

# **Calculating largest and smallest eigenvalues (in absolute value) and corresponding eigenvectors for large matrices**

**The Power Method**

## The Power Method

Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  diagonalizable matrix with real eigenvalues. Let  $\lambda_1$  be the eigenvalue of greatest magnitude. Call  $\lambda_1$  the **dominant** eigenvalue. In many applications, the two most important eigenvalues are the dominant one and the one with smallest magnitude. Because  $A$  is diagonalizable there exists a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  composed of the eigenvectors of  $A$ :  $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \mathbf{b}_3, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{b}_i$  be the vector corresponding to  $\lambda_i$  where  $\lambda_1$  is the dominant eigenvalue, and all others are numbered in accordance with their magnitude in decreasing order, that is,  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 \geq \lambda_4 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ .

Let  $w_1$  be any nonzero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = c_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + \dots + c_n \mathbf{b}_n \quad (1)$$

for some scalars  $c_i$ . Now, let's multiply both sides of (1) by  $A^s$ , remembering that  $A^s \mathbf{b}_i = \lambda_i^s \mathbf{b}_i$ . So,

$$A^s \mathbf{w}_1 = \lambda_1^s c_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \lambda_2^s c_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + \lambda_3^s c_3 \mathbf{b}_3 + \dots + \lambda_n^s c_n \mathbf{b}_n \quad (2)$$

Now, factor out  $\lambda_1^s$

$$A^s \mathbf{w}_1 = \lambda_1^s \left( c_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \left( \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right)^s c_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + \left( \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_1} \right)^s c_3 \mathbf{b}_3 + \dots + \left( \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1} \right)^s c_n \mathbf{b}_n \right) \quad (3)$$

When  $s$  is large,  $\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1}$  are close to zero since  $\left| \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right| < 1$ . So, as long as  $c_1 \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda_1^s c_1 \mathbf{b}_1$  dominates the right hand side of the equation. So, when  $s$  is large enough  $A^s \mathbf{w}_1 \approx \lambda_1^s c_1 \mathbf{b}_1$ . This shows that we can approximate an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  by multiplying  $\mathbf{w}_1$  repeatedly by  $A$ . This multiplication may produce large numbers so scaling is used after each multiplication. More precisely, after each multiplication divide the resulting vector by the max of the magnitudes of the components.

Now, if  $\mathbf{x}$  is an eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_1$ , then

$$\frac{A \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\lambda_1 \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = \lambda_1 \quad (4)$$

As we compute the  $\mathbf{w}_j$ , the quotients  $\frac{A \mathbf{w}_j \cdot \mathbf{w}_j}{\mathbf{w}_j \cdot \mathbf{w}_j}$  should approach  $\lambda_1$  (these are called Rayleigh quotients).

## Summary of the Power Method

The Power Method for Finding the Dominant Eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of  $A$

- Step 1** Choose an appropriate vector  $w_1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as first approximation to an eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_1$ .
- Step 2** Compute  $Aw_1$  and the Rayleigh quotient  $(Aw_1 \cdot w_1)/(w_1 \cdot w_1)$ .
- Step 3** Let  $w_2 = (1/d_1)Aw_1$ , where  $d_1$  is the maximum of the magnitudes of components of  $Aw_1$ .
- Step 4** Repeat step 2, with all subscripts increased by 1. The Rayleigh quotients should approach  $\lambda_1$ , and the  $w_j$  should approach an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to  $\lambda_1$ .

