# Hypergraphs with no tight cycles

Shoham Letzter\*

#### Abstract

We show that every r-uniform hypergraph on n vertices which does not contain a tight cycle has at most  $O(n^{r-1}(\log n)^5)$  edges. This is an improvement on the previously best-known bound, of  $n^{r-1}e^{O(\sqrt{\log n})}$ , due to Sudakov and Tomon, and our proof builds up on their work. A recent construction of B. Janzer implies that our bound is tight up to an  $O((\log n)^4 \log \log n)$  factor.

## 1 Introduction

It is well known, and easy to see, that the maximum number of edges in a graph on n vertices with no cycles is n-1. It is natural to consider an analogous problem for hypergraphs: what is the maximum possible number of edges in an r-uniform hypergraph (henceforth r-graph) on n vertices which does not contain a cycle? Unlike the graph case, there are multiple natural notions of cycles in hypergraphs, the most notable of which are Berge cycles, loose cycles and tight cycles.

A Berge cycle of length  $\ell$  is a sequence  $(v_1, e_1, \dots, v_\ell, e_\ell)$  such that  $v_1, \dots, v_\ell$  are distinct vertices,  $e_1, \dots, e_\ell$  are distinct edges, and  $v_i \in e_{i-1} \cap e_i$  (subtraction of indices is taken modulo  $\ell$ ). We claim that the maximum possible number of edges in an n-vertex r-graph with no Berge cycles is  $\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{r-1} \right\rfloor$ . For the upper bound, it suffices to show that the edges of an r-graph with no Berge cycles can be ordered as  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  so that  $|e_i \cap (e_1 \cup \dots \cup e_{i-1})| \leq 1$  for every  $i \in [m]$ , which is not hard to prove. To see the lower bound, form an r-graph on at most n vertices by taking  $\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{r-1} \right\rfloor$  pairwise disjoint sets of size r-1, and joining each of them to the same new vertex.

A loose cycle of length  $\ell$  is a sequence  $(e_1, \ldots, e_{\ell})$  of distinct edges such that two consecutive edges (as well as the first and last) have exactly one vertex in common, and non-consecutive edges are disjoint. Frankl and Füredi [3] showed that any n-vertex r-graph with no loose triangles (i.e. loose cycles of length 3) has at most  $\binom{n-1}{r-1}$  edges, whenever n is sufficiently large. Note that there exists an n-vertex r-graph with no loose cycles with this number of edges: take its edges to be all r-sets that contain a certain vertex u. It thus follows that the answer to the above question for loose cycles is  $\binom{n-1}{r-1}$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK. Email: s.letzter@ucl.ac.uk. Research supported by the Royal Society.

An r-uniform tight cycle of length  $\ell$  is a sequence  $(v_1, \ldots, v_{\ell})$  of distinct vertices, satisfying that  $(v_i, \ldots, v_{i+r-1})$  is an edge for every  $i \in [\ell]$  (with addition of indices taken modulo  $\ell$ ). Denote the family of all tight cycles by  $\mathcal{C}$ , and let  $\operatorname{ex}_r(n, \mathcal{C})$  be the maximum possible number of edges in an n-vertex r-graph with no tight cycles. The question from the first paragraph, for tight cycles, can be restated as follows: what is  $\operatorname{ex}_r(n, \mathcal{C})$ ?

It might be tempting to guess that  $\exp(n,\mathcal{C}) = \binom{n-1}{r-1}$ , similarly to the loose cycles case. Indeed, this was conjectured by Sós and, independently, Verstraëte (see [10, 13]). This conjecture was disproved by Huang and Ma [7], who showed that for every r there exists  $c = c(r) \in (1,2)$  such that  $\exp_r(n,\mathcal{C}) \geq c \cdot \binom{n-1}{r-1}$ . Very recently, B. Janzer improved this lower bound on  $\exp_r(n,\mathcal{C})$  substantially, showing that  $\exp_r(n,\mathcal{C}) = \Omega(n^{r-1} \cdot \frac{\log n}{\log \log n})$ .

