# Chapter 4

Sho Shirasaka, December 14, 2022

### Exercise 4.2.

By taking derivatives of both sides of  $f^{-1} \circ f(x) = x$ , we obtain

$$D_{f(x)}(f^{-1}) \cdot D_x f = \mathbf{I}. \tag{0.1}$$

# Exercise 4.5.

Consider a characteristic polynomial

$$f(x) = x^2 - \text{tr}(A)x + \text{det}(A).$$
 (0.2)

Its descriminant is  $\operatorname{tr}(A)^2 - 4\operatorname{det}(A) > 2^2 - 4 = 0$ . Moreover, f(0) = 1 > 0 and  $f(1) = 2 - \operatorname{tr}(A) < 0$ . Hence the two eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  of A are real and positive and satisfy  $\lambda_1 < 1 < \lambda_2$ .

The matrix A can be diagonalized as  $A = P \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) P^{-1}$  since the eigenvalues are distinct. Let us define  $y = P^{-1}x$ . This conjugates  $x \mapsto Ax$  and  $y \mapsto \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)y$ . An orbit in the y-coordinate can be expressed as  $(\lambda_1^n y_1(0), \lambda_2^n y_2(0))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, (y_1(0), y_2(0)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . The orbit belongs to  $y_1 y_2 = y_1(0) y_2(0) = \operatorname{const}$ , since  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = \det(A) = 1$ . This is a hyperbola if  $y_1(0)y_2(0) \neq 0$  and a line otherwise. An image of a linear transformation of a hyperbola (resp. a line) by a regular matrix x = Py is a hyperbola (resp. a line). Thus each orbit of the linear map  $x \mapsto Ax$  belongs to a hyperbola (or a line in a degenerate situation).

### Exercise 4.10.

This answer greatly relies on [1] but somewhat elementalized and concretized.

Let  $f_1, f_2$  be  $C^n$  and

$$Q_k(\omega) = \left\{ \pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k) \mid \emptyset \neq \pi_i \subset \omega, \bigcup_{i=1}^k \pi_i = \omega, \ \pi_i \cap \pi_j = \emptyset \text{ and } \min \pi_i < \min \pi_j \text{ for all } i < j \right\}, \quad (0.3)$$

$$Q(\omega) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{|\omega|} Q_k(\omega), \quad Q(\emptyset) = \{\emptyset\}, \quad Q(n) = Q(\{1, \dots, n\}).$$

$$(0.4)$$

Then the Faá di Bruno's formula is given as [2, Sec. 2.4]<sup>1</sup>

$$D^{n}(f_{1} \circ f_{2})(x)\eta_{\omega} = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{Q}(n) \\ (k:=|\pi|)}} D^{k} f_{1}(f_{2}(x)) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} f_{2}(x) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} f_{2}(x) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right), \tag{0.5}$$

where for any  $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)$  let  $|\pi| = k$  denote its length and for any finite subset  $\omega = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\eta_{\omega} = (\eta_{\sigma(\omega_1)}, \cdots, \eta_{\sigma(\omega_n)}) \tag{0.6}$$

where  $\sigma$  is a permutation and  $\sigma(\omega_1) < \cdots < \sigma(\omega_n)$ .

Let  $\tilde{g}(x) := (x, g(x))$ . We take the *n*-th derivatives of the both sides of

$$g \circ f^{s}(\eta, g(\eta)) = f^{u}(\eta, g(\eta)) \Leftrightarrow g \circ f^{s} \circ \tilde{g}(\eta) = f^{u} \circ \tilde{g}(\eta)$$

$$(0.7)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that how the sum is taken in the last expression in the page. 97 is somewhat vague.

and apply the rule (0.5).

