

## Project Proposal

Let  $f \in \mathbb{R}^N$  denote an image with  $N$  pixels and  $F$  be the 2-D Discrete Fourier Transform restricted to a partial set of frequencies. The partial Fourier data  $p$  containing  $n < N$  measurements, is obtained as  $p = Ff$ . Let  $D_x$  and  $D_y$  denote horizontal and vertical differential operators. For the image  $f$ , the partial derivatives are obtained by  $f_x = D_x f$  and  $f_y = D_y f$ . The total variation-based CS reconstruction is the result of the following optimization problem:

$$\hat{f} = \arg \min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^N} \lambda \|u\|_{BV} + \|Fu - p\|_2^2$$

A similar problem is to solve the following problem:

$$[\hat{f}_x, \hat{f}_y]^T = \arg \min_{v \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}} \lambda \|v\|_1 + \|Gv - p'\|_2^2$$

where  $p_x = FD_x f$ ,  $p_y = FD_y f$  and

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} F & 0 \\ 0 & F \\ \gamma D_y & \gamma D_x \end{pmatrix}, p' = \begin{pmatrix} p_x \\ p_y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

What we propose to do in this project is add a group lasso penalty to the last objective function. Basically divide  $v$  into groups, say  $v_g, g \in G$  and add a penalty term to solve:

$$[\hat{f}_x, \hat{f}_y]^T = \arg \min_{v \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}} \lambda_1 \|v\|_1 + \lambda_2 \sum_{g \in G} \|v_g\|_2 + \|Gv - p'\|_2^2$$

Some ideas for the groups are:

1.  $g_i = \{v_i, v_{N+i}\}$
2.  $g_i = \{v_{i-1}, v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{N+i-1}, v_{N+i}, v_{N+i+1}\}$

and so on. For any group lasso problem, it is common to use the ADMM. Let  $P(v) = \lambda_1 \|v\|_1 + \lambda_2 \sum_{g \in G} \|v_g\|_2$ . Then for ADMM the objective is defined as follows:

$$\arg \min_{u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}} \lambda_1 \|u\|_1 + \lambda_2 \sum_{g \in G} \|u_g\|_2 + \|Gv - p'\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \|u - v\|_2^2 \text{ s.t. } u = v$$

which becomes the augmented lagrangian

$$L(u, v, \lambda_3) = \lambda_1 \|u\|_1 + \lambda_2 \sum_{g \in G} \|u_g\|_2 + \|Gv - p'\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \|u - v\|_2^2 + \lambda_3^t (u - v)$$

The ADMM algorithm in this case is:

1.  $u^{k+1} = \arg \min_u L(u, v^k, \lambda_3^k)$
2.  $v^{k+1} = \arg \min_v L(u^k, v, \lambda_3^k)$
3.  $\lambda_3^{k+1} = \lambda_3^k + \rho(u - v)$

In the above, step 3 is trivial. In step 2 we have to minimize

$$\|Gv - p'\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \|u - v\|_2^2 + \lambda_3^t (u - v)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dv} \|Gv - p'\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \|u - v\|_2^2 + \lambda_3^t (u - v) \\ = 2G^t(Gv - p') + \rho(v - u) + \lambda_3 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, to solve for step 1 we need to minimize w.r.t.  $u$ :

$$\lambda_1 \|u\|_1 + \lambda_2 \sum_{g \in G} \|u_g\|_2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \|u - v\|_2^2 + \lambda_3^t (u - v)$$

Let  $k \in G$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{u_k} (\lambda_1 \|u\|_1 + \lambda_2 \sum_{g \in G} \|u_g\|_2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \|u - v\|_2^2 + \lambda_3^t (u - v)) \\ = (\lambda_3)_k + \rho(u_k - v_k) + \lambda_1 t_k + \lambda_2 s_k \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(t_k)_j = \begin{cases} \text{sign}((u_k)_j) & \text{if } (u_k)_j \neq 0 \\ \in [-1, 1] & \text{if } (u_k)_j = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$s_k = \begin{cases} \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|_2} & \text{if } u_k \neq 0 \\ \in \{u_k : \|u_k\|_2 \leq 1\} & \text{if } u_k = 0 \end{cases}$$

First consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min_u \frac{\rho}{2} \|u - v\|_2^2 + \lambda_3^t(u - v) + \lambda_1 \|u\|_1 \\
& \iff \min_u \frac{\rho}{2} (u^t u - 2v^t u) + \lambda_3^t u + \lambda_1 \|u\|_1 \\
& \iff \min_u \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\rho}{2} (u_i^2 - 2v_i u_i) + (\lambda_3)_i u_i + \lambda_1 |u_i| \right) \\
& \iff \sum_{i=1}^n \min_{u_i} \left( \frac{\rho}{2} (u_i^2 - 2v_i u_i) + (\lambda_3)_i u_i + \lambda_1 |u_i| \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider

$$\min_{u_i} \left( \frac{\rho}{2} (u_i^2 - 2v_i u_i) + (\lambda_3)_i u_i + \lambda_1 |u_i| \right)$$

and suppose  $u_i > \lambda_1/\rho$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min_{u_i} \left( \frac{\rho}{2} (u_i^2 - 2v_i u_i) + (\lambda_3)_i u_i + \lambda_1 u_i \right) \\
& \implies \rho(u_i - v_i) + (\lambda_3)_i + \lambda_1 \stackrel{set}{=} 0 \\
& \iff u_i = \left( v_i - \frac{(\lambda_3)_i}{\rho} \right) - \frac{\lambda_1}{\rho}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if  $u_i < -\lambda_1/\rho$ , then  $u_i