Until recently, the best upper bound on  $\exp(n,\mathcal{C})$  for general r was  $\exp(n,\mathcal{C}) = O(n^{r-2^{-(r-1)}})$ , which follows from a result of Erdős [2] about the extremal number of a complete r-partite r-graph with vertex classes of size 2. For r=3, an unpublished result of Verstraëte regarding the extremal number of a tight cycle of length 24 implies that  $\exp(n,\mathcal{C}) = O(n^{5/2})$ . A recent result of Tomon and Sudakov [12] shows that  $\exp(n,\mathcal{C}) \leq n^{r-1}e^{O(\sqrt{\log n})}$ , greatly improving on previous bounds, and thus establishing that  $\exp(n,\mathcal{C}) = n^{r-1+o(1)}$ .

We prove the following result about the extremal number of tight cycles in r-graphs, which lowers the  $e^{O(\sqrt{\log n})}$  error term in Sudakov and Tomon's bound to a polylogarithmic term.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{H}$  is an r-graph on n vertices which does not contain a tight cycle. Then  $\mathcal{H}$  has  $O(n^{r-1}(\log n)^5)$  edges.

In other words, we show that  $\exp(n, \mathcal{C}) = O(n^{r-1}(\log n)^5)$ . In light of Janzer's result [8], this is tight up to an  $O((\log n)^4 \log \log n)$  factor.

We give an overview of our proof in Section 2, mention relevant tools and definitions from [12] in Section 3, and prove our main result in Section 4. We conclude the paper in Section 5 with some closing remarks. Throughout the paper, logarithms are understood to be in base 2, and floor and ceiling signs are often dropped.

# 2 Overview of the proof

Our proof builds up on ideas Sudakov and Tomon's work [12]. They introduce the notions of r-line-graphs, which are graphs that correspond naturally to r-partite r-graph, and expansion in such graphs. They show that, given a dense enough r-partite r-graph  $\mathcal{H}$ , the r-line-graph that corresponds to  $\mathcal{H}$  contains a dense expander G. Next, they define  $\sigma$ -paths and  $\sigma$ -cycles, which correspond to tight paths and cycles in the original hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$ . It thus suffices to show that every r-line-graph which is a dense expander contains a  $\sigma$ -cycle. Sudakov and Tomon are not able to prove this. Instead, they show that every expander contains either a  $\sigma$ -cycle or a very dense subgraph, and proceed via a density increment argument.

Our main contribution is to show that every r-line-graph which is a dense expander indeed contains a  $\sigma$ -cycle (see Theorem 6). A key step in our proof is to show that in such an expander G, for every vertex  $x \in V(G)$ , almost every other vertex  $y \in V(G)$  can be reached from x via a short  $\sigma$ -path P(x,y) in a 'robust' way, meaning that no vertex in the underlying r-graph  $\mathcal{H}$  meets too many of the paths P(x,y) (see Lemma 5). If the robustness requirement is dropped, we obtain a lemma from [12]. To prove the robust version, we use the non-robust version from [12] as a black box, along with another lemma from the same paper, which asserts that the removal of a small number of vertices from the underlying r-graph  $\mathcal{H}$  does not ruin the expansion.

To find a  $\sigma$ -cycle, let P(x,y) be paths as above, defined for almost every  $x,y \in V(G)$ . Note that while we are guaranteed that, for every  $x \in V(G)$ , no vertex v of  $\mathcal{H}$  meets too many paths P(x,y), we do not have any control over the number of times v meets a path P(x,y), for a given y. Nevertheless, since the paths P(x,y) are short, for every  $y \in V(G)$  there are few vertices in  $\mathcal{H}$  that meet many path P(x,y); denote the set of such vertices in  $\mathcal{H}$  by F(y). Using tools mentioned above, for every y and almost every x there is a short  $\sigma$ -path Q(y,x) from y to x that avoids F(y). To complete the proof, we note that the robustness implies that for almost every  $x,y \in V(G)$  the path Q(y,x) is defined, and there are linearly many  $z \in V(G)$  for which P(x,z)P(z,y) is a  $\sigma$ -path from x to y. Using robustness and the choice of Q(y,x), the concatenation P(x,z)P(z,y)Q(y,x) is a  $\sigma$ -cycle for linearly many  $z \in V(G)$ .

## 3 Expansion in r-line-graphs

We say that G is an r-line-graph if the vertex set of G is a set of r-tuples in  $A_1 \times \ldots \times A_r$ , where  $A_1, \ldots, A_r$  are pairwise disjoint, and x and y are joined by an edge if and only if x and y differ in exactly one coordinate. Observe that an r-partite r-graph naturally corresponds to an r-line-graph.