$$(l.h.s): D^{n}(g \circ f^{s} \circ \tilde{g})(0)(\eta_{1}, \dots, \eta_{n})$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{Q}(n) \\ (k:=|\pi|)}} D^{k}(g \circ f^{s})(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{Q}(n) \\ (k:=|\pi|)}} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{Q}(k) \\ (k:=|\pi|)}} D^{l}g(0) \left( D^{|\tau_{1}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right)_{\tau_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\tau_{k}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right)_{\tau_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right)_{\tau_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right)_{\tau_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right)_{\tau_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right)_{\tau_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right)_{\tau_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right)_{\tau_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right)_{\tau_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right)_{\tau_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right)_{\tau_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right)_{\tau_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right)_{\tau_{1}} \right)_{\tau_{1}}$$

(r.h.s):  $D^n(f^{\mathbf{u}} \circ \tilde{g})(0)(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ 

$$= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{Q}(n) \\ (k:=|\pi|)}} D^{k} f^{\mathbf{u}}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right). \tag{0.9}$$

Here, we defined

$$\left(D^{|\pi_1|}\tilde{g}(0)\eta_{\pi_1},\dots,D^{|\pi_k|}\tilde{g}(0)\eta_{\pi_k}\right)_{\omega} = \left(D^{|\pi_{\sigma(\omega_1)}|}\tilde{g}(0)\eta_{\pi_{\sigma(\omega_1)}},\dots,D^{|\pi_{\sigma(\omega_n)}|}\tilde{g}(0)\eta_{\pi_{\sigma(\omega_n)}}\right),$$
(0.10)

where  $\sigma(\omega_1) < \cdots < \sigma(\omega_n)$ . Thus we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{Q}(n) \\ (k:=|\pi|)}} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{Q}(k) \\ (k:=|\pi|)}} D^{l} g(0) \left( D^{|\tau_{1}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right)_{\tau_{1}}, \dots, \right) \\
D^{|\tau_{l}|} f^{s}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right)_{\tau_{l}} \right) = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{Q}(n) \\ (k:=|\pi|)}} D^{k} f^{u}(0) \left( D^{|\pi_{1}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{1}}, \dots, D^{|\pi_{k}|} \tilde{g}(0) \eta_{\pi_{k}} \right). \tag{0.11}$$

Let  $D_s, D_u$  denote differentiation w.r.t  $E^s, E^u$  respectively. Let  $x_1 \in E^s, x_2 \in E^u$ . The linearization of the mapping f at the origin is

$$Df(0) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{\mathbf{s}} f^{\mathbf{s}}(0) & D_{\mathbf{u}} f^{\mathbf{s}}(0) \\ D_{\mathbf{s}} f^{\mathbf{u}}(0) & D_{\mathbf{u}} f^{\mathbf{u}}(0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{0.12}$$

The linearized dynamics is invariant on  $E^{s}$  and  $E^{u}$ , thus

$$D_{\rm u}f^{\rm s}(0) = 0, D_{\rm s}f^{\rm u}(0) = 0.$$
 (0.13)

Let us denote  $A_s := D_s f^s(0), A_u := D_u f^u(0)$ . By definition  $\sigma(A_s)$  (resp.  $\sigma(A_u)$ ) is included inside (resp. outside) the unit circle, where  $\sigma(A)$  is the spectrum of A.

Let us derive a few concrete n-th order equations from (0.11).

We can see that

$$Df^{s}(0)D\tilde{g}(0) = (D_{s}f^{s}(0) \ D_{u}f^{s}(0)) \begin{pmatrix} I \\ Dq(0) \end{pmatrix} = A_{s},$$
 (0.14)

$$Df^{\mathrm{u}}(0)D\tilde{g}(0) = A_{\mathrm{u}}Dg(0). \tag{0.15}$$

Thus the first order equation is equivalent to the Sylvester equation

$$Dg(0)A_{\rm s}\eta - A_{\rm u}Dg(0)\eta = 0.$$
 (0.16)

To analyze and solve the Sylvester equation, we resort to the trick of the Kronecker form. Let us denote a space of n-multilinear maps of  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  to  $E_0$  by  $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; E_0)$ . For  $\nu = 1, \dots, n$  let  $(e_{\nu,1}, \dots, e_{\nu, \dim E_{\nu}})$  be an

ordered basis of  $E_{\nu}$  and let  $(e_{\nu,1}^*, \dots, e_{\nu,\dim E_{\nu}}^*)$  be its dual. Then the space  $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; E_0) = E_n^* \otimes \dots \otimes E_1^* \otimes E_0$ , where  $\otimes$  means a tensor product, has a basis

$$(e_{n,r_n}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{1,r_1}^* \otimes e_{0,r_0})_{(r_n,\cdots,r_0)}, \quad (r_n \cdots, r_0) \in \prod_{k=n}^0 \{1,\cdots,\dim E_k\}.$$
 (0.17)

