Protocol Audit Report



Version 1.0

equious.eth

January 29, 2025

Protocol Audit Report January,27 2025

Protocol Audit Report

Showoffpetz

January,27 2025

Prepared by: showoffpetz Lead Auditors: - Showoffpetz

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- Findings
 - High
 - * [H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private
 - * [H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning a nonowner could change the password
 - Informational
 - * [I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword NatSpec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the documentation to be incorrect.
 - Gas

Protocol Audit Report January,27 2025

Protocol Summary

A smart contract application for storing a password. Users should be able to store a password and then retrieve it later. Others should not be able to access the password.

Disclaimer

The showoffpetz team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	Н	H/M	М
	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	М	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

The findings described in this document correspond the following commit hash:

```
1 2e8f81e263b3a9d18fab4fb5c46805ffc10a9990
```

Scope

```
1 ./src/
2 #-- PasswordStore.sol
```

Protocol Audit Report January,27 2025

Roles

- Owner: The user who can set password and read the password.
- Outsiders: No one else should be able to set or read the password.

Executive Summary

Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Info	1
Total	3

Findings

High

[H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private

Description: All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone, and can be read directly from the blockchain. The PasswordStore::s_password variable is intended to be a private variable and only accessed through PasswordStore::getPassword function, which is intended to be only called by the owner of the contract.

We show one such method of reading any data off chain below.

Impact: Anyone can read the private password, severly breaking the functionality of the protocol.

Proof of Concept: (proof of code)

The bwloe test case shows how anyone can read the password directly from blockchain.

1. Create a locally running chain

```
1 make anvil
```

2. Deploy the contract to the chain

```
1 make deploy
```

3. Run the storage tool

we use 1 because that's the storage slot of s_password in the contract.

```
1 cast storage <ADDRESS_HERE> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

You can then parse that hex to a string with:

And get an output of: myPassword

Recommended Mitigation: Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and the store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the password. However, you'd also likely wanty to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password.

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password

Description: The PasswordStore::setPassword function is set to be an external function, however the purpose of the smart contract and function's natspec indicate that This function allows only the owner to set a **new** password.

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
    // @Audit - There are no Access Controls.

s_password = newPassword;
emit SetNewPassword();
}
```

Impact: Anyone can set/change the stored password, severely breaking the contract's intended functionality

Proof of Concept: Add the following to the PasswordStore.t.sol test file:

Code

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address >randomAddress) public {
    vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
    vm.startPrank(randomAddress);
    string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
    passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);

    vm.startPrank(owner);
    string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.>getPassword();
    assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
}
```

Recommended Mitigation: Add an access control conditional to PasswordStore::setPassword

•

```
1 if(msg.sender != s_owner){
2    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
3 }
```

Informational

[I-1] The PasswordStore: getPassword NatSpec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the documentation to be incorrect.

Description:

The PasswordStore::getPassword function has the following code:

```
1 /*
2 * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
3 * @param newPassword The new password to set.
4 */
5 function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {}
```

The PasswordStore: getPassword function signature is getPassword() while the natspec says it should be getPassword(string).

Impact The incorrect NatSpec can mislead developers and auditors, potentially causing misunderstandings about the intended use of the function.

Recommended Mitigation: Remove the incorrect natspec line.

```
1 /*
2 * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
3 - * @param newPassword The new password to set.
4 */
```

Gas