Let G be an r-line-graph with  $V(G) \subseteq A_1 \times ... \times A_r$ . We will refer to the vertices of  $A_1 \cup ... \cup A_r$  as coordinates. For a set of vertices X in G, let co(X) be the set of coordinates that appear in tuples in X. For a vertex x we write co(x) as a shorthand for  $co(\{x\})$ .

For a vertex x and  $i \in [r]$ , define  $N^{(i)}(x)$  to be the set of vertices y in G that differ from x in the i-th coordinate only. An i-block in G is a set of form  $\{x\} \cup N^{(i)}(x)$ , for  $x \in V(G)$  and  $i \in [r]$ . Let p(G) be the number of blocks in G, and define the density of G, denoted dens(G), as

$$\operatorname{dens}(G) = \frac{\sum_{B} |B|}{p(G)} = \frac{r|G|}{p(G)},\tag{1}$$

where the sum is over all blocks B in G. In words, the density is the average size of a block.

The *i-degree* of a vertex x, denoted  $d_G^{(i)}(x)$ , is defined to be  $|N^{(i)}(x)| + 1$ . The minimum degree of G, denoted  $\delta(G)$ , is defined to be the minimum of  $d^{(i)}(x)$ , over  $x \in V(G)$  and  $i \in [r]$  (this is not quite the same as the usual notion of a minimum degree of a graph).

For a graph H, say that H is a  $\lambda$ -expander if every set of vertices X with  $|X| \leq \frac{1}{2}|H|$  satisfies

 $|N(X)| \ge \lambda |X|$ , where N(X) is the set of vertices in  $V(H) \setminus X$  that are neighbours of at least one vertex in X. For an r-line-graph G, say that G is a  $(\lambda, d)$ -expander if G is a  $\lambda$ -expander and  $\delta(G) \ge d$ .

The following lemma from [12] allows us to find expanders in r-line-graphs that are sufficiently dense. It is reminiscent of a similar result of Shapira and Sudakov [11] about the existences of expanders in graphs.

**Lemma 2** (Lemma 3.3 in [12]). Let G be an r-line-graph on n vertices with density at least d, and suppose that  $0 < \lambda \le \frac{1}{2\log n}$ . Then G contains a subgraph of density at least  $d(1 - \lambda \log n)$  which is a  $(\lambda, \frac{d}{2r})$ -expander.

The following lemma, also from [12], shows that the notion of expansion is robust, in the sense that the removal of a small number of coordinates does not affect the expansion too much.

**Lemma 3** (Lemma 3.5 in [12]). Let r, u, d be positive integers, let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and suppose that  $u \leq \frac{\lambda d}{4r}$ . Let G be an r-line-graph on n vertices with  $V(G) \subseteq A_1 \times \ldots \times A_r$  which is a  $(\lambda, d)$ -expander. Suppose that H is a subgraph of G obtained by removing at most u coordinates in  $A_1 \cup \ldots \cup A_r$  from G (along with edges of G that meet these coordinates). Then H is an r-line graph on at least  $(1 - \frac{u}{\delta})n$  vertices which is a  $(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{d}{2})$ -expander.

Next, we need the notions of  $\sigma$ -neighbours,  $\sigma$ -paths and  $\sigma$ -cycles. Let G be an r-line-graph with  $V(G) \subseteq A_1 \times \ldots \times A_r$ . Given a permutation  $\sigma \in S_r$  and vertices  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$  and  $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_r)$  in G, we say that y is a  $\sigma$ -neighbour of x if co(x) and co(y) are disjoint, and the r-tuples  $z_0, \ldots, z_r$ , defined as follows, are vertices in G.

$$(z_i)_j = \begin{cases} x_j & \sigma^{-1}(j) > i \\ y_j & \sigma^{-1}(j) \le i. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $z_0 = x$  and  $z_r = y$ . If  $\sigma$  is the identity permutation, we have  $z_i = (y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}, x_i, \ldots, x_r)$ . Observe that  $z_i \in N^{(\sigma(i))}(z_{i-1})$  for  $i \in [r]$ . Also note that if y is a  $\sigma$ -neighbour of x then the sequence  $(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(r)}, y_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, y_{\sigma(r)})$  is a tight path in the r-graph that corresponds to G.