We order the basis (0.17) lexicographically with priority to the first components of  $(r_n, \dots, r_0)$ . A multilinear map  $\tilde{U} \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; E_0)$  has a coordinate representation  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim E_0 \times \dim E_1^* \times \dots \times \dim E_n^*}$  as

$$\tilde{U} = \sum_{(r_n \cdots, r_0) \in \prod_{k=n}^0 \{1, \cdots, \dim E_k\}} U_{r_0 r_1 \cdots r_n} e_{n, r_n}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{1, r_1}^* \otimes e_{0, r_0}. \tag{0.18}$$

Let vec:  $\mathbb{R}^{J_1 \times \cdots \times J_N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\prod_{i=1}^N J_i}$  be a vectorization operator

$$(\operatorname{vec}(U))_i = U_{j_1 \cdots j_N} \quad \text{with} \quad i = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^N \left[ (j_l - 1) \prod_{l'=1}^{l-1} J_{l'} \right].$$
 (0.19)

By  $U \times_n A \in \mathbb{R}^{J_1 \times \cdots J_{n-1} \times I \times J_{n+1} \times \cdots \times J_N}$  we denote an *n*-mode product of a tensor [3]  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{J_1 \times \cdots \times J_N}$  with a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{I \times J_n}$ , defined as

$$(U \times_n A)_{j_1 \dots j_{n-1} i j_{n+1} \dots j_N} = \sum_{j_n=1}^{J_n} U_{j_1 \dots j_N} A_{i j_n}. \tag{0.20}$$

An action of  $\tilde{U} \in E_n^* \otimes \cdots \otimes E_1^* \otimes E_0$  on  $(\tilde{h}_1, \cdots, \tilde{h}_n, \tilde{h}_0^*) \in \prod_{i=1}^n E^n \times E_0^*$  is rewritten in the coordinate representation as  $U \times_1 h_0^* \times_2 h_1^* \times_3 \cdots \times_{n+1} h_n^*$ . The vec operation on this representation provides a convenient Kronecker form (this is a special case of [3, Proposition 3.7 (b)])

$$\operatorname{vec}(U \times_1 h_0^* \times_2 h_1^* \times_3 \dots \times_{n+1} h_n^*) = (h_n^* \otimes \dots \otimes h_1^* \otimes h_0^*) \operatorname{vec}(U), \tag{0.21}$$

where  $\otimes$  denotes the Kronecker product. In the following, we identify any multilinear map and elements in  $E^{s}$ ,  $E^{u}$  as their coordinate representation.

Let  $p = \dim E^{s}$ ,  $q = \dim E^{u}$ . From (0.16), it is obvious that for any  $\eta_0^{\top} \in E^{u*}$ ,  $\eta_1 \in E^{s}$ 

$$\eta_0^{\top} Dg(0) A_{\mathbf{s}} \eta_1 - \eta_0^{\top} A_{\mathbf{u}} Dg(0) \eta_1 = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{vec} \left( Dg(0) \times_1 \eta_0^{\top} \times_2 \eta_1^{\top} A_{\mathbf{s}}^{\top} \right) - \operatorname{vec} \left( Dg(0) \times_1 \eta_0^{\top} A_{\mathbf{u}} \times_2 \eta_1^{\top} \right) = 0 \quad (\because \operatorname{vec}(\cdot) \text{ is linear})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\eta_1^{\top} A_{\mathbf{s}}^{\top} \otimes \eta_0^{\top} I_q - \eta_1^{\top} I_p \otimes \eta_0^{\top} A_{\mathbf{u}}) \operatorname{vec} \left( Dg(0) \right) = 0 \quad (\because (\mathbf{0.21}))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\eta_1^{\top} \otimes \eta_0^{\top}) \cdot (A_{\mathbf{s}}^{\top} \otimes I_q - I_p \otimes A_{\mathbf{u}}) \operatorname{vec} \left( Dg(0) \right) = 0 \quad (\because (AB) \otimes (CD) = (A \otimes C) \cdot (B \otimes D))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (A_{\mathbf{s}}^{\top} \otimes I_q - I_p \otimes A_{\mathbf{u}}) \operatorname{vec} \left( Dg(0) \right) = 0 \quad (\because \eta_0, \eta_1 \text{ are arbitrary}).$$

