

Problem 1

In order to maximize profit, we can create a simple recursive function that makes a cut at some position, k . We are then left with a rod of length $n - k$. We recursively cut the rod at every possible position, returning the optimal solution at the end. This approach is clearly exponential time, but involves re-computing portions of the pipe at each step. We can eliminate this with a "bottom-up" approach. We can create a temporary array to store the solutions of sub-problems and avoid re-computing them. We start by solving for the smaller rods, as they will be used to create our solution.

Algorithm 1 Rod Cutting

```
1: function CUT( $cost, n$ )
2:    $temp \leftarrow [0, \dots, n]$ 
3:   for  $i = 1$  to  $n$  do
4:      $x \leftarrow -\infty$ 
5:     for  $j = 1$  to  $i$  do
6:        $x \leftarrow \text{MAX}(x, cost[j] + temp[i - j])$ 
7:     end for
8:      $temp[i] \leftarrow x$ 
9:   end for
10:  return  $temp[n]$ 
11: end function
```

This algorithm belongs to $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ because the MAX function and the innermost addition are both constant time. We can therefore solve for the running-time with the following sigma-expression.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^i 1 = \frac{n^2 + n}{2} \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$$

The top-down approach (memoization) has identical performance characteristics, but uses a recursive method instead of an iterative one.

Problem 2

To compute the number of unique paths, we can use a naïve recursive algorithm to solve this problem in exponential time. This would involve re-computing the number of unique paths in identical sub-grids several times. To eliminate this, we can store the number of unique paths to get from any point to the destination in an $m \times n$ matrix. We can then use a bottom-up approach to fill the matrix, starting from the destination and iterating up to the start.

Algorithm 2 Unique Paths

```

1: function PATHS( $m, n$ )
2:   temp  $\leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0_{0,0} & 0_{0,1} & \cdots & 0_{0,n-1} \\ 0_{1,0} & 0_{1,1} & \cdots & 0_{1,n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0_{m-1,0} & 0_{m-1,1} & \cdots & 0_{m-1,n-1} \end{pmatrix}$ 
3:   for  $i = 0$  to  $m$  do
4:     temp[ $i$ ][0]  $\leftarrow 1$ 
5:   end for
6:   for  $i = 0$  to  $n$  do
7:     temp[0][ $i$ ]  $\leftarrow 1$ 
8:   end for
9:   for  $i = 1$  to  $m$  do
10:    for  $j = 0$  to  $n$  do
11:      temp[ $i$ ][ $j$ ]  $\leftarrow$  temp[ $i - 1$ ][ $j$ ] + temp[ $i$ ][ $j - 1$ ]
12:    end for
13:  end for
14:  return temp[ $m - 1$ ][ $n - 1$ ]
15: end function

```

This algorithm belongs to $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot m)$, which we can see by solving the following sigma expression.

$$m + n + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^n 1 = m(n+1) + m + n = nm + 2m + n \in \mathcal{O}(nm)$$

It should be that this relation only holds as long as $n \geq 2$. Using combinatorics, we can find an even cleaner solution. We can use the formula $\binom{m+n-2}{n-1}$. Subbing in 5 and 6 for m and n gives us $\binom{9}{5} = 126$.

Problem 3

Suppose we create a function, $f(i, j)$, that return the maximum profit path from the bottom to row to (i, j) . This function will use the value $p(i, j)$ plus the result from the previous row. In this manner, we can avoid re-computing previous rows by filling a matrix in a bottom-up manner. A simpler solution however, would be to memoize the recursive definition. We can construct a recursive definition like so.

$$f(i, j) = \begin{cases} -\infty & j < 0 \\ -\infty & j \geq n \\ p(i, j) & i = 0 \\ \max(f(i-1, j-1), f(i-1, j), f(i, j-1)) + p(i, j) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

By using the definition, we can see that this is an exponential time computation. However, memoization will mean that we only check each ordered pair once. On an $n \times n$ board, this would put us at $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ complexity. To construct the path, we can create an algorithm like this.

Algorithm 3 Checkerboard

```

1: function CONSTRUCT( $i, j, memo, path$ )
2:   if  $i = 0$  then
3:     return  $path$ 
4:   end if
5:    $a \leftarrow memo[i-1][j-1]$  or  $construct(i-1, j-1, memo, path)$ 
6:    $b \leftarrow memo[i-1][j]$  or  $construct(i-1, j, memo, path)$ 
7:    $c \leftarrow memo[i][j-1]$  or  $construct(i, j-1, memo, path)$ 
8:    $memo[i][j] \leftarrow \max(a, b, c) + p(i, j)$ 
9:    $path.push(j)$ 
10:  return  $construct(i-1, j, memo, path)$ 
11: end function
  
```

Problem 4

We can either include or exclude each element in the knapsack. We could use a simple recursive solution to explore every possible combination of includes. Without memoization, this would involve re-computing many include-exclude combinations. We can instead build a table in a bottom-up manner to avoid this needless work.

Our algorithm will begin by populating a table with the base case. Since we cannot add items when we have none to choose from, the solution for the first row is always zero. At each row, i , we will compute the maximum value we could get by including the i 'th element. This will be equal to $temp[i-1][j] + value[i]$.

Algorithm 4 knapsack

```

1: function KNAPSACK( $n, w, weight, value$ )
2:    $temp \leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0_{0,0} & 0_{0,1} & \cdots & 0_{0,n} \\ 0_{1,0} & 0_{1,1} & \cdots & 0_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0_{w,0} & 0_{w,1} & \cdots & 0_{w,n} \end{pmatrix}$ 
3:   for  $i = 1$  to  $n$  do
4:     for  $j = 1$  to  $w$  do
5:        $\text{max exclude} \leftarrow temp[i-1][j]$ 
6:        $\text{max include} \leftarrow -\infty$ 
7:       if  $weight[i] < j$  then ▷ If the knapsack can fit the item
8:          $\text{max include} \leftarrow value[i] + temp[i][j - weight[i]]$ 
9:       end if
10:       $temp[i][j] \leftarrow \max(\text{max include}, \text{max exclude})$ 
11:    end for
12:  end for
13:  return  $temp[n][w]$ 
14: end function

```

This algorithm fills every entry in a $|value| \times |weight|$ table. If the size of these collections are n and w respectively, then the complexity of this algorithm is $\mathcal{O}(nw)$. With the given conditions, the maximum knapsack value is 75.