A  $\sigma$ -path in G is a sequence  $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  of vertices in G whose coordinate sets are pairwise disjoint, and such that  $x_{i+1}$  is a  $\sigma$ -neighbour of  $x_i$  for  $i \in [k-1]$ . Similarly, a  $\sigma$ -cycle is a sequence  $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  of vertices in G whose coordinate sets are pairwise disjoint, such that  $x_{i+1}$  is a  $\sigma$ -neighbour of  $x_i$ , for  $i \in [k]$  (with indices taken modulo k). Writing  $x_i = (x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,r})$ , if  $x_1, \ldots, x_r$  is a  $\sigma$ -path ( $\sigma$ -cycle), then  $(x_{1,\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{1,\sigma(r)}, \ldots, x_{k,\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{k,\sigma(r)})$  is a tight path (cycle) in the r-graph corresponding to G. It would thus be useful to show that r-line-graphs that are dense expanders have  $\sigma$ -cycles; we do so in Theorem 6 below.

The order of a  $\sigma$ -path or  $\sigma$ -cycle  $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  is k. If there is a  $\sigma$ -path  $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  in G, we say that  $x_k$  can be reached from  $x_1$  by a  $\sigma$ -path of order k. The following lemma from [12] shows that, given

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For the purpose of this paper it suffices to fix  $\sigma$  to be any particular permutation in  $S_r$ . We state the definitions and results for general  $\sigma$  to mirror [12].

a vertex x in an r-line-graph G which is a dense expander, almost every vertex in G can be reached from x by a relatively short  $\sigma$ -path.

**Lemma 4** (Lemma 4.4 in [12]). Let  $\sigma \in S_r$ , let  $\varepsilon, \lambda \in (0,1)$  and let n and d be positive integers such that  $500r^4 \log n < \varepsilon^2 \lambda^2 d$ . Suppose that G is an r-line-graph on n vertices which is a  $(\lambda, d)$ -expander, and let  $x \in V(G)$ . Then at least  $(1 - \varepsilon)n$  vertices in G can be reached from x by a  $\sigma$ -path of length at most  $\frac{5r \log n}{\varepsilon \lambda}$ .

## 4 Existence of $\sigma$ -cycles in expanders

Recall that co(X), where X is a set of vertices in an r-line-graph, is the set of coordinates in tuples in X. The following key lemma is the first new ingredient in our proof. It shows that for every vertex x in an r-line-graph G which is a dense expander, almost every vertex in G can be reached from x by a short  $\sigma$ -path, such that no coordinate (other than the coordinates in x) is met by too many such  $\sigma$ -paths.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $\sigma \in S_r$ , let  $\varepsilon, \lambda \in (0,1)$  and let  $n,d,\ell,t$  be positive integers such that  $\ell = \frac{10r \log n}{\varepsilon \lambda}$ ,  $t \leq \frac{\lambda d}{4r\ell}$ ,  $4000r^4 \log n < \varepsilon^2 \lambda^2 d$  and  $\frac{\lambda}{4r} \leq \varepsilon$ . Suppose that G is an r-line-graph on n vertices, with  $V(G) \subseteq A_1 \times \ldots \times A_r$ , which is a  $(\lambda,d)$ -expander, and let  $x \in V(G)$ . Then there is a set  $Y \subseteq V(G)$  of size at least  $(1-2\varepsilon)n$  such that every  $y \in Y$  can be reached from x by a  $\sigma$ -path P(y) of order at most  $\ell$ , and every  $w \in (A_1 \cup \ldots \cup A_r) \setminus \operatorname{co}(x)$  is in  $\operatorname{co}(P(y))$  for at most  $\frac{n}{t}$  values of y.

**Proof.** Write  $A = A_1 \cup \ldots \cup A_r$  and  $u = t\ell$ . So  $u \leq \frac{\lambda d}{4r}$  and  $\frac{u}{d} \leq \varepsilon$ .

Let  $Y_0$  be a subset of V(G) of maximum size such that there exists a collection of  $\sigma$ -paths  $(P(y))_{y \in Y_0}$ , such that P(y) is a  $\sigma$ -path from x to y of order at most  $\ell$  for  $y \in Y_0$ , and every  $w \in A \setminus co(x)$  is in co(P(y)) for at most  $\frac{n}{t}$  values of y; fix such a collection  $(P(y))_{y \in Y_0}$ . Our task is to show that  $|Y_0| \ge (1 - 2\varepsilon)n$ , so suppose otherwise.