$$(0.22)$$

The following theorem is well-known [4, Thm. 4.4.5].

#### Theorem 0.1.

If 
$$\sigma(A) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}, \sigma(B) = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m\}, \text{ then } \sigma(I_m \otimes A + B \otimes I_n) = \{\lambda_i + \mu_j \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}.$$

This implies  $A_{\rm s}^{\top} \otimes I_q - I_p \otimes A_{\rm u}$  is regular since  $\sigma(A_{\rm s})$  (resp.  $\sigma(A_{\rm u})$ ) is included inside (resp. outside) the unit circle. Therefore Dg(0) = 0.

The second order equation of (0.11) is

$$D^{2}g(0)(A_{s}\eta_{1}, A_{s}\eta_{2}) - Df^{u}(0)D^{2}\tilde{g}(0)(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}) = D^{2}f^{u}(0)(D\tilde{g}(0)\eta_{1}, D\tilde{g}(0)\eta_{2}). \tag{0.23}$$

In the second term of the l.h.s., the first p columns of  $Df^{\mathrm{u}}(0)$  are zeros, so the first p mode-1 slices of  $D^2\tilde{g}(0)$  do not contribute to the term, i.e.,  $Df^{\mathrm{u}}(0)D^2\tilde{g}(0)(\eta_1,\eta_2)=A_{\mathrm{u}}D^2g(0)(\eta_1,\eta_2)$ . Also, components of  $D^2f^{\mathrm{u}}(0)$  of

indices larger than p+1 do not contribute to the r.h.s. since Dg(0)=0. Namely,  $D^2f^{\mathrm{u}}(0)(D\tilde{g}(0)\eta_1,D\tilde{g}(0)\eta_2)=D_{\mathrm{s}}^2f^{\mathrm{u}}(0)(\eta_1,\eta_2)$ . Then we obtain a multilinear Sylvester equation. For any  $\eta_0^{\top}\in E^{\mathrm{u}*}, \eta_1,\eta_2\in E^{\mathrm{s}}$ 

$$\eta_0^{\top} D^2 g(0) (A_{\mathbf{s}} \eta_1, A_{\mathbf{s}} \eta_2) - \eta_0^{\top} A_{\mathbf{u}} D^2 g(0) (\eta_1, \eta_2) = \eta_0^{\top} D_{\mathbf{s}}^2 f^{\mathbf{u}}(0) (\eta_1, \eta_2) 
\Leftrightarrow (A_{\mathbf{s}}^{\top} \otimes A_{\mathbf{s}}^{\top} \otimes I_q - I_{n^2} \otimes A_{\mathbf{u}}) \operatorname{vec} \left( D^2 g(0) \right) = \operatorname{vec} \left( D_{\mathbf{s}}^2 f^{\mathbf{u}}(0) \right) \quad (\because \eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2 \text{ are arbitrary}).$$
(0.24)

The theorem 0.1 and the following one [4, Thm. 4.2.12]

### Theorem 0.2.

If 
$$\sigma(A) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}, \sigma(B) = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m\}, \text{ then } \sigma(A \otimes B) = \{\lambda_i \mu_j \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}.$$

lead to the regularity of  $(A_s^{\top} \otimes A_s^{\top} \otimes I_q - I_{p^2} \otimes A_u)$ . Thus we obtain

$$D^{2}g(0) = \operatorname{vec}^{-1}\left[ (A_{s}^{\top} \otimes A_{s}^{\top} \otimes I_{q} - I_{p^{2}} \otimes A_{u})^{-1} \operatorname{vec}\left(D_{s}^{2} f^{u}(0)\right) \right]. \tag{0.25}$$

Similarly, the higher order equation of (0.11) lead to a multilinear Sylvester equation of a unique solution, which can be explicitly written using a more complicated Kronecker form.

