## Homework 4

Senan Hogan-H.
29 March 2018

## Question 1.

```
a. 3 \ge x?
b.
```

 $H_0: p \ge .5$   $H_1: p < .5$ 

Suppose 3 successes out of 12 were observed.

```
data <- c(rep(1, times = 3), rep(0, times = 9))
frequentist.test <- t.test(data, alternative = "less", mu = 0.5)
frequentist.test</pre>
```

```
##
## One Sample t-test
##
## data: data
## t = -1.9, df = 11, p-value = 0.04
## alternative hypothesis: true mean is less than 0.5
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -Inf 0.4845
## sample estimates:
## mean of x
## 0.25
```

frequentist.test\$p.value

```
## [1] 0.04093
```

```
frequentist.test$p.value < 0.05</pre>
```

## [1] TRUE

Results are significant under frequentist paradigm, publish away.

c. Suppose now that the data was distributed according to a negative binomial.

```
new.test <- pnbinom(12-3, size = 12, prob = 0.5, lower.tail = TRUE)
new.test</pre>
```

```
## [1] 0.3318
new.test < 0.05
```

## [1] FALSE

Results are not significant under frequentist paradigm, no publishing will be done today.

- d. The ambiguity in data collection methods has made me less confident in frequentist tests of significance.
- e. Under a Bayesian setting, the likelihood of observing the data given in (b) and (c) change in the same as in a frequentist setting. This means that (b) will be considered less likely than (c) when a (non-stupid) prior is available, giving the same result as the frequentist setting.

## Question 2.

Let  $y|\theta \sim Pois(\theta)$  and assume a prior on  $\theta$  of Gamma(1,1).

a.

$$H_0: \theta \le 1$$
  $H_1: \theta > 1$ 

The posterior is given by  $Gamma(1 + n\bar{Y}, 1 + n)$ .

Consider the cases y = 3, 4, 5 are observed separately in a sample size of 1.

```
n <- 1

y <- 3 # data y = 3
sample <- rgamma(10000, 1 + sum(y)/n, 1 + n) # sample from gamma
H0 <- length(sample[sample <= 1])
post_prob_H0 <- H0/length(sample) # How many draws satisfy H0
post_prob_H0

## [1] 0.1371</pre>
```

```
y <- 4 # data y = 4
sample <- rgamma(10000, 1 + sum(y)/n, 1 + n)
H0 <- length(sample[sample <= 1])
post_prob_H0 <- H0/length(sample)
post_prob_H0</pre>
```

## [1] 0.0546

```
y <- 5 # data y = 5
sample <- rgamma(10000, 1 + sum(y)/n, 1 + n)
H0 <- length(sample[sample <= 1])
post_prob_H0 <- H0/length(sample)
post_prob_H0</pre>
```

## [1] 0.0155

b.  $H_0: \theta = 1$  versus  $H_1: \theta \neq 1$ , with  $q_0 = P(H_0) = 0.5$ , and  $p_1(\theta) = e^{-\theta}$ .

$$P(H_0|Y = y) = \frac{P(Y = y|H_0)P(H_0)}{P(Y = y)} = \frac{P(\theta = 1)P(Y = y|\theta = 1)}{P(\theta = 1)P(Y = y|\theta = 1) + P(\theta \neq 1)P(Y = y|\theta \neq 1)} = \frac{P(Y = y|\theta = 1)}{P(Y = y|\theta = 1) + P(Y = y|\theta \neq 1)} = \frac{P(Y = y|\theta = 1)}{P(Y = y|\theta = 1) + \int_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta}P(Y = y|\theta \neq 1)d\theta} = \frac{P(Y = y|\theta = 1)}{P(Y = y|\theta = 1) + \int_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta}\frac{\theta^{-y}e^{\theta}}{|y|}d\theta} = \frac{P(Y = y|\theta = 1) + \int_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta}\frac{\theta^{-y}e^{\theta}}{|y|}d\theta}{P(Y = y|\theta = 1) + \int_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta}\frac{\theta^{-y}e^{\theta}}{|y|}d\theta} = \frac{P(Y = y|\theta = 1) + \int_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta}\frac{\theta^{-y}e^{\theta}}{|y|}d\theta}}{P(Y = y|\theta = 1) + \int_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta}\frac{\theta^{-y}e^{\theta}}{|y|}d\theta} = \frac{P(Y = y|\theta = 1) + \int_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta}\frac{\theta^{-y}e^{\theta}}{|y|}d\theta}}{P(Y = y|\theta = 1) + \int_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta}\frac{\theta^{-y}e^{\theta}}{|y|}d\theta} = \frac{P(Y = y|\theta = 1) + \int_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta}\frac{\theta^{-y}e^{\theta}}{|y|}d\theta}}{P(Y = y|\theta = 1) + \int_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta}\frac{\theta^{-y}e^{\theta}}{|y|}d\theta}} = \frac{P(Y = y|\theta = 1) + \int_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta}\frac{\theta^{-y}e^{\theta}}{|y|}d\theta}}{P(Y = y|\theta = 1) + \int_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta}\frac{\theta^{-y}e^{\theta}}{|y|}d\theta}}$$

