

Fentanyl Consumption and Peasants Income

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Income							
	Survey	Light Intensity			Survey	Light Intensity		
	GEIH	Big	Medium	Small	GEIH	Big	Medium	Small
Panel A: Full sample								
	(0.014)	(0.183)	(0.026)	(0.010)	(0.061)	(0.437)	(0.069)	(0.024)
Log of deaths by cocaine					0.172 (0.096)	1.311 (0.881)	-0.070 (0.118)	-0.050 (0.044)
Log Estimated Coca Production					-0.012 (0.027)	-0.304 (0.217)	0.078** (0.030)	0.033** (0.009)
Observations	951	936	936	936	840	819	819	819
R-squared	0.481	0.697	0.521	0.462	0.551	0.838	0.580	0.529
Controls	×	×	×	×	✓	✓	✓	✓
State F.E.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Month F.E.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Panel B: Sub sample								
Log of deaths by fentanyl	-0.018 (0.021)	-1.048* (0.450)	-0.305*** (0.030)	-0.113*** (0.011)	-0.111 (0.101)	-0.784 (0.904)	-0.331*** (0.067)	-0.121*** (0.025)
Log of deaths by cocaine					0.127 (0.110)	2.429* (1.082)	0.075 (0.113)	0.016 (0.043)
Log Estimated Coca Production					-0.018 (0.015)	-0.373** (0.143)	0.041 (0.025)	0.016** (0.007)
Observations	690	696	696	696	609	609	609	609
R-squared	0.522	0.724	0.599	0.566	0.607	0.861	0.604	0.576
Controls	×	×	×	×	✓	✓	✓	✓
State F.E.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Month F.E.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 1

Note: This table reports the regression of income as a function of fentanyl and cocaine related deaths in the US. Column 1 shows the dependent variable as the traditional approach using survey data for average rural household income. Columns 2-4 use satellite light intensity data, in particular column 2 establishes a classification of big villages. column 4 for medium-size villages and column 5 for small cities. All columns include state and monthly fixed effects and control by standard macroeconomic variables that affects the business cycle. Unit of observation is state x month.