

## Should I say 'advantages of' something or 'advantages to' something?

That is a good question.

The word advantage has a number of connected meanings which are subtly different.

Meaning 1: Something which brings you more success and opportunity. For example, the advantages of/to a good education

Meaning 2: A benefit or gain (or profit). For example, It is an advantage to have money.

Meaning 3: Superiority. For example, *He has the advantage over me because of his better education*. This is usually not problematic so the following focuses on the *of-* and *to-*constructions where there

This is usually not problematic so the following focuses on the *of-* and *to-*constructions where there are real problems.

Which form follows the word advantage is dependent on the meaning we want to express.

### **Style**

Stylistically, advantage to is slightly more formal. For example,

- 1. Tell me about the advantages of living in Paris
- 2. Tell me about the advantages to living in Paris.

Both of these fall into meaning 1 and imply simply that you gain some opportunity by living in Paris which you would not otherwise have. Sentence 2 is more formal but the meaning is equivalent.

The expression advantage to is usually used with a gerund (as in the advantages to communicating clearly) but of instead of to in that sentence would not be wrong at all. When the expression is followed by a simple noun, rather than a gerund, e.g., One of the advantages of winter is that there are fewer tourists in town, then the of-construction is usually preferred. It would sound slightly too formal, in conversation at least, to say One of the advantages to winter is that there are fewer tourists in town but it would not be wrong.

The *to*-construction is often preferred with the plural form, *advantages and disadvantages to ...*, but, again, *of* would not be wrong.

Meaning is unaffected in either case and nobody would complain about either use.

However, even here, notice that you can only have one of these:

- 3. There are advantages to living in Paris
- 4. \*There are advantages of living in Paris.

When we use the existential *there are* formulation, only the *to*-construction works because we have a dummy subject which is not a noun. More of that to come.

### Gain vs. inherent quality

If advantage is used to mean gain or profit to somebody or something, then the to-construction is the <u>only</u> possibility. For example, you cannot have \*It is an advantage of the country to be in the European Union. In cases like this, only advantage to is possible because we need to show who gains. It is, of course, possible to say The many advantages of being in the EU but in this case the meaning is slightly different (something leading to opportunities for success, not the success itself). In meaning 2, advantage is often followed by an infinitive with to. For example, It is an advantage to have enough money. Here we are using the word to mean something like a good thing or a gain, a profit etc. Naturally, because this is the infinitive with to, no of-construction is allowed.

We can use the *of*-construction to define the advantage we mean. Just as we can have *John's ideas*, we can have *The ideas of John*. Similarly, we can use this pseudo-possessive structure with

# **Answer**



advantage and speak of the advantages of Paris as if we were referring to Paris's advantages. Here, it would be wrong to speak of the advantages to Paris because that would carry a different meaning. It would mean Paris is **getting** an advantage from another source rather than **having** the advantage. We can, similarly, have one advantage of Paris when we mean one of Paris's advantages but that is not talking about Paris **gaining** something but Paris **having** something.

### **Summary**

If you use *advantage* to mean that someone or something is **gaining**, then the *to*-construction is the obvious choice. If you use *advantage* to mean **having or possessing superiority or benefits**, then the *of*-constructions is the usual choice.

Here are some more examples:

- 5. The advantage of this candidate is that he clearly has more experience than the other applicants
- 6. The advantage to this candidate is that he clearly has more experience than the other applicants

These could mean slightly different things:

Sentence 5 can only mean the candidate **has or possesses** an advantage over the others by virtue of his experience (an inherent quality of the candidate).

Sentence 6 can mean the same more formally.

Sentence 6 can <u>also</u> mean that the other applicants' lack of experience is **giving** the candidate an advantage.

Therefore, we would have the advantage of this product, the advantage of living in the city etc. in preference to the *to*-construction in most cases.

#### **Getting it right**

You can check whether you have the right formulation by rephrasing the sentence using a possessive or inherent quality expression. Here's how:

7. There are advantages to living in Paris. One is that there are many cultural events.

Here there is no way to re-phrase the first sentence using a possessive such as Paris's so the to-

construction is valid. There is no subject noun phrase to form the basis of the possessive because *there* is not a noun.

8. It is an advantage to be living in Paris that there are many cultural events.

Here, too, you cannot substitute a possessive form because we have the non-finite verb construction *to be living* so this is the only possible form.

9. The advantages of living in Paris are that you have many cultural opportunities and the restaurants are great.

Here, although it is very odd, it is <u>structurally</u> possible to substitute something like, *Living in Paris's* advantages include having access to many cultural events and great restaurants. The noun phrase *living in Paris* can be made to be possessive just as any noun phrase can.

10. An advantage of living in Paris is that there are many cultural events.

Here, again, there is a noun phrase that can be made possessive so the of-construction is valid.

Finally, note that in sentences 9 and 10, it is possible to replace *of* with *to* with an increase in formality but you cannot replace *to* with *of* in sentences 7 and 8.

Simple? No, not really.