

Writing Software That's Safe Enough To Drive A Car

Clickbait (how to interpret the title)

A discussion of what the process looks like today. Not a prescription.

Functional safety is...

the absence of unreasonable risk due to hazards caused by malfunctioning behavior of E/E systems.

What does 'safe enough' mean today?

- **ISO 26262**: Standard for managing functional safety of road vehicles
- **MISRA** code guidelines (Motor Industry Software Reliability Association)

Ultimately: C code and static analysis (automated MISRA compliance)

Today's ISO 26262 "State of the Art"

...but how safe is that?

(let's get technical for a minute)

```
int a = 0;  // data
int *b = &a;  // alias
int **c = &b; // alias

*c += 2048; // "corruption" (allowed by static analysis tools)
*b = 1; // crash
```

Alternatively: We can't destroy what we don't own

```
let mut a: i32 = 0;
let mut b: &mut i32 = &mut a;
let c: &&mut i32 = &mut b;

drop(*c); // "corrupt"
//~^ ERROR cannot move out of borrowed content

*b = 1;
//~^ ERROR cannot assign to `*b` because it is borrowed
```

Let's just make our aliases immutable

Q: Have you ever hacked an API by modifying private variables?

```
const int a = 0;
*((int *)&a) = 1;
```

Rust: Nope, still immutable

```
let a: i32 = 0;
*(&mut a) = 1;
//~^ ERROR cannot borrow immutable local variable `a` as mutable
```

```
/* Enumeration intended for use. */
typedef enum { APPLY BRAKE = 1, APPLY THROTTLE = 2 } action e;
/* Ambiguous enumeration that can results dangerous behavior. */
enum { DO NOT SELF DESTRUCT = 1, SELF DESTRUCT = 2, UNDECIDED = 3 };
action e pattern = APPLY THROTTLE;
switch (pattern)
    /* Destructive implications. */
    case DO NOT SELF DESTRUCT: { break; }
    case SELF DESTRUCT: { /*!!*/ break; }
    case UNDECIDED: { break; }
    default: { break; }
```

Mismatch caught

```
enum Action { ApplyBrake = 1, ApplyThrottle = 2, }
enum Destruct { SelfDestruct = 1, DoNotSelfDestruct = 2, Undecided = 3,}

let pattern = Action::ApplyThrottle;

match pattern {
    Destruct::SelfDestruct => panic!("!!"), //~ ERROR mismatched types
    Destruct::DoNotSelfDestruct => {} //~ ERROR mismatched types
    Destruct::Undecided => {} //~ ERROR mismatched types
}
```

If it doesn't compile, it can't crash.

C is proven in use, why change?

Redefining "State of the Art"

MISRA-Rust?

What's next?

Resources

github.com/PolySync/static-analysis-argumentation (code)

polysync.io/blog

- The Challenge of Using C in Safety Critical Applications (white paper)
- Should Safety-Critical Software be Written in C? (blog post)

sheas.blog/talks (slide deck)

Twitter: @shnewto Blog: sheas.blog GitHub: shnewto

Email: shnewto@gmail.com