#### Exercise 4.12.

Inverse map is obtained as

$$\begin{cases} x' = x - 1.6y'(1 - y'^2) \\ y' = y + 1.6x(1 - x^2) \end{cases}$$
 (0.26)

The jacobian of f is given as

$$Df(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1.6(1-3y^2) \\ -1.6(1-3x'^2) & 1-1.6^2(1-3y^2)(1-3x'^2) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (0.27)

f is injective and  $\det(Df(x,y)) \equiv 1$ , so f is area-preserving. The fixed points  $(x_*,y_*)$ s satisfy simultaneous equations  $y(1-y^2)=0, x(1-x^2)=0$ , so  $(x_*,y_*)\in\{0,1,-1\}^2$ . If  $\operatorname{tr}(Df(x_*,y_*))^2-4\det(Df(x_*,y_*))=\operatorname{tr}(Df(x_*,y_*))^2-4>0$ , f is hyperbolic at the fixed point and non-hyperbolic otherwise.

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(Df(x_*,y_*)\right)^2 - 4 = -1.6^2 \times 4(1 - 3y_*^2)(1 - 3x_*^2) + 1.6^4(1 - 3y_*^2)^2(1 - 3x_*^2)^2 > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g(x_*,y_*) := 4 - 1.6^2(1 - 3y_*^2)(1 - 3x_*^2) \begin{cases} < 0 & \text{if } |x_*| + |y_*| \neq 1 \\ > 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \cdot (\because x_*, y_* \text{ are not irrational}) \tag{0.28}$$

We evaluate g on the fixed points as

$$g(0,0) = 4 - 1.6^{2} > 0$$

$$g(0,\pm 1) = g(\pm 1,0) = 4 + 1.6^{2} \times 2 > 0$$

$$g(\pm 1,\pm 1) = 4 - 4 \times 1.6^{2} < 0.$$
(0.29)

Therefore, (0,0) is non-hyperbolic and the others are hyperbolic.

### Exercise 4.20.

We take inner product with  $\xi - \eta$  on both sides of  $\xi = \eta + (\xi - \eta)$  as

$$(\xi - \eta, \xi) = (\xi - \eta, \eta) + ||\xi - \eta||^2$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow 2 - 2\cos\theta = ||\xi - \eta||^2.$$
(0.30)

Then

$$||A\xi - A\eta||^2 = ||A\xi||^2 + ||A\eta||^2 - 2(A\xi, A\eta) \ge ||A\xi||^2 + ||A\eta||^2 - 2||A\xi|| \cdot ||A\eta|| = (\varrho^{-1} - \varrho)^2$$

$$(0.31)$$

$$||A\xi - A\eta||^2 \le ||A||_2^2 ||\xi - \eta||^2 = ||A||_2^2 (2 - 2\cos\theta) \ (\because \text{Eq. } (0.30)). \tag{0.32}$$

Thus the desired inequality is derived.

#### Exercise 4.21.

Let  $v = \xi + \eta, \xi \in E^{\mathrm{u}}, \eta \in E^{\mathrm{s}}$ . Let  $\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}, C_{\mathrm{s}}$  (resp.  $\lambda_{\mathrm{u}}, C_{\mathrm{u}}$ ) be constants which make all tangent vectors in  $E^{\mathrm{s}}$  (resp.  $E^{\mathrm{u}}$ ) stable and define  $C = \max(C_{\mathrm{s}}, C_{\mathrm{u}})$  and  $\lambda = \max(\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}, \lambda_{\mathrm{u}})$ . Also let  $P_{\mathrm{s}}, P_{\mathrm{u}}$  be projections onto  $E^{\mathrm{s}}, E^{\mathrm{u}}$ .