Let F be the set of coordinates  $w \in A \setminus co(x)$  such that  $w \in co(P(y))$  for exactly  $\frac{n}{t}$  values of  $y \in Y_0$ . By choice of F and the upper bound on the order of P(y), we have

$$\frac{|F|n}{t} \le \sum_{y \in Y_0} |\operatorname{co}(P(y))| \le \ell n. \tag{2}$$

It follows that  $|F| \leq t\ell = u$ .

Let H be the graph obtained from G by removing the vertices that meet the set F. By Lemma 3, H is an r-line-graph on at least  $(1-\frac{u}{d})n \geq (1-\varepsilon)n$  vertices which is a  $(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{d}{2})$ -expander. Note that x is in H because F is disjoint of co(x). Thus, by Lemma 4, there is a subset  $Y_1 \subseteq V(H)$ , with  $|Y_1| \geq (1-\varepsilon)|H| \geq (1-\varepsilon)^2 n \geq (1-2\varepsilon)n$ , such that the vertices in  $Y_1$  can be reached from x by a  $\sigma$ -path in H of order at most  $\ell$  (here we use the inequality  $500r^4 \log |H| \leq 500r^4 \log n < \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)$ ). By assumption on the size of  $Y_0$ , there is a vertex  $y \in Y_1 \setminus Y_0$ . Let P(y) be a  $\sigma$ -path in H from x to

y whose order is at most  $\ell$ ; so P(y) is a path in G that avoids F. It follows that every  $w \in A \setminus co(x)$  is in co(P(y)) for at most  $\frac{n}{t}$  values of y in  $Y_0 \cup \{y\}$ . This is a contradiction to the maximality of  $Y_0$ . Thus  $|Y_0| \ge (1 - 2\varepsilon)n$ , as required.

We now prove the main ingredient in our proof, namely that r-line-graphs which are dense expanders contain (short)  $\sigma$ -cycles.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\sigma \in S_r$ , let  $\varepsilon, \lambda \in (0,1)$ , and let n and d be positive integers such that  $d \geq \frac{4000r^4(\log n)^2}{\varepsilon^3\lambda^3}$ ,  $\frac{\lambda}{4r} \leq \varepsilon < \frac{1}{12}$  and n is sufficiently large. Let G be an r-line-graph on n vertices which is  $a(\lambda, d)$ -expander. Then G contains a  $\sigma$ -cycle of order at most  $\frac{30r \log n}{\varepsilon\lambda}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A_1, \ldots, A_r$  be disjoint sets such that  $V(G) \subseteq A_1 \times \ldots \times A_r$  and write  $A = A_1 \cup \ldots \cup A_r$ . Let  $u = \frac{\lambda d}{4r}$ , write  $\ell = \frac{10r \log n}{\varepsilon \lambda}$  and let  $t = \frac{u}{\ell}$ . We claim that the following inequalities hold:  $\frac{u}{d} \leq \varepsilon$  and  $\frac{r\ell}{t} \leq \varepsilon$ . The former is easy to check by the definition of u and the lower bound on  $\varepsilon$ . The latter is more tedious but follows directly from the choices of  $u, \ell, t$  and the lower bound on t.

For each vertex x in G, let  $Y(x) \subseteq V(G)$  be a set of size at least  $(1-2\varepsilon)n$  and let P(x,y) be a  $\sigma$ -path of length at most  $\ell$  in G from x to y, for  $y \in Y(x)$ , such that

every 
$$w \in A \setminus co(x)$$
 is in  $co(P(x,y))$  for at most  $\frac{n}{t}$  vertices  $y$  in  $Y(x)$ , for  $x \in V(G)$ . (3)

Such set Y(x) and paths P(x,y) exist by Lemma 5. For each vertex y in G, let F(y) be the set of elements  $w \in A \setminus \operatorname{co}(y)$  that appear in more than  $\frac{n}{t}$  sets  $\operatorname{co}(P(x,y))$  with  $x \in V(G)$ . Using a calculation as in (2), it is easy to see that  $|F(y)| \leq u$  for every  $y \in V(G)$ . Let G(y) be the graph obtained from G by removing all vertices that meet F(y). It follows from Lemma 3 that G(y) is an r-line-graph on at least  $(1 - \frac{u}{d})n \geq (1 - \varepsilon)n$  vertices, and it is also a  $(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{d}{2})$ -expander. By Lemma 4, there is a subset X(y) of V(G(y)) with  $|X(y)| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)^2 n \geq (1 - 2\varepsilon)n$ , and  $\sigma$ -paths Q(y, x) in G(y) from y to x whose order is at most  $\ell$ , for  $x \in X(y)$ .

