

**MFE5130 – Financial Derivatives**  
**First Term, 2019 – 20**

**Assignment 5 (Solution)**

Additional Problem 1

The Sharpe ratio of Stock Q is greater than that of Stock X:

$$\frac{0.07 - 0.03}{0.12} > \frac{0.05 - 0.03}{0.11} \Rightarrow 0.3333 > 0.1818.$$

Since both assets follow GBM, a strategy that involves

- i. purchasing  $\frac{1}{\sigma_Q Q}$  shares of Stock Q and,
- ii. selling  $\frac{1}{\sigma_X X}$  shares of Stock X.

results an arbitrage profit of:

$$\left[ \frac{\alpha_Q - r}{\sigma_Q} - \frac{\alpha_X - r}{\sigma_X} \right] dt = \left[ \frac{0.07 - 0.03}{0.12} - \frac{0.05 - 0.03}{0.11} \right] dt = 0.1515 dt.$$

Therefore a strategy that involves selling 1 share of Stock X results in an arbitrage profit of:

$$(\sigma_X X)(0.1515 dt) = (0.11)(182)(0.1515) dt = 3.033 dt.$$

Additional Problem 2

Let  $\eta = \frac{0.05 - 0.09}{0.1} = -0.4$  and consider the Radon Nikodym derivative of  $Q$  with respect to  $P$  based on the information up to time  $t$ :

$$\frac{dQ}{dP} = \exp\left(0.4Z(t) - \frac{1}{2}(-0.4)^2 t\right) = \exp(0.4Z(t) - 0.08t).$$

Under the measure  $Q$ , the stochastic process

$$\tilde{Z}(t) = Z(t) - 0.4t$$

is a standard Brownian motion under  $Q$  by the Girsanov Theorem.

It is seen that when we set  $\eta = -0.4$  then

$$0.05dt + 0.1dZ(t) = 0.05dt + 0.1(d\tilde{Z}(t) + 0.4dt) = 0.09dt + 0.1d\tilde{Z}(t).$$

Therefore,  $S(t)$  is governed by

$$\frac{dS(t)}{S(t)} = 0.09dt + 0.1d\tilde{Z}(t)$$

under measure  $Q$ .

### Additional Problem 3

(a)

Let  $\delta_X$  and  $\delta_Y$  be the dividend yields of Stock X and Stock Y respectively.

Since  $\delta_X = 0$ ,  $r = 0.08$ .

$$\beta = r - \delta_Y = r - 0 = 0.08.$$

For non-dividend paying stock, under the risk-neutral measure,  $\beta$  is the risk-free interest rate.

(b)

Using  $Z_1(t)$  and  $Z_2(t)$ ,  $W_1(t)$  and  $W_2(t)$  can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} dW_1(t) &= \sqrt{1-0.2^2} dZ_1(t) + 0.2dZ_2(t), \\ dW_2(t) &= dZ_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

The stochastic differential equations of  $X(t)$  and  $Y(t)$  can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dX(t)}{X(t)} &= 0.08dt + 0.18\left(\sqrt{1-0.2^2} dZ_1(t) + 0.2dZ_2(t)\right) = 0.08dt + 0.1764dZ_1(t) + 0.036dZ_2(t), \\ \frac{dY(t)}{Y(t)} &= 0.08dt + 0.42dZ_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\alpha_1 = 0.1764$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 0.036$  and  $\alpha_3 = 0.42$ .

(c)

Assume the money market account starts at \$1 at  $t = 0$ . Let  $M(t)$  be the value of the money market account at time  $t$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dQ_X}{dQ} &= \frac{X(t)}{X(0)} \bigg/ \frac{M(t)}{M(0)} \\
&= \frac{X(0) \exp \left[ \left( 0.08 - \frac{1}{2}(0.1764)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(0.036)^2 \right) t + 0.1764Z_1(t) + 0.036Z_2(t) \right]}{X(0) \exp(0.08t)} \\
&= \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2}(0.1764)^2 t - \frac{1}{2}(0.036)^2 t + 0.1764Z_1(t) + 0.036Z_2(t) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

(d)

From the Girsanov theorem, we have

$$\tilde{Z}_1(t) = Z_1(t) - 0.1764t,$$

$$\tilde{Z}_2(t) = Z_2(t) - 0.036t.$$

are independent standard Brownian motions under  $Q_X$ .

