



**Bahria University**  
Discovering Knowledge

## **BAHRIA UNIVERSITY** **(Karachi Campus)**

*Department of Software Engineering*  
**ASSIGNMENT#03 – Spring 2023**

### **COMPLEX ENGINEERING PROBLEM**

Based on CLO-3

COURSE TITLE: **Database Management System**  
Class: **BSE – 4A & B**  
Course Instructor: **ENGR. LARAIB SIDDIQUI**  
Assignment Date : **6<sup>th</sup> JUNE 2023**

COURSE CODE: **CSC-220**  
Shift: **Morning**  
Max. Marks: **06 Marks**  
Due Date : **13<sup>th</sup> JUNE 2023**

---

This assignment is based on the following CEP attributes:

- Cannot be resolved without in-depth engineering knowledge.
- Have no obvious solution and require abstract thinking and originality in analysis to formulate suitable models.

#### **Question**

Consider three transactions given below to create one serial schedule and two concurrent schedules with different time slices. Also, assume different orders for the transaction's timestamps e.g. the order  $T_1 < T_2 < T_3 < T_4$  ( $T_1$  is the oldest). Then check for view serializability and conflict serializability.

- $T_1 : I_1 R(Y) I_2 R(Z) I_3 R(B) I_4 B := B + (Y^*.1) + Z^*.2 I_5 Y := Y - Y^*.1 I_6 Z := Z - Z^*.2 I_7 W(B) I_8 W(Y) I_9 W(Z)$
- $T_2 : I_1 R(D) I_2 R(Y) I_3 D := D - 50 I_4 W(D) I_5 Y := Y + 50 I_6 W(Y)$
- $T_3 : I_1 R(Z) I_2 R(D) I_3 Z := Z - 5 I_4 W(Z) I_5 D := D + 5 I_6 W(D)$

- a) Apply shared lock ( $L_s$ ), exclusive lock ( $L_x$ ) and unlock ( $U_s$  or  $U_x$ ) on any one of the schedules produced in part a) for  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  on database items B, D, Y and Z. Then simulate how these transactions are executed under the wait-die and wound-wait prevention strategy. For the simulation, assume that these transactions are executed in a round-robin fashion.

When it is a transaction's turn, it executes its next lock or unlock step if it can, and otherwise dies or waits or wounds the holder of the lock, as appropriate. When a waiting

transaction is restarted (due to the action of some other transaction) it becomes eligible to run at its very next turn.

Make a table to show the sequence of steps that these transactions make under each policy. Use  $L_x(Y)$  to denote exclusive locking an object Y,  $U_x(Y)$  to denote unlocking Y, similarly  $L_s(Y)$  and  $U_s(Y)$  for locking and unlocking shared lock on Y, “Die” to denote the transaction dying, “Wound” being wounded, and “Wait” to denote the transaction waiting. If transaction A is wounded by some other transaction B, transaction A’s next action is ‘Die’. In the grid, the cell for [i, j] (row i column T<sub>j</sub>) represents the i<sup>th</sup> action of T<sub>j</sub>. We have filled out a few cells to illustrate how you should fill out the rest of the table if cycle is for two instructions. Simulate actions until all three transactions complete. If a transaction is already complete, its turn is skipped.

T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>
L <sub>s</sub> (Y)			
R(Y)			
	L <sub>s</sub> (D)		
	R(D)		
		L <sub>x</sub> (Z)	
		W(Z)	

Execute for both schedules and apply both wait-die and wound-wait strategy. Also explain which is better and why.