Consider a vertex x in G. Let D(x) be a directed graph on vertices V(G) where yz is an edge if paths P(x,y) and P(y,z) are defined and  $\operatorname{co}(P(x,y)) \cap \operatorname{co}(P(y,z)) = \operatorname{co}(y)$ ; equivalently, yz is an edge if the concatenation of P(x,y) and P(y,z) forms a  $\sigma$ -path in G from x to z. Given y for which P(x,y) is defined, the number of vertices z for which P(y,z) is defined but yz is not an edge in D(x) is at most  $\frac{r\ell n}{t} \leq \varepsilon n$ , by (3). Since P(y,z) is defined for at least  $(1-2\varepsilon)n$  vertices z, this implies that every vertex in X(y) has out-degree at least  $(1-3\varepsilon)n$ . It follows that the number of edges in D(x) is at least  $(1-2\varepsilon)n \cdot (1-3\varepsilon)n \geq (1-5\varepsilon)n^2$ , and thus there are at least  $(1-10\varepsilon)n$  vertices in G with in-degree at least  $\frac{n}{2}$  in D(x).

The previous paragraph implies that the number of pairs (x,y) with  $x,y \in V(G)$ , such that y has in-degree at least  $\frac{n}{2}$  in D(x), is at least  $(1-10\varepsilon)n^2$ . Recall that the number of pairs (x,y) with  $x,y \in V(G)$ , such that Q(y,x) is defined, is at least  $(1-2\varepsilon)n^2$ . It follows that there are at least  $(1-12\varepsilon)n^2$  pairs (x,y) such that y has in-degree at least  $\frac{n}{2}$  in D(x) and Q(y,x) is defined. We claim that every such pair yields a  $\sigma$ -path in G that passes through x and y.

To see this, fix a pair (x,y) as in the previous paragraph. Write  $S = \operatorname{co}(Q(y,x)) \setminus (\operatorname{co}(x) \cup \operatorname{co}(y))$ . Then  $|S| \leq r\ell$ , and S is disjoint of F(y), by choice of Q(y,x). Let Z be the in-neighbourhood of y in D(x); so  $|Z| \geq \frac{n}{2}$ . We claim that there is a vertex z in Z such that P(x,z) and P(z,y) both avoid S. To see this, first note that, by (3), there are at most  $\frac{r\ell n}{t} \leq \varepsilon n$  vertices z in Z such that P(x,z) intersects S. Similarly, as S is disjoint of F(y) and by choice of F(y), there are at most  $\frac{r\ell n}{t} \leq \varepsilon n$  vertices z in Z such that P(z,y) meets S. It follows that there are at least  $|Z| - 2\varepsilon n \geq \frac{n}{4}$  vertices  $z \in Z$  such that  $\operatorname{co}(P(x,y))$  and  $\operatorname{co}(P(y,z))$  are disjoint of S. Fix such z. The concatenation P(x,z)P(z,y)Q(y,x) is a  $\sigma$ -cycle in G (of order at most  $3\ell$ ).

Finally, we prove our main result, Theorem 1. It follows easily from the results above.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an r-graph on N vertices which does not contain a tight cycle. By considering a random partition of  $V(\mathcal{H})$  into r parts, we can find an r-partite subgraph  $\mathcal{H}'$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  with at least  $\frac{r!}{r^r} \cdot e(\mathcal{H})$  edges.

Write  $e(\mathcal{H}') = dN^{r-1}$ ,  $n = e(\mathcal{H}')$ ,  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2\log n}$  and  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{20}$ . Consider the r-line-graph G that corresponds to  $\mathcal{H}'$ . Then  $\operatorname{dens}(G) = \frac{rn}{p(G)} \geq \frac{rdN^{r-1}}{rN^{r-1}} = d$  (see (1)). By Lemma 2, there is a subgraph G' of G which is an r-line-graph and a  $(\lambda, \frac{d}{2r})$ -expander. By Theorem 6, we find that

$$\frac{d}{2r} < \frac{4000r^4(\log n)^2}{\varepsilon^3 \lambda^3} \le 2^8 \cdot 10^6 \cdot r^4(\log n)^5.$$