Since  $y|\theta \sim Pois(\theta)$ .

```
y <- c() # data to be defined
likelihood <- function(theta) ((1/factorial(y))*(theta^y)*exp(-2*theta))</pre>
y < -3 \# data y = 3
likelihood_HO <- pgamma(y, 1, 1, lower.tail = F)</pre>
likelihood_H1 <- integrate(likelihood, 0, Inf)$value</pre>
post_prob_H0 <- likelihood_H0/(likelihood_H0 + likelihood_H1)</pre>
post_prob_HO
## [1] 0.4434
y < -4 \# data y = 4
likelihood_HO <- pgamma(y, 1, 1, lower.tail = F)</pre>
likelihood_H1 <- integrate(likelihood, 0, Inf)$value</pre>
post_prob_H0 <- likelihood_H0/(likelihood_H0 + likelihood_H1)</pre>
post_prob_HO
## [1] 0.3695
y < -5 \# data \ y = 5
likelihood_HO <- pgamma(y, 1, 1, lower.tail = F)</pre>
likelihood_H1 <- integrate(likelihood, 0, Inf)$value</pre>
post_prob_H0 <- likelihood_H0/(likelihood_H0 + likelihood_H1)</pre>
post_prob_HO
```

## Question 3.

## [1] 0.3013

```
a. H_0: p = 0.5 H_1: p > 0.5.
```

Via the central limit theorem, reject the null if  $\hat{p} > 0.5 + 1.645\sqrt{\frac{.25}{n}}$ . Consider the case of a coin with p = 0.501.

$$\hat{p} \sim N(0.501, \sqrt{\frac{0.501(1-0.501)}{n}}) = N(0.501, \sqrt{\frac{0.249999}{n}}) = N(0.501, \frac{0.499999}{\sqrt{n}}).$$

It follows that  $\hat{p}$  is 95% above the value  $0.501 - 1.645 \frac{0.499999}{\sqrt{n}}$ .

If the null is rejected with 95% certainty, then  $0.501 - 1.645 \frac{0.499999}{\sqrt{n}} \ge 0.5 + 1.645 \sqrt{\frac{.25}{n}}$ .

$$\Rightarrow 0.501 - 0.5 \ge \frac{1.645}{\sqrt{n}}(0.5 + 0.499999)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0.001 \ge \frac{1.645}{\sqrt{n}}(0.999999)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{n} \ge \frac{1.645}{(0.001)(0.999999)} = 1645$$

$$\Rightarrow n \geq 2,706,030$$

b.

$$H_0: p < 0.55$$
  $H_1: p \ge 0.55$ 

Observed data X = 527 for n = 1000.

Suppose  $p|H_0 \sim N(0.5, 0.2)$ , non-stupid prior.

Suppose  $p|H_1 \sim Beta(5,1)$ , non-stupid prior assigning little probability below 0.55 (seen in the following code).

```
BF_{10} = \frac{P(y|H_1)}{P(y|H_0)} = \frac{\int P(y|p)f_1(p)dp}{\int P(y|p)f_0(p)dp}
```

```
pbeta(0.55, 5, 1, lower.tail = F)
## [1] 0.9497
n <- 1000
X < -527
p <- 0.5 # define prior HO
sd <- 0.2 # define prior HO
alpha <- 5 # define prior H1
beta <- 1 # define prior H1
sample_H0 <- rnorm(10000, p, sd)</pre>
likelihood_HO <- length(sample_HO[sample_HO > X/n])/length(sample_HO)
likelihood_H0
## [1] 0.4377
sample_H1 <- rbeta(100000, alpha, beta)</pre>
likelihood_H1 <- length(sample_H1[sample_H1 > X/n])/length(sample_H1)
likelihood_H1
## [1] 0.9591
Bayes_factor <- likelihood_H1/likelihood_H0</pre>
Bayes_factor
## [1] 2.191
sample_H0 <- rnorm(10000, p, sd)</pre>
freq.test <-</pre>
t.test(sample_H0, alternative = c('greater'), mu = 0.55)
```