Chapter 14 Martingale Pricing Theory

See Section 3.2 of "Mathematical Models of Financial Derivatives", 2nd edition, by Yue Kuen KWOK, Springer Verlag, 2008.

Points to Note

- What is the definition of the equivalent martingale measure?
 See P.3 6.
- 2. What is the relationship between the no-arbitrage price of a financial product and the risk-neutral probability? See P.8 13.
- 3. How do we change a measure in the expectation? Use the notation of the Randon-Nikodym derivative. See P.14 15.
- 4. Girsanov Theorem. See P.16 19.
- 5. Converting the dynamic of the asset price processes from the real probability to the risk-neutral probability. See P.20 25.
- 6. Derivation of the BS formula by using the change of numeraire. See P.26 38.
- 7. The Black-Scholes formula for the dividend-paying asset. See P.39 47.

Under the continuous time framework, the investors are allowed to trade continuously in the financial market up to finite time *T*.

Consider the securities model, there are K+1 securities whose price processes are modeled by M(t) and $S_m(t)$ (**non-dividend-paying assets**), where m=1,...,K.

The uncertainly of the market is described by the actual (real) probability measure (distribution) *P*.

We use M(t) to denote the money market account process that starts at \$1 and grows at the <u>deterministic</u> risk-free interest rate r(t), that is,

$$dM(t) = r(t)M(t)dt \Leftrightarrow M(t) = e^{\int_0^t r(s)ds}$$
.

The discounted security price process $S_m^*(t)$ is defined by

$$S_m^*(t) = \frac{S_m(t)}{M(t)}, \qquad m = 1, 2, \dots, K.$$

Definition

Suppose that Q_1 and Q_2 are probability measures (distributions) on the sample space Ω , Q_1 and Q_2 are <u>equivalent</u> if $Q_1(A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow Q_2(A) = 0$ for any $A \subset \Omega$.

Definition

A probability measure *Q* is said to be an <u>equivalent martingale measure</u> (or <u>risk-neutral measure</u>) to the real probability measure *P* if it satisfies

- i. Q is equivalent to P;
- ii. The discounted security price process $S_m^*(t)$, m=1,2,...,K, are martingales under Q, that is

$$E_t^{\mathcal{Q}}[S_m^*(u)] = S_m^*(t)$$
 for all $0 \le t \le u \le T$.

In (ii), $E_t^{\mathcal{Q}}(\cdot)$ is defined as the expectation with respect to the probability measure Q conditional on the information available up to time t. For simplicity, we would write $E_0^{\mathcal{Q}}(\cdot)$ as $E^{\mathcal{Q}}(\cdot)$.

Remark:

- a. Existence of $Q \Rightarrow$ Absence of arbitrage.
- b. Absence of arbitrage + some technical conditions \Rightarrow Existence of Q.
- c. Complete market $\Leftrightarrow Q$ is unique.

Theorem (Risk Neutral Valuation)

Assume that an equivalent martingale measure Q exists. Let V(T) be the payoff of a contingent claim which can be generated by the K+1 securities, the <u>no arbitrage price</u> of the contingent claim at time t, V(t), is given by

$$V(t) = M(t)E_t^{\mathcal{Q}} \left\lceil \frac{V(T)}{M(T)} \right\rceil.$$

Application of the Risk Neutral Valuation Theorem (Cont'd)

1. Zero-coupon bond (pays \$1 at the maturity T) V(T) = 1

By the risk neutral valuation theorem, we have

$$P(0,T) = M(0)E^{Q} \left[\frac{1}{M(T)} \right]$$
$$= E^{Q} \left[e^{-\int_{0}^{T} r(s)ds} \right].$$

Application of the Risk Neutral Valuation Theorem (Cont'd)

2. Forward price

The payoff for the long position is

V(T) = S(T) - K where K is the forward price.

By the risk neutral valuation theorem, we have

$$V(0) = M(0)E^{Q} \left[\frac{S(T) - K}{M(T)} \right].$$

Since there is 0 cost to enter into a forward contract, V(0) = 0. This implies

$$E^{\mathcal{Q}}\left\lceil \frac{S(T)-K}{M(T)}\right\rceil = 0.$$

So,

$$E^{\mathcal{Q}}\left[\frac{K}{M(T)}\right] = E^{\mathcal{Q}}\left[\frac{S(T)}{M(T)}\right]$$

$$KE^{\mathcal{Q}}\left[\frac{1}{M(T)}\right] = \frac{S(0)}{M(0)}$$

$$KP(0,T) = S(0)$$

$$K = \frac{S(0)}{P(0,T)}.$$

Application of the Risk Neutral Valuation Theorem (Cont'd)

3. Swap

Consider a swap with the fixed swap price payment dates on t_i , i = 1, ..., n.