So, the dynamic of  $Y(t)$  under  $Q_X$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dY(t)}{Y(t)} &= 0.08dt + 0.42(d\tilde{Z}_2(t) + 0.036dt) \\
&= 0.0951dt + 0.42d\tilde{Z}_2(t).
\end{aligned}$$

#### Additional Problem 4

From the given stochastic differential equation of  $X(t)$ , we have

$$X(t) = 100 \exp \left( \left( 0.05 - \frac{1}{2}(0.27)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(0.13)^2 \right) t + 0.27Z_1(t) + 0.13Z_2(t) \right).$$

Let

$$M(t) = e^{0.05t}$$

Define a new measure  $Q_X$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dQ_X}{dP} &= \frac{\frac{X(t)}{X(0)}}{\frac{M(t)}{M(0)}} \\
&= \frac{\exp\left(\left(0.05 - \frac{1}{2}(0.27)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(0.13)^2\right)t + 0.27Z_1(t) + 0.13Z_2(t)\right)}{e^{0.05t}} \\
&= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(0.27)^2 t - \frac{1}{2}(0.13)^2 t + 0.27Z_1(t) + 0.13Z_2(t)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

From the Girsanov theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Z}_1(t) &= Z_1(t) - 0.27t, \\
\tilde{Z}_2(t) &= Z_2(t) - 0.13t,
\end{aligned}$$

are independent standard Brownian motions under  $Q_X$ .

So, the dynamic of  $Y(t)$  under  $Q_X$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dY(t)}{Y(t)} &= 0.08dt + 0.32(d\tilde{Z}_2(t) + 0.13dt) \\
&= 0.1216dt + 0.32d\tilde{Z}_2(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(t) &= 100 \exp\left(\left(0.1216 - \frac{1}{2}(0.32)^2\right)t + 0.32\tilde{Z}_2(t)\right) \\
&= 100 \exp(0.0704t + 0.32\tilde{Z}_2(t)).
\end{aligned}$$

By using the theorem on change of numeraire, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E^P \left[ X(2) \mathbf{I}_{\{Y(2) \leq 100\}} \right] &= M(0) E^P \left[ \frac{M(2) X(2) \mathbf{I}_{\{Y(2) \leq 100\}}}{M(2)} \right] \\
&= X(0) E^{Q_X} \left[ \frac{M(2) X(2) \mathbf{I}_{\{Y(2) \leq 100\}}}{X(2)} \right] \\
&= X(0) E^{Q_X} \left[ M(2) \mathbf{I}_{\{Y(2) \leq 100\}} \right] \\
&= 100 e^{0.05(2)} E^{Q_X} \left[ \mathbf{I}_{\{Y(2) \leq 100\}} \right] \\
&= 100 e^{0.1} Q_X(Y(2) \leq 100) \\
&= 100 e^{0.1} Q_X(100 \exp(0.0704 \times 2 + 0.32 \tilde{Z}_2(2)) \leq 100) \\
&= 100 e^{0.1} Q_X(Z \leq -0.31) \\
&= 100 e^{0.1} (1 - N(0.31)) \\
&= 100 e^{0.1} (1 - 0.6217) \\
&= 41.8086.
\end{aligned}$$

#### Additional Problem 5

Let  $Y(t) = S^2(t)$ .

By Itô's lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
dY(t) &= \frac{\partial Y}{\partial S} dS(t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial S^2} (dS(t))^2 + \frac{\partial Y}{\partial t} dt \\
&= 2S(t)S(t)(0.08dt + 0.3dZ(t)) + \frac{1}{2}(2)S^2(t)(0.08dt + 0.3dZ(t))^2 + 0 \cdot dt \\
&= 2S^2(t)(0.08dt + 0.3dZ(t)) + S^2(t)(0.3)^2 dt \\
&= S^2(t)(0.25dt + 0.6dZ(t)) \\
&= Y(t)(0.25dt + 0.6dZ(t)).
\end{aligned}$$

So,  $Y(t)$  follows a GBM with the drift of 0.25 and volatility of 0.6. Also,  $Y(0) = 64$ .

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&E^P \left[ \max(S^2(2) - 60, 0) \right] \\
&= E^P \left[ \max(Y(2) - 60, 0) \right] \\
&= Y(0) e^{0.25(2)} N(\tilde{d}_1) - 60 N(\tilde{d}_2),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{d}_1 = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{64}{60}\right) + \left(0.25 + \frac{1}{2}(0.6)^2\right)2}{0.6\sqrt{2}} = 1.09,$$

$$\tilde{d}_2 = \tilde{d}_1 - 0.6\sqrt{2} = 0.24.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & E^P \left[ \max \left( S^2(2) - 60, 0 \right) \right] \\ &= E^P \left[ \max \left( Y(2) - 60, 0 \right) \right] \\ &= 64e^{0.25(2)} N(1.09) - 60N(0.24) \\ &= 55.2792. \end{aligned}$$

### Additional Problem 6

Let  $V(0)$  be the price of the financial derivative at time 0.

