labbé, Lacoïn, '20] to show: it only takes an extra amount of time of order L^2 for A_t to shrink from $L^{3/2}$ to zero. Idea:

$\eta > 0$: sufficiently small, $K := \lceil 1/(2\eta) \rceil > 1/(2\eta)$. Define $(\mathcal{T}_i)_{i=2}^K$ by

$$\mathcal{T}_2 := \inf \left\{ t \geq t_{\delta/2} : A_t \leq L^{\frac{3}{2}-2\eta} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_i := \inf \left\{ t \geq \mathcal{T}_{i-1} : A_t \leq L^{\frac{3}{2}-i\eta} \right\}, \text{ for } i \in \llbracket 3, k \rrbracket.$$

$$\mathcal{T}_\infty := \max(\tau_1, t_{\delta/2})$$

To show: $(\Delta\mathcal{T}_i := \mathcal{T}_i - \mathcal{T}_{i-1} \text{ for } 3 \leq i \leq K)$

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\{\mathcal{T}_2 = t_{\delta/2}\} \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=3}^K \{\Delta\mathcal{T}_i \leq 2^{-i} L^2\} \right) \cap \{\mathcal{T}_\infty - \mathcal{T}_K \leq L^2\} \right] = 1.$$

Idea: Upper bound on the mixing time for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

- During the time interval $[\mathcal{T}_{i-1}, \mathcal{T}_i]$ for $3 \leq i \leq K$, apply the surpermartingale approach ([Labbé, Lacoïn '20]) to show w.h.p.

$$\langle A. \rangle_{\mathcal{T}_i} - \langle A. \rangle_{\mathcal{T}_{i-1}} \leq L^{3-2(i-1)\eta + \frac{1}{2}\eta},$$

$$\langle A. \rangle_{\mathcal{T}_\infty} - \langle A. \rangle_{\mathcal{T}_K} \leq L^2.$$


- Compare $\mathcal{T}_\infty - \mathcal{T}_K$ with $\langle A. \rangle_{\mathcal{T}_\infty} - \langle A. \rangle_{\mathcal{T}_K}$. As $\partial_t \langle A. \rangle \geq 1$ for all $t < \mathcal{T}_\infty$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_\infty - \mathcal{T}_K \leq \int_{\mathcal{T}_K}^{\mathcal{T}_\infty} \partial_t \langle A. \rangle dt = \langle A. \rangle_{\mathcal{T}_\infty} - \langle A. \rangle_{\mathcal{T}_K}.$$


- For $3 \leq i \leq K$, to compare $\langle A. \rangle_{\mathcal{T}_i} - \langle A. \rangle_{\mathcal{T}_{i-1}}$ with $\mathcal{T}_i - \mathcal{T}_{i-1}$, we provide a better lower bound on $\partial_t \langle A. \rangle$ in terms of the highest point of η_t^\wedge and the maximal length of a monotone segment of η_t^μ . We use induction method to show that $\mathcal{T}_i - \mathcal{T}_{i-1} \leq 2^{-i} L^2$ for all $i \in \llbracket 3, K \rrbracket$, arguing by contradiction.

Upper bound on the mixing time for $\lambda \in (1, 2)$ concerning extremal initial conditions

- When $\lambda \in (1, 2)$, $(A_t)_t$ is not a super-martingale due to the entropic repulsion of the hard wall.




- Censoring: canceling any prescribed updates in any given spin positions and any chosen time intervals.



- Peres-Winkler inequality: for monotone spin systems, censoring delays mixing for dynamics starting with extremal initial condition.
For any prescribed censoring scheme \mathcal{C} , for all $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$, all $t \geq 0$ and $\xi \in \{\wedge, \vee\}$, we have


$$\|\mathbf{P}_t^\xi - \mu\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \|\mathbf{P}_t^{\xi, \mathcal{C}} - \mu\|_{\text{TV}}.$$

Map the system with $\lambda = 0$ to that with $\lambda = 1$



Idea for the upper bound concerning the maximal initial condition when $\lambda \in (1, 2)$

- We censor updates in those spin positions colored green for $t < t_{\delta/2}$, and don't censor any update for $t \geq t_{\delta/2}$.



Therefore, the dynamics $(\eta_t^{\wedge, \mathcal{C}})_{0 \leq t < t_{\delta/2}}$ does not touch the x -axis except at the two coordinates $x = 0, L$.

- By the cutoff theorem for $\lambda = 1$, the distribution of $\eta_{t_{\delta/2}}^{\wedge, \mathcal{C}}$ is close to μ_L^0 in total variation distance.
- 1) The Radon-Nikodym derivative of μ_L^0 with respect to μ_L^λ is bounded by a constant, by

$$2^{-L} Z_L(\lambda) \sim C(\lambda) \times \begin{cases} L^{-3/2} & \text{if } \lambda \in [0, 2), \\ L^{-1/2} & \text{if } \lambda = 2, \\ e^{LF(\lambda)} & \text{if } \lambda \in (2, \infty). \end{cases}$$

2)

$$\text{gap}_{L,\lambda} \geq \kappa_L = 1 - \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{L}\right).$$

3) Combining Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the reversibility of the Markov chain, for any probability distribution ν on Ω_L , [Caputo, Lacoïn, Martinelli, Simenhaus, Toninelli '12] proves that

$$\|\nu P_t - \mu\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{-t \cdot \text{gap}_{L,\lambda}} \sqrt{\text{Var}_\mu(\rho)},$$

where $\rho := \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$ and $\text{Var}_\mu(\rho) := \mu(\rho^2) - \mu(\rho)^2$.

Therefore, the distribution of $\eta_{t_{\delta/2} + C_\varepsilon L^2}^{\wedge}$ is close to μ .


Idea for the upper bound concerning the minimal initial condition when $\lambda \in (1, 2)$

- (i) Run the dynamics $(\eta_t^\vee)_{0 \leq t < s_0(L)}$ without censoring, where

$$s_0(L) := 10L^{16/9} \log L \ll L^2 \log L.$$

W.h.p. $\eta_{s_0(L)}^\vee$ does not touch the x -axis in the interval $\llbracket M, L - M \rrbracket$ for some M sufficiently large.

- (ii) In the time interval $[s_0(L), s_0(L) + t_{\delta/2})$, censor updates in those spin positions colored green.



Open question

To understand the effect of entropic repulsion on the dynamics. In particular, to prove

For $\lambda \in (1, 2)$, we have

$$T_{\text{mix}}^{L,\lambda}(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1 + o(1)}{\pi^2} L^2 \log L.$$

Thank you for your attention!