Let *R* be the fixed swap price.

By the risk neutral valuation theorem, the value of the swap from the perspective of long party is given by

$$V(0) = M(0)E^{\mathcal{Q}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(S(t_i) - R\right)}{M(t_i)} \right].$$

Since the value of the swap is 0 at the inception date (t = 0),

12

Application of the Risk Neutral Valuation Theorem (Cont'd)

$$R\sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{Q} \left[\frac{1}{M(t_{i})} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{Q} \left[\frac{S(t_{i})}{M(t_{i})} \right]$$

$$R\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(0,t_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} S(0)$$

$$R\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(0,t_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{S(0)P(0,t_{i})}{P(0,t_{i})}$$

$$R\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(0,t_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{0,t_{i}} P(0,t_{i})$$

$$R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{0,t_{i}} P(0,t_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(0,t_{i})}.$$

Change of Measure

Definition

The Randon-Nikodym derivative of Q_2 with respect to Q_1 based is denoted as

$$\frac{dQ_2}{dQ_1}$$

This notation can be understood as the ratio of the two probability density functions (pdfs).

The expectations under measures Q_1 and Q_2 are related as follows:

$$E^{\mathcal{Q}_2}\left[Y\right] = E^{\mathcal{Q}_1}\left[\frac{d\mathcal{Q}_2}{d\mathcal{Q}_1}Y\right],$$

where Y is a random variable.

Girsanov Theorem (1-dimensional)

Let Z(t) be a Brownian motion under the probability measure P. The Radon-Nikodym derivative of \widetilde{P} with respect to P is given by

$$\frac{d\tilde{P}}{dP} = \xi(t),$$

where

$$\xi(t) = \exp\left\{-\eta Z(t) - 0.5\eta^2 t\right\}.$$

Girsanov Theorem (1-dimensional) (Cont'd)

Under the new probability measure \widetilde{P} , the process

$$\tilde{Z}(t) = Z(t) + \eta t,$$

is a Brownian.

Girsanov Theorem (K-dimensional)

Let $Z_1(t)$, ..., $Z_K(t)$ be K independent Brownian motions under the probability measure P. The Radon-Nikodym derivative of \widetilde{P} with respect to P is given by

$$\frac{d\tilde{P}}{dP} = \xi(t),$$

where

$$\xi(t) = \exp\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^{K} \eta_i Z_i(t) - 0.5t \sum_{i=1}^{K} \eta_i^2\right\}.$$

Girsanov Theorem (*K***-dimensional) (Cont'd)**

Under the new probability measure \widetilde{P} , the process

$$\widetilde{Z}_{i}(t) = Z_{i}(t) + \eta_{i}t, \quad \text{for } i = 1, ..., K$$

is a Brownian motion and $\widetilde{Z}_1(t)$, $\widetilde{Z}_1(t)$, ..., $\widetilde{Z}_K(t)$ are independent.

Black-Scholes Model Revisited

Assume the existence of a risk neutral measure *Q* under which all discounted price processes are martingales.

Also, assume that the securities model only has two tradable securities:

- (i) Money market account: M(t);
- (ii) Non-dividend paying asset: S(t).

The price processes of the risky asset and the money market account under the real (physical) probability measure *P* are governed by

$$\frac{dS(t)}{S(t)} = \mu dt + \sigma dZ(t)$$
$$dM(t) = rM(t)dt$$

respectively, where μ is the expected return on the stock, r is the constant risk-free interest rate, Z(t) is a Brownian motion under P.

The price of the discounted risky asset is

$$S^*(t) = \frac{S(t)}{M(t)}.$$

By Ito's lemma, the price process $S^*(t)$ becomes

$$\frac{dS^{*}(t)}{S^{*}(t)} = (\mu - r)dt + \sigma dZ(t)$$

$$= \sigma \left[dZ(t) + \frac{(\mu - r)}{\sigma} dt \right]$$

$$= \sigma d \left[Z(t) + \frac{(\mu - r)}{\sigma} t \right].$$

We would like to find the equivalent martingale measure Q under which $S^*(t)$ is a martingale. By the Girsanov Theorem, we choose

$$\xi(t) = \exp\{-\eta Z(t) - 0.5t\eta^2\}$$
 where $\eta = \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma}$,

Then

$$\widetilde{Z}(t) = Z(t) + \frac{(\mu - r)}{\sigma}t$$

is a Brownian motion under the new measure constructed from the Girsanov Theorm. This measure is the required *Q*.

Under the measure Q,

$$\frac{dS^*(t)}{S^*(t)} = \sigma d\widetilde{Z}(t)$$

 $\frac{dS^*(t)}{S^*(t)} = \sigma d\widetilde{Z}(t).$ Since $\widetilde{Z}(t)$ is a Brownian motion under Q, so $S^*(t)$ is a martingale under Q.