Since the dynamics of  $X(t)$  and  $Y(t)$  are under the risk-neutral measure and both stocks do not pay dividends, the risk-free interest rate  $r = 4\%$ .

Let  $M(t)$  be the value of the money market account at time  $t$ .

$$M(t) = e^{rt} = e^{0.04t}.$$

By the risk-neutral valuation theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} V(0) &= e^{-3(4\%)} E_0^Q \left[ \max \left( X(3) Y^2(3) - 235 X(3), 0 \right) \right] \\ &= M(0) E_0^Q \left[ \frac{X(3) \max \left( Y^2(3) - 235, 0 \right)}{M(3)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

We choose  $X(t)$  as the numeraire, the corresponding Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $Q_X$  with respect to  $Q$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dQ_X}{dQ} &= L(t) \\ &= \frac{X(t)}{X(0)} \bigg/ \frac{M(t)}{M(0)} \\ &= \frac{X(0) \exp \left[ \left( 0.04 - \frac{1}{2}(0.28)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(0.12)^2 \right) t + 0.28 Z_1(t) + 0.12 Z_2(t) \right]}{X(0) \exp(0.04t)} \\ &= \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2}(0.28)^2 t - \frac{1}{2}(0.12)^2 t + 0.28 Z_1(t) + 0.12 Z_2(t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

From the Girsanov theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Z}_1(t) &= Z_1(t) - 0.28t, \\ \tilde{Z}_2(t) &= Z_2(t) - 0.12t.\end{aligned}$$

are independent standard Brownian motions under  $Q_X$ .

So, the dynamic of  $Y(t)$  under  $Q_X$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dY(t)}{Y(t)} &= 0.04dt + 0.31(d\tilde{Z}_2(t) + 0.12dt) \\ &= 0.0772dt + 0.31d\tilde{Z}_2(t).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

By Ito's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}dY^2(t) &= 2Y(t)dY(t) + (dY(t))^2 \\ &= 2Y(t)Y(t)(0.0772dt + 0.31d\tilde{Z}_2(t)) + Y^2(t)(0.0772dt + 0.31d\tilde{Z}_2(t))^2 \\ &= 2Y^2(t)(0.0772dt + 0.31d\tilde{Z}_2(t)) + Y^2(t)(0.31)^2 dt \\ &= Y^2(t)(0.2505dt + 0.62d\tilde{Z}_2(t)).\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}Y^2(t) &= Y^2(0)\exp\left[\left(0.2505 - 0.5(0.62)^2\right)t + 0.62\tilde{Z}_2(t)\right] \\ &= 225\exp\left[0.0583t + 0.62\tilde{Z}_2(t)\right].\end{aligned}$$

By using the theorem on change of numeraire, we have

$$\begin{aligned}V(0) &= M(0)E_0^Q\left[\frac{X(3)\max(Y^2(3) - 235, 0)}{M(3)}\right] \\ &= X(0)E_0^{Q_X}\left[\frac{X(3)\max(Y^2(3) - 235, 0)}{X(3)}\right] \\ &= 12E_0^{Q_X}\left[\max(Y^2(3) - 235, 0)\right] \\ &= 12\left[225e^{(0.2505)(3)}N(\tilde{d}_1) - 235N(\tilde{d}_2)\right].\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{d}_1 = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{225}{235}\right) + (0.2505 + 0.5 \times 0.62^2)3}{0.62\sqrt{3}} = 1.2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{d}_2 = \tilde{d}_1 - 0.62\sqrt{3} = 0.13.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} V(0) &= 12 \left[ 225e^{0.2505(3)} N(\tilde{d}_1) - 235N(\tilde{d}_1) \right] \\ &= 12 \left[ 225e^{0.7515} N(1.2) - 235N(0.13) \right] \\ &= 3509.7986. \end{aligned}$$