## The Matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 6 & -7 & 2 \\ 13 & -18 & 4 & 6 \\ 21 & 32 & -16 & 9 \\ -4 & 8 & 7 & 11 \end{pmatrix}$$

```
In[1]:= Eigen[M_, x_] := (Transpose[M.x].x) // N
In[2]:= next[M_, x_] := M.x / Max[M.x]
In[3]:= B = {{-3, 6, -7, 2}, {13, -18, 4, 6}, {21, 32, -16, 9}, {-4, 8, 7, 11}}
Out[3]= {{-3, 6, -7, 2}, {13, -18, 4, 6}, {21, 32, -16, 9}, {-4, 8, 7, 11}}
In[4]:= w1 = {{1}, {1}, {-1}, {1}}
Out[4]= {{1}, {1}, {-1}, {1}}
In[5]:= Eigen[B, w1]
Out[5]= {{-15.25}}
In[6]:= w2 = next[B, w1]
Out[6]= {{0.153846}, {-0.0384615}, {1.}, {0.102564}}
In[7]:= Eigen[B, w2]
Out[7]= {{-13.2966}}
In[8]:= w3 = next[B, w2]
Out[8]= {{-1.02456}, {1.}, {-1.78947}, {0.985965}}
In[9]:= Eigen[B, w3]
Out[9]= {{-21.2589}}
In[10]:= w4 = next[B, w3]
Out[10]= {{0.49119}, {-0.678511}, {1.}, {0.21708}}
In[11]:= Eigen[B, w4]
Out[11]= {{-26.9769}}
In[12]:= w5 = next[B, w4]
Out[12]= {{-0.50669}, {1.}, {-1.06454}, {0.08347}}
```

```
In[13]:= Eigen[B, w5]
Out[13]= {{-32.2882} }

In[14]:= w6 = next[B, w5]
Out[14]= {{0.386752}, {-0.724116}, {1.}, {0.0892405} }

In[15]:= Eigen[B, w6]
Out[15]= {{-30.5133} }

In[16]:= w7 = next[B, w6]
Out[16]= {{-0.545484}, {1.}, {-1.33851}, {0.0283977} }

In[17]:= Eigen[B, w7]
Out[17]= {{-31.087} }

In[18]:= w8 = next[B, w7]
Out[18]= {{0.404173}, {-0.717134}, {1.}, {0.026642} }

In[19]:= Eigen[B, w8]
Out[19]= {{-30.5465} }

In[20]:= B.w8
Out[20]= {{-12.462}, {22.3225}, {-30.2209}, {-0.060704} }

In[21]:= -30.5 w8
Out[21]= {{-12.3273}, {21.8726}, {-30.5}, {-0.81258} }

In[22]:= Eigenvalues[B]
Out[22]= {{ $\sqrt{4}$  -30.8...}, { $\sqrt{4}$  16.4...}, { $\sqrt{4}$  -5.79... + 11.2... i}, { $\sqrt{4}$  -5.79... - 11.2... i}}
```

## Another Matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -44 & -88 \\ -5 & 55 & 113 \\ 1 & -24 & -48 \end{pmatrix}$$

```
In[23]:= A = {{1, -44, -88}, {-5, 55, 113}, {1, -24, -48}}
Out[23]= {{1, -44, -88}, {-5, 55, 113}, {1, -24, -48}}
```

```
In[24]:= w1 = {{-1}, {1}, {-1}}
Out[24]=
{{-1}, {1}, {-1} }

In[25]:= Eigen[A, w1]
Out[25]=
{{-39.6667} }

In[26]:= w2 = next[A, w1]
Out[26]=
{{1.}, {-1.23256}, {0.534884} }

In[27]:= Eigen[A, w2]
Out[27]=
{9.27106}

In[28]:= w3 = next[A, w2]
Out[28]=
{{1.}, {-1.51282}, {0.60114} }

In[29]:= Eigen[A, w3]
Out[29]=
{13.8136}

In[30]:= w4 = next[A, w3]
Out[30]=
{{1.}, {-1.38275}, {0.576452} }

In[31]:= Eigen[A, w4]
Out[31]=
{11.3651}

In[32]:= w5 = next[A, w4]
Out[32]=
{{1.}, {-1.43183}, {0.586356} }

In[33]:= Eigen[A, w5]
Out[33]=
{12.2805}

In[34]:= A.w5
Out[34]=
{{12.401}, {-17.4921}, {7.21871} }

In[35]:= 12.2805 w5
Out[35]=
{{12.2805}, {-17.5835}, {7.20075} }
```

**Finding the smallest eigenvalue of A (Find the largest eigenvalue of  $A^{-1}$  and take the reciprocal)**

```
In[36]:= AA = Inverse[A]
Out[36]=
{ { { -6/5, 0, 11/5}, { 127/60, -2/3, -109/20}, { -13/12, 1/3, 11/4} } }

In[37]:= Eigen[AA, w1]
Out[37]=
{ { 1.66667 } }

In[38]:= w2 = next[AA, w1]
Out[38]=
{ { -0.375 }, { 1. }, { -0.5 } }

In[39]:= Eigen[AA, w2]
Out[39]=
{ { 1.31311 } }

In[40]:= w3 = next[AA, w2]
Out[40]=
{ { -0.514003 }, { 1. }, { -0.502471 } }

In[41]:= Eigen[AA, w3]
Out[41]=
{ { 0.977146 } }

In[42]:= w4 = next[AA, w3]
Out[42]=
{ { -0.496665 }, { 1. }, { -0.499707 } }

In[43]:= Eigen[AA, w4]
Out[43]=
{ { 1.00691 } }
```

**Claim: Largest eigenvalue of A, in absolute value, is  $\lambda_1$  with  $|\lambda_1| \approx 12.2$  and the smallest is  $\lambda_3$  with  $|\lambda_3| \approx 1$ .**

```
In[44]:= Eigenvalues[A]
Out[44]=
{ 12, -5, 1 }
```