Under Q,

$$\frac{dS(t)}{S(t)} = rdt + \sigma d\widetilde{Z}(t)$$

where the drift rate equals the risk-free interest rate r.

By the risk-neutral valuation theorem, the price of the call option V(0) at time 0 is

$$V(0) = e^{r \times 0} E_0^{\mathcal{Q}} \left[\frac{\max(S(T) - K, 0)}{e^{rT}} \right]$$
$$= e^{-rT} E_0^{\mathcal{Q}} \left[\max(S(T) - K, 0) \right]$$

So, the Black-Scholes formula can then be obtained. The details can refer to the hand-written notes "Derivation of BS formula".

Change of Numeraire

A <u>numeraire</u> is a positively priced **non-dividend-paying** asset which denominate other asset. A typical example of a numeraire is the currency of a country, because people usually measure other asset's price in terms of the unit of currency.

In the risk-neutral valuation, we take the money market account as the numeraire, when the security price is denominated with money market account it is a martingale under the risk-neutral measure Q.

Theorem (Change of Numeraire)

(Geman, Karoui and Rochet (1995))

Let X(t) be a positively priced non-dividendpaying asset such that X(t)/M(t) is a martingale under Q.

Then there exists a probability measure Q_X defined by its Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to Q

$$\frac{dQ_X}{dQ} = \left(\frac{X(t)}{X(0)}\right) / \left(\frac{M(t)}{M(0)}\right), \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

Theorem (Change of Numeraire) (Cont'd) such that

$$V(0) = M(0)E^{\mathcal{Q}}\left[\frac{V(T)}{M(T)}\right] = X(0)E^{\mathcal{Q}_X}\left[\frac{V(T)}{X(T)}\right]$$

where V(T) is defined in the payoff of a derivative security which satisfies the properties in Risk neutral Valuation Theorem.

Remark

The Change of Numeraire Theorem can be generalized for changing from the numerairemeasure pair $(N_1(t), Q_{N_1})$ to the other pair $(N_2(t), Q_{N_2})$:

$$N_1(0)E^{\mathcal{Q}_{N_1}}\left[\frac{V(T)}{N_1(T)}\right] = N_2(0)E^{\mathcal{Q}_{N_2}}\left[\frac{V(T)}{N_2(T)}\right]$$

and

$$\frac{dQ_{N_1}}{dQ_{N_2}} = \left(\frac{N_1(t)}{N_1(0)}\right) / \left(\frac{N_2(t)}{N_2(0)}\right), \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

Deriving the Black-Scholes Formula

Define the indicator function $\mathbf{I}_{\{S_T \geq K\}}$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{I}_{\{S_T \ge K\}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S_T \ge K \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We have the following result for the indicator function:

$$E_t^{\mathcal{Q}}\big[\mathbf{I}_{\{S_T \geq K\}}\big] = \mathcal{Q}(S_T \geq K \mid S_t = S)$$

By the risk-neutral valuation theorem, the price of the call option at time 0 is

$$\begin{split} V(0) &= e^{-rT} E_0^{\mathcal{Q}} \left[\max(S_T - K, 0) \right] \\ &= e^{-rT} E_0^{\mathcal{Q}} \left[(S_T - K) \mathbf{I}_{\{S_T \ge K\}} \right] \\ &= e^{-rT} E_0^{\mathcal{Q}} \left[S_T \mathbf{I}_{\{S_T \ge K\}} \right] - e^{-rT} E_0^{\mathcal{Q}} \left[K \mathbf{I}_{\{S_T \ge K\}} \right] \\ &= e^{-rT} E_0^{\mathcal{Q}} \left[S_T \mathbf{I}_{\{S_T \ge K\}} \right] - e^{-rT} K E_0^{\mathcal{Q}} \left[\mathbf{I}_{\{S_T \ge K\}} \right] \\ &= e^{-rT} E_0^{\mathcal{Q}} \left[S_T \mathbf{I}_{\{S_T \ge K\}} \right] - e^{-rT} K \mathcal{Q}(S_T \ge K \mid S_0 = S) \end{split}$$

For simplicity, we assume $\delta = 0$, under Q,

$$\ln(S_T) \sim N(\ln(S_0) + (r - 0.5\sigma^2)T, \sigma^2T)$$

Therefore,

$$Q(S_T \ge K \mid S_0 = S) = N(d_2)$$

To evaluate $E_0^Q[S_T\mathbf{I}_{\{S_T\geq K\}}]$, we use the change of numeraire technique by changing the numeraire-measure pair (M_t, Q) to the other pair (S_t, Q_S) .

