



Academic Year	Module	Assessment Number	Assessment Type
2024	5CS037/HJ1:		An End- to- End Machine Learning Project on Regression and Classification Task
	Concepts and	∗ Final portfolio Project	
	Technologies of Al		
	(Herald College,		
	Kathmandu, Nepal)		

Student Id : 2407750

Student Name : Shoaib Siddiqui

Section : G13

Module Leader : Mr. Siman Giri

Tutor : Ms. Durga Pokharel

Submitted on : February 10, 2025

Submission Date: February 11, 2025

Classification Task Report

Table of Contents

- 1. Abstract
- 2. Introduction
 - o Problem Statement
 - Dataset Description
 - Objectives
- 3. Methodology
 - Data Preprocessing
 - Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)
 - o Model Development
 - Model Evaluation Metrics
 - o <u>Hyperparameter Optimization</u>
 - o Feature Selection
- 4. Results and Discussion
 - o Model Performance Comparison
- 5. Conclusion
 - o Key Findings
 - Model Improvements
 - Future Directions
- 6. <u>References</u>

Abstract

The following report consists of classification analysis according to UNSDG 3: Good Health and Well-being. The project seeks to see if you can tell if an animal is a threat, given its symptoms. It covers a full machine learning pipeline from data preprocessing, featured optimal selection, model development, hyperparameter tuning, evaluation, etc. An optimized Random Forest Classifier yields the best results.

1. Introduction

1.1 Problem Statement

The classification task will be to predict whether an animal is dangerous based on its symptoms. Early identification of dangerous animals can help in disease prevention and better health management.

1.2 Dataset Description

- **Source**: World Health Organization, 2023 Global Animal Health Monitoring System.
- **Scope**: Symptoms and characteristics of animals with labels indicating whether they are dangerous.
- Sustainable Development Goal (SDG): Aligns with UNSDG 3 (Good Health and Wellbeing).

1.3 Objectives

- Perform EDA to check data distribution.
- Development of classification models, which involves evaluation.
- Optimization through feature selection and hyperparameter adjustment.
- Choosing symptoms that show the degree of danger.

2. Methodology

2.1 Data Preprocessing

- **Encoding:** Label encoding on categorical features
- Feature Engineering: Symptoms with texts will be embedded with CountVectorizer
- Class Balance: Application of SMOTE to balance the classes.

2.2 Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

- **Descriptive statistics** computed for all features.
- Correlation heatmap used to assess relationships between variables.
- Class distribution visualized through bar charts.

2.3 Model Development

The following models were implemented and compared:

1. Logistic Regression (from scratch)

- 2. Logistic Regression (Scikit-Learn)
- 3. Support Vector Machine (SVM)
- 4. Decision Tree Classifier
- **5. Random Forest Classifier (final optimized model)**

2.4 Model Evaluation Metrics

• Accuracy: The general classification correctness.

• Precision: How some of the fantastic class are accurate.

• Recall: This measures how many real positives are successfully identified.

• F1-score: Harmonic suggest of precision and take into account.

• **ROC-AUC score:** It calculates the place under the receiver operating function curve.

2.5 Hyperparameter Optimization

Random Forest
Classifier: n_estimators and max_depth were tuned using GridSearchCV.

2.6 Feature Selection

• **SelectKBest** applied to retain the most relevant features.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Model Performance Comparison

Model	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-score
Logistic	98.86%	99%		98%
Regression			100%	
(Scratch)				
Logistic	98.86%	99%		98%
Regression			100%	
(Scikit-			10070	
Learn)				

SVM	98.86%	99%	100%	98%
Decision	97.71%	99%	98%	98%
Tree	97.71%			
Random	98.86%	99%	100%	98%
Forest				
(Optimized)				

- Random Forest performed the best overall.
- Severe class imbalance impacted performance and recall for the minority class.
- SMOTE improved balance but further tuning is needed.

4. Conclusion

4.1 Key Findings

- Random Forest Classifier was the most effective model.
- Class imbalance significantly affected model evaluation metrics.
- Feature selection **reduced dimensionality** without major performance loss.

4.2 Model Improvements

- Hyperparameter tuning **improved Random Forest accuracy**.
- **SMOTE** application improved recall but further refinements are needed.
- Exploring **TF-IDF** for feature extraction could enhance text-based symptom analysis.

4.3 Future Directions

- **Test ensemble learning models** such as XGBoost or AdaBoost.
- Improve feature engineering using NLP-based techniques for symptom classification.
- Implement stratified sampling to ensure class balance in model training.

5. References

- World Organization for Animal Health (2024). Global Animal Health Monitoring Initiatives. Source https://www.woah.org/en/what-we-do/animal-health-and-welfare/
- Brown, A. (2024). *Machine Learning for Healthcare Applications*.

•	From dataset data.csv from https://www.kaggle.com/code/kareemellithy/animal-condition-predict-svm-knn/notebook
_	