

# One-time pad security:

OTP:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \mathcal{K} = \{0, 1\}^\lambda & \text{KeyGen:} & \text{Enc}(k, m): & \text{Dec}(k, c): \\ \mathcal{M} = \{0, 1\}^\lambda & k \leftarrow \mathcal{K} & \text{return } k \oplus m & \text{return } k \oplus c \\ \mathcal{C} = \{0, 1\}^\lambda & \text{return } k & & \end{array}$$

Claim:

OTP satisfies one-time secrecy. That is,  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}}$ .

We will **use** the fact that OTP ciphertexts are uniformly distributed:

$$\begin{array}{ccl} \text{CTXT}(m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda): \\ k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda \\ \text{return } k \oplus m \end{array} \equiv \begin{array}{ccl} \text{CTXT}(m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda): \\ c \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda \\ \text{return } c \end{array}$$



## Overview:

Want to show:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}} & \\ \hline \text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \text{OTP.M}): & \\ \hline k \leftarrow \text{OTP.KeyGen} & \\ c := \text{OTP.Enc}(k, m_L) & \\ \text{return } c & \end{array} \equiv \begin{array}{c|c} \mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}} & \\ \hline \text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \text{OTP.M}): & \\ \hline k \leftarrow \text{OTP.KeyGen} & \\ c := \text{OTP.Enc}(k, m_R) & \\ \text{return } c & \end{array}$$

Standard hybrid technique:

- ▶ Starting with  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$ , make a sequence of small modifications
- ▶ Each modification has no effect on calling program / adversary
- ▶ Sequence of modifications ends with  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}}$

## Security proof

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$ 

QUERY( $m_L, m_R \in \text{OTP}.\mathcal{M}$ ):

$k \leftarrow \text{OTP.KeyGen}$

$c := \text{OTP.Enc}(k, m_L)$

**return c**

Starting point is  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$ .

## Security proof

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$ 

QUERY( $m_L, m_R \in \text{OTP.M}$ ):

$k \leftarrow \text{OTP.KeyGen}$

$c := \text{OTP.Enc}(k, m_L)$

return  $c$

Starting point is  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$ . Fill in details of OTP

## Security proof



$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$

**QUERY( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):**

$k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$

$c := k \oplus m_L$

return  $c$

Starting point is  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$ . Fill in details of OTP

## Security proof

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$ 

**QUERY( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):**

$k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$

$c := k \oplus m_L$

**return  $c$**

Starting point is  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$ . Fill in details of OTP

## Security proof

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$ 

**QUERY( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):**

$k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$

$c := k \oplus m_L$

return  $c$

These statements appear also in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$ .

# Security proof



**QUERY**( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
   $c := \text{CTXT}(m_L)$   
  return  $c$

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$   
diamond  
**CTXT**( $m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
   $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$   
  return  $k \oplus m$

Factor out so that  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$  appears.

# Security proof



**QUERY**( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
 $c := \text{CTXT}(m_L)$   
**return**  $c$

$\diamond$   $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$   
**CTXT**( $m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
 $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$   
**return**  $k \oplus m$

Factor out so that  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$  appears.

# Security proof



**QUERY**( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
 $c := \text{CTXT}(m_L)$   
**return**  $c$

$\diamond$   $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}$

**CTXT**( $m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
 $c \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$   
**return**  $c$

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$  can be replaced with  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}$ .

# Security proof



**QUERY**( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
 $c := \text{CTXT}(m_L)$   
**return**  $c$

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}$   
**CTXT**( $m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
 $c \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$   
**return**  $c$

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$  can be replaced with  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}$ .

# Security proof



**QUERY**( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
 $c := \text{CTXT}(m_L)$   
return  $c$

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}$   
**CTXT**( $m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
 $c \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$   
return  $c$

Argument to **CTXT** is never used!

# Security proof


$$\frac{\text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda):}{\begin{aligned} c &:= \text{CTXT}(m_R) \\ \text{return } c \end{aligned}}$$
$$\diamond \quad \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}}{\begin{aligned} \text{CTXT}(m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda): \\ c \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda \\ \text{return } c \end{aligned}}$$

Unused argument can be changed to  $m_R$ .

# Security proof


$$\frac{\text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda):}{\begin{aligned} c &:= \text{CTXT}(m_R) \\ \text{return } c \end{aligned}}$$
$$\diamond \quad \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}}{\begin{aligned} \text{CTXT}(m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda): \\ c \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda \\ \text{return } c \end{aligned}}$$

Unused argument can be changed to  $m_R$ .

# Security proof



**QUERY**( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
 $c := \text{CTXT}(m_R)$   
return  $c$

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$

**CTXT**( $m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
 $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$   
return  $k \oplus m$

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}$  can be replaced with  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$ .

# Security proof



**QUERY**( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
 $c := \text{CTXT}(m_R)$   
**return**  $c$

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$   
◇ **CTXT**( $m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
 $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$   
**return**  $k \oplus m$

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}$  can be replaced with  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$ .

# Security proof



**QUERY**( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
     $c := \text{CTXT}(m_R)$   
    return  $c$

$\diamond$   $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$

**CTXT**( $m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
     $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$   
    return  $k \oplus m$

Inline the subroutine call.

## Security proof



```
QUERY( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
   $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$   
   $c := k \oplus m_R$   
  return  $c$ 
```

Inline the subroutine call.

## Security proof



```
QUERY( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
   $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$   
   $c := k \oplus m_R$   
  return  $c$ 
```

Inline the subroutine call.

## Security proof



```
QUERY( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
   $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$   
   $c := k \oplus m_R$   
  return  $c$ 
```

This happens to be  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}}$ .

# Security proof

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}}$ 

QUERY( $m_L, m_R \in \text{OTP}.\mathcal{M}$ ):

$k \leftarrow \text{OTP.KeyGen}$

$c := \text{OTP.Enc}(k, m_R)$

return  $c$

This happens to be  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}}$ .

## Security proof

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}}$ 

QUERY( $m_L, m_R \in \text{OTP}.\mathcal{M}$ ):

$k \leftarrow \text{OTP.KeyGen}$

$c := \text{OTP.Enc}(k, m_R)$

**return c**

This happens to be  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}}$ .