$$\langle v, v \rangle \ge ||\xi||^2 + ||\eta||^2 \ge ||\xi + \eta||^2 = (v, v),$$

$$(0.33)$$

$$\langle v,v\rangle \leq \frac{C_{\rm s}^2||\xi||^2}{1-\lambda_{\rm s}^{2\epsilon}} + \frac{C_{\rm u}^2||\eta||^2}{1-\lambda_{\rm u}^{2\epsilon}} \leq \frac{C^2}{1-\lambda^{2\epsilon}}(||\xi||^2+||\eta||^2) = \frac{C^2}{1-\lambda^{2\epsilon}}(||P_{\rm u}v||^2+||P_{\rm s}v||^2)$$

$$\leq \frac{C^2}{1 - \lambda^{2\epsilon}} (||P_{\mathbf{u}}||_2^2 + ||P_{\mathbf{s}}||_2^2)(v, v). \tag{0.34}$$

Thus we can take  $D = \max\left(1, \frac{C^2}{1-\lambda^{2\epsilon}}(||P_{\mathbf{u}}||_2^2 + ||P_{\mathbf{s}}||_2^2)\right)$ .

### Exercise 4.22.

Let  $M = \max_{||x|| \le \epsilon} ||D^2Q(x)||$ ,  $N = \max_{||x|| \le \epsilon} ||D\varphi(x/\epsilon)||$ . These maxima exist because  $D^2Q$ ,  $D\varphi$  are continuous and the closed ball is compact. The Taylor theorem for  $Q \in C^2$  [Sec. 4.5][5] says that

$$Q(x) = Q(0) + DQ(0)x + R_1(x) = R_1(x) \ (\because Q(0) = 0, DQ(0) = 0), \tag{0.35}$$

$$DQ(x) = DQ(0) + R_2(x) = R_2(x), (0.36)$$

where  $R_1, R_2$  are remainder terms. For x in the closed ball of radius  $\epsilon$ , the mean value inequalities for the remainder terms [Thm. 4.C][5] lead to

$$||Q(x)|| = ||R_1(x)|| \le \frac{1}{2!} \sup_{0 \le \tau \le 1} ||D^2 Q(\tau x)(x, x)|| \le \frac{1}{2!} \sup_{0 \le \tau \le 1} ||D^2 Q(\tau x)|| \cdot ||x|| \cdot ||x|| \le \frac{M}{2} ||x|| \cdot ||x||$$

$$\leq \frac{M\epsilon^2}{2} \tag{0.37}$$

$$||DQ(x)|| = ||R_2(x)|| \le \sup_{0 \le \tau \le 1} ||D^2Q(\tau x)x|| \le M||x|| \le M\epsilon.$$
(0.38)

The differential of  $Q_{\epsilon}$  is

$$DQ_{\epsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} D\varphi(x/\epsilon)Q(x) + \varphi(x/\epsilon)DQ(x). \tag{0.39}$$

Then

$$||DQ_{\epsilon}(x)|| \le \frac{1}{\epsilon}||D\varphi(x/\epsilon)|| \cdot ||Q(x)|| + ||\varphi(x/\epsilon)|| \cdot ||DQ(x)|| \le \frac{M(2M+N)}{2}\epsilon. \tag{0.40}$$

### Exercise 4.23.

## References

- [1] Wolf-Jürgen Beyn and Winfried Kleß. Numerical taylor expansions of invariant manifolds in large dynamical systems. *Numerische Mathematik*, 80(1):1–38, 1998.
- [2] Ralph Abraham, Jerrold E Marsden, and Tudor Ratiu. *Manifolds, tensor analysis, and applications*, volume 75. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [3] Tamara Gibson Kolda. Multilinear operators for higher-order decompositions. Technical report, Sandia National Laboratories (SNL), Albuquerque, NM, and Livermore, CA ..., 2006.
- [4] Horn Roger and R Johnson Charles. Topics in matrix analysis, 1994.
- [5] Eberhard Zeidler. Applied functional analysis: main principles and their applications, volume 109. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.