Now

$$egin{align} e^{-rT}E_0^{\mathcal{Q}}[S_T\mathbf{I}_{\{S_T\geq K\}}] &= E_0^{\mathcal{Q}}\Bigg[rac{S_T\mathbf{I}_{\{S_T\geq K\}}}{e^{rT}}\Bigg] \ &= SE_0^{\mathcal{Q}_S}\Bigg[rac{S_T\mathbf{I}_{\{S_T\geq K\}}}{S_T}\Bigg] \ &= SQ_S\left(S_T\geq K\mid S_0=S
ight) \end{aligned}$$

The Radon-Nikodym derivative of Q_S with respect to Q is

$$\frac{dQ_S}{dQ} = \left(\frac{S(t)}{S(0)}\right) / \left(\frac{M(t)}{M(0)}\right) = \left(\frac{S(t)}{S(0)}\right) / \left(\frac{e^{rt}}{e^{r\times 0}}\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(-0.5\sigma^2 t + \sigma Z_t\right)$$

By the Girsanov Theorem, we have

$$\widetilde{Z}_t = Z_t - \sigma t$$

is a Brownian motion under Q_S .

Under Q_S , we have

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = rdt + \sigma dZ_t$$

$$= rdt + \sigma \left(d\widetilde{Z}_t + \sigma dt\right)$$

$$= \left(r + \sigma^2\right)dt + \sigma d\widetilde{Z}_t$$

By Itô's lemma, we have

$$\ln(S_T) \sim N(\ln(S_0) + (r + 0.5\sigma^2)T, \sigma^2T)$$

So,
$$Q_s(S_T \ge K \mid S_0 = S)$$
$$= N(d_1)$$

Combining all the terms, we have

$$V(0) = SN(d_1) - e^{-rT}KN(d_2).$$

Continuous Dividend Yield Models

- In the previous sections, we require discounted stock prices are martingale under the risk-neutral measure. *This is the case provided the stock pays no dividend*.
- The key feature of a risk-neutral measure is that it causes discounted portfolio values to be martingales, and that ensures the absence of arbitrage.

• In order for the discounted value of a portfolio that invests in a dividend-paying stock to be a martingale, the discounted value of the stock with the dividends reinvested must be a martingale, but the discounted stock price itself is **NOT** a martingale. That is, we require

$$V^{*}(t) = \frac{e^{qt}S(t)}{M(t)},$$

where q is the dividend yield, to be a martingale under Q.

The asset price dynamics is assumed to follow the GBM

$$\frac{dS(t)}{S(t)} = \rho dt + \sigma dZ(t).$$

Suppose all the dividend yields received are used to purchase additional units of asset, then the value process V(t) of holding 1 unit of asset initially is given by

$$V(t) = e^{qt} S(t),$$

where e^{qt} represents the growth factor in the number of units.

By Ito's lemma, we have

$$\frac{dV(t)}{V(t)} = (\rho + q)dt + \sigma dZ(t).$$

We would like to find the equivalent riskneutral measure Q under which the

$$V^{*}(t) = \frac{V(t)}{M(t)}$$

is a Q-martingale.

We choose η in the Radon-Nikodym derivative to be

$$\eta = \frac{\rho + q - r}{\sigma}.$$

Now $\tilde{Z}(t)$ is a Brownian process under Q and

$$d\tilde{Z}(t) = dZ(t) + \frac{\rho + q - r}{\sigma} dt.$$

Also, V(t) becomes Q-martingale since

$$\frac{dV^*(t)}{V^*(t)} = \sigma d\tilde{Z}(t).$$

The asset price S(t) under Q becomes

$$\frac{dS(t)}{S(t)} = (r-q)dt + \sigma d\tilde{Z}(t).$$

Hence, the risk-neutral drift rate of S(t) is r - q.

Equivalently, we have

$$\frac{d\left(e^{qT}S(t)\right)}{e^{qT}S(t)} = rdt + \sigma d\tilde{Z}(t).$$

Call and put price formulas

The price of a European call option can be obtained as follows:

$$C = e^{-rT} E^{\mathcal{Q}} \left[\max \left(S(T) - K, 0 \right) \right]$$

$$= e^{-qT} e^{-rT} E^{\mathcal{Q}} \left[\max \left(e^{qT} S(T) - e^{qT} K, 0 \right) \right]$$

$$= e^{-qT} \left[Se^{q \times 0} N(d_1) - e^{-rT} e^{qT} KN(d_2) \right]$$

$$= Se^{-qT} N(d_1) - Ke^{-rT} N(d_2),$$

where

$$d_{1} = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{S}{Ke^{qT}}\right) + \left(r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}\right)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{S}{K}\right) + \left(r - q + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}\right)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}, \quad d_{2} = d_{1} - \sigma\sqrt{T}.$$

Similarly, the put price formula is given by

$$P = Ke^{-rT}N(-d_2) - Se^{-qT}N(-d_1).$$