#### **Discrete Structures**

Faisal Bukhari, PhD
Associate Professor
Department of Data Science, Faculty of Computing and Information Technology, University of the Punjab

#### **Text book**

Discrete Mathematics and Its Application, 7<sup>th</sup> Edition Kenneth H. Rosen

#### References

#### Chapter 1

1. Discrete Mathematics and Its Application, 6<sup>th</sup> Editition by

Kenneth H. Rose

2. Discrete Mathematics with Applications

by

Thomas Koshy

3. Discrete Mathematical Structures, CS 173

by

Cinda Heeren, Siebel Center

These slides contain material from the above resources.

- ☐ Compound propositions that have the same truth values in all possible cases are called logically equivalent.
- ☐ The compound propositions p and q are called logically equivalent if  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is a tautology. The notation  $p \equiv q$  denotes that p and q are logically equivalent.
- Remark: The symbol 

  is not a logical connective and p 

  q is not a compound proposition but rather is the statement that 

  p 

  q is a tautology. The symbol 

  instead of 

  to denote logical equivalence

TABLE 6 Logical Equivalences.			
Equivalence	Name		
$p \wedge T \equiv p$ $p \vee F \equiv p$	Identity laws		
$p \lor T \equiv T$ $p \land F \equiv F$	Domination laws		
$p \lor p \equiv p$ $p \land p \equiv p$	Idempotent laws		
$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	Double negation law		
$egin{aligned} pee q&\equiv qee p\ p& \ p\wedge q&\equiv q\wedge p \end{aligned}$	Commutative laws		
$(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$ $(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$	Associative laws		
$p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$ $p \land (q \lor r) \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$	Distributive laws		
$ abla (p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$ $ abla (p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$	De Morgan's laws		
$p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$ $p \land (p \lor q) \equiv p$	Absorption laws		

## Keywords

"Neither P nor Q" can be rephrased as "It is not the case that P, and it is not the case that Q"

"It is not the case that P, and it is not the case that Q" formulation, which can be written with symbols as "¬P and ¬Q"

In these equivalences, **T** denotes the compound proposition that is **always true** and **F** denotes the **compound proposition** that is **always false**.

## TABLE 7 Logical Equivalences Involving Conditional Statements.

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \lor q$$

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$$

$$p \lor q \equiv \neg p \rightarrow q$$

$$p \land q \equiv \neg (p \rightarrow \neg q)$$

$$\neg (p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \land \neg q$$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \land (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \land r)$$

$$(p \rightarrow r) \land (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \lor q) \rightarrow r$$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \lor (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$$

$$(p \rightarrow r) \lor (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \land q) \rightarrow r$$

# TABLE 8 Logical Equivalences Involving Biconditional Statements.

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow p)$$

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q$$

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$$

$$\neg (p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q$$

## In class quiz

$$(p \to q) \land (p \to r) \equiv p \to (q \land r)$$
  
 $(p \to r) \lor (q \to r) \equiv (p \land q) \to r$ 

## Logical Equivalences Involving Biconditionals

TABLE 8 Logical Equivalences Involving Biconditional Statements.

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \to q) \land (q \to p)$$

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q$$

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$$

$$\neg (p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q$$

Example Use De Morgan's laws to express the negations of "Miguel has a cellphone and he has a laptop computer" and "Heather will go to the concert or Steve will go to the concert ".

## Example cont.

```
Let p: "Miguel has a cellphone"

and q: "He has a laptop computer"

⇒ p ∧ q

¬(p ∧ q) ≡ ¬p ∨ ¬q

¬p = Miguel does not have a cell phone

¬q = He does not have a laptop computer

⇒ ¬p ∨ ¬q = Miguel does not have a cell phone or he does not have a laptop computer
```

## Example cont.

"Heather will go to the concert or Steve will go to the concert"

Let p: "Heather will go to the concert"

and q: "Steve will go to the concert"

```
\Rightarrow p V q
```

$$\neg(p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$

 $\neg p$  = Heather will not go to the concert

 $\neg q$  = Steve will not go to the concert

 $\neg p \land \neg q = \text{Heather will not go to the concert and Steve will not go to the concert}$ 

Example: Show that  $\neg(p \land \neg q) \lor q \equiv \neg p \lor q$  are logically equivalent. You are not allowed to use the Truth Table.

$$\neg(p \land \neg q) \lor q \equiv (\neg p \lor \neg \neg q) \lor q$$

#### using De Morgan Law

$$\because \neg(p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$$

$$\neg(p \land \neg q) \lor q \equiv (\neg p \lor q) \lor q$$

#### using double negation

$$\because \neg \neg q \equiv q$$

$$\neg(p \land \neg q) \lor q \equiv \neg p \lor (q \lor q)$$

#### using associative Law

$$\because (p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$$

$$\neg(p \land \neg q) \lor q \equiv \neg p \lor q$$

#### using idempotent Law

$$d = b \wedge d$$

**Example** Show that  $[p \land (p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow q$  is a tautology. You are not allowed to use the Truth Table.

We use  $\equiv$  to show that  $[p \land (p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow q \equiv T$ .

$$[p \land (p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow q$$

$$\longrightarrow \equiv [p \land (\neg p \lor q)] \rightarrow q$$
substitution for  $\rightarrow$ 

$$\longrightarrow \equiv [(p \land \neg p) \lor (p \land q)] \rightarrow q$$
distributive
$$\longrightarrow \equiv [F \lor (p \land q)] \rightarrow q$$
negation
$$\longrightarrow \equiv (p \land q) \rightarrow q$$
identity
$$\longrightarrow \equiv \neg (p \land q) \lor q$$
substitution for  $\rightarrow$ 

$$= (\neg p \lor \neg q) \lor q$$

$$\longrightarrow \equiv \neg p \lor (\neg q \lor q)$$

$$\longrightarrow \equiv \neg p \lor T$$
negation
$$\longrightarrow \equiv T$$
identity

Mo uso — to s	have that I	·		\ ~ - T
We use $\equiv$ to s	now that [	$\rho \wedge$	$\rho \rightarrow q_{1}$	$\mathbf{j} \rightarrow q = \mathbf{i}$

$[p \land (p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow q$	Reasons			
$\equiv [p \land (\neg p \lor q)] \rightarrow q$	$: p \to q \equiv \neg p \lor q$			
$\equiv [(p \land \neg p) \lor (p \land q)] \rightarrow q$	$p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$			
$\equiv [ F \lor (p \land q)] \rightarrow q$	$p \land \neg p \equiv F$			
$\equiv (p \land q) \rightarrow q$	$: q \vee F \equiv q$			
$\equiv \neg(p \land q) \lor q$	$: p \to q \equiv \neg p \lor q$			
$\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee q$	$\because \neg(p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$			
$\equiv \neg p \lor (\neg q \lor q)$	$:: p \lor (q \lor r) \equiv (p \lor q) \lor r$			
$\equiv \neg p \lor T$	$\because \neg q \lor \mathbf{q} \equiv T$			
≡ T	$\because \neg q \lor T \equiv T$			

**Example:** Show  $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \land \neg q$  are logically equivalent

$$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv \neg (\neg p \lor q)$$
 using  $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \lor q$   
 $\equiv \neg (\neg p) \land \neg q$  De Morgan Law  
 $\equiv p \land \neg q$  Double negation

#### **Suggested Readings**

**1.3 Propositional Equivalences**