Indeed, otherwise Theorem 6 yields a  $\sigma$ -cycle in G' (of length at most  $r \cdot \frac{30r \log n}{\varepsilon \lambda} \leq 1200r^3 (\log n)^2$ ), which corresponds to a tight cycle in  $\mathcal{H}'$ , contradicting the assumption on  $\mathcal{H}$ . It follows that  $d \leq 10^9 r^5 (\log n)^5 \leq 10^9 r^{10} (\log N)^5$  (using  $n \leq N^r$ ), implying that

$$e(\mathcal{H}) \le \frac{r^r}{r!} \cdot e(\mathcal{H}') \le \frac{10^9 r^{r+10}}{r!} \cdot N^{r-1} (\log N)^5 = O(N^{r-1} (\log N)^5),$$

as required.  $\Box$ 

### 5 Conclusion

We proved that the maximum possible number of edges in an n-vertex r-graph with no tight cycles is at most  $O(n^{r-1}(\log n)^5)$ , thus pinning down this extremal number up to a polylogarithmic factor. Specifically, we showed that every r-line-graph G which is a  $(\lambda, d)$ -expander, with d sufficiently large, contains a  $\sigma$ -cycle. In fact, our proof implies that there is a  $\sigma$ -cycle between almost every two vertices in G. However, it is not clear if the same should hold for every two vertices in G whose coordinate sets are disjoint. Even the following, slightly weaker question, remains open: in an r-line-graph which is a dense expander, can every two vertices which do not share coordinates be joined by a  $\sigma$ -path?

It is natural to consider a similar question to the one discussed in this paper, where instead of forbidding all tight cycles, we forbid a tight cycle of given length  $\ell$ . This was addressed for  $\ell$  which

is linear in n by Allen, Böttcher, Cooley and Mycroft [1], and an unpublished result of Verstraëte considered the case  $\ell = 24$  and r = 3. When  $\ell$  is not divisible by r, there exist n-vertex r-graphs with  $\Omega(n^r)$  edges and no tight cycles of length  $\ell$ ; indeed, any dense r-partite r-graph would do. Conlon (see [10]) asked the following question for fixed  $\ell$  which is divisible by r.

**Question 7** (Conlon). Given  $r \ge 3$ , is there c = c(r) such that whenever  $\ell > r$  and  $\ell$  is divisible by r, every n-vertex r-graph with no tight cycle of length  $\ell$  has at most  $O(n^{r-1+c/\ell})$  edges?

We note that a lot more is known about the number of edges in an r-graph with no Berge or loose cycle of given lengths; see, e.g., [3, 4, 5, 6, 9].

#### Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Liana Yepremyan for introducing this problem to me.

#### References

- [1] P. Allen, J. Böttcher, O. Cooley, and R. Mycroft, *Tight cycles and regular slices in dense hypergraphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **149** (2017), 30–100.
- [2] P. Erdős, On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs, Israel J. Math. 2 (1964), 183–190.
- [3] P. Frankl and Z. Füredi, Exact solution of some Turán-type problems, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 45 (1987), 226–262.
- [4] Z. Füredi and T. Jiang, Hypergraph Turán numbers of linear cycles, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 123 (2014), 252–270.
- [5] E. Győri and N. Lemons, 3-uniform hypergraphs avoiding a given odd cycle, Combinatorica **32** (2012), 187–203.
- [6] \_\_\_\_\_, Hypergraphs with no cycle of a given length, Combin. Probab. Comput. 21 (2012), 193.
- [7] H. Huang and J. Ma, On tight cycles in hypergraphs, SIAM J. Discr. Math. 33 (2019), 230–237.
- [8] B. Janzer, Large hypergraphs without tight cycles, arXiv:2012.07726 (2020).
- [9] A. Kostochka, D. Mubayi, and J. Verstraëte, Turán problems and shadows I: Paths and cycles,
  J. Combin. The. Ser. A 129 (2015), 57–79.
- [10] D. Mubayi, O. Pikhurko, and B. Sudakov, *Hypergraph Turán problem: Some open questions*, AIM workshop problem lists, manuscript, 2011.
- [11] A. Shapira and B. Sudakov, Small complete minors above the extremal edge density, Combinatorica 35 (2015), 75–94.
- [12] B. Sudakov and I. Tomon, *The extremal number of tight cycles*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2021), to appear.
- [13] J. Verstraëte, Extremal problems for cycles in graphs, Recent trends in combinatorics, Springer, 2016, pp. 83–116.