### Additional Problem 7

From the given stochastic differential equations (SDEs), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(t) &= 3 \exp\left(\left(0.08 - 0.5 \times 0.28^2\right)t + 0.28Z_1(t)\right) = 3 \exp\left(0.0408t + 0.28Z_1(t)\right) \quad \text{and} \\ S_2(t) &= 5 \exp\left(\left(0.05 - 0.5 \times 0.35^2\right)t + 0.35Z_2(t)\right) = 5 \exp\left(-0.0113t + 0.35Z_2(t)\right). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(2)S_2(2) &= 15 \exp\left(\left(0.0408 - 0.0113\right)(2) + 0.28Z_1(2) + 0.35Z_2(2)\right) \\ &= 15 \exp\left(0.059 + 0.28Z_1(2) + 0.35Z_2(2)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} E[0.28Z_1(2) + 0.35Z_2(2)] &= 0; \\ Var[0.28Z_1(2) + 0.35Z_2(2)] &= (0.28)^2 Var[Z_1(2)] + (0.35)^2 Var[Z_2(2)] + 2(0.28)(0.35)Cov(Z_1(2), Z_2(2)) \\ &= (0.28)^2(2) + (0.35)^2(2) + 2(0.28)(0.35)(-0.1)\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2} \\ &= 0.3626. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $0.28Z_1(2) + 0.35Z_2(2) = \sqrt{0.3626}Z$ , where  $Z \sim N(0,1)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(S_1(2)S_2(2) > 20) &= \Pr\left(15 \exp\left(0.059 + \sqrt{0.3626}Z\right) > 20\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(Z > \frac{\ln\left(\frac{20}{15}\right) - 0.059}{\sqrt{0.3626}}\right) \\ &= \Pr(Z > 0.38) \\ &= 0.352. \end{aligned}$$

### Question 14.20



- a) Since all the options will be expiring at the same date  $t_1$ , we have the payoff of the chooser will be

$$\max[\max(S_{t_1} - K, 0), \max(K - S_{t_1}, 0)].$$

If  $S_{t_1} < K$ , then the payoff is  $K - S_{t_1}$ .

If  $S_{t_1} > K$ , then the payoff is  $S_{t_1} - K$ .

This is equivalent to a  $K$ -strike straddle.

- b) Using put-call parity at  $t_1$ , the value of the as-you-like-it option at  $t_1$  will be:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left[ C(S_{t_1}, K, T - t_1), C(S_{t_1}, K, T - t_1) + Ke^{-r(T-t_1)} - S_{t_1}e^{-\delta(T-t_1)} \right] \\ &= C(S_{t_1}, K, T - t_1) + \max \left( 0, Ke^{-r(T-t_1)} - S_{t_1}e^{-\delta(T-t_1)} \right) \\ &= C(S_{t_1}, K, T - t_1) + e^{-\delta(T-t_1)} \max \left( 0, Ke^{(\delta-r)(T-t_1)} - S_{t_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first term is the value of a call with strike  $K$  and maturity  $T$ ; the second term is the payoff from holding  $e^{-\delta(T-t_1)}$  put options that expire at  $t_1$  with strike  $Ke^{(\delta-r)(T-t_1)}$ .

### Question 23.1

The payoff of the COD can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Payoff} &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } S(T) < K \\ S(T) - K - P & \text{if } S(T) \geq K \end{cases} \\ &= (S(T) - K - P) \mathbf{I}_{\{S(T) \geq K\}}.\end{aligned}$$

By the risk-neutral valuation theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}V(0) &= M(0) E^Q \left( \frac{(S(T) - K - P) \mathbf{I}_{\{S(T) \geq K\}}}{M(T)} \right) \\ &= e^{-rT} E^Q \left[ (S(T) - K - P) \mathbf{I}_{\{S(T) \geq K\}} \right] \\ &= e^{-rT} E^Q \left[ (S(T) - K) \mathbf{I}_{\{S(T) \geq K\}} \right] - e^{-rT} P E^Q \left[ \mathbf{I}_{\{S(T) \geq K\}} \right].\end{aligned}$$

Since it is zero cost to buy COD, we have

$$0 = BSCall(S_0, K, \sigma, r, T, \delta) - P \times CashCall(S_0, K, \sigma, r, T, \delta).$$

$P$  can then be solved from this equation.

a) Given the inputs and pricing the above options,  $P$  must satisfy

$$0 = 10.45 - P(0.5323),$$

which implies  $P = 10.45/0.5323 = 19.632$ .

b) At  $t = 0$ , the delta of the COD is

$$0.637 - 19.632 \times 0.01875 = 0.2689$$

and the gamma of the COD is

$$0.019 - 19.632(-0.00033) = 2.55\%.$$

c) As the option approaches maturity, the delta will explode when the option is at the money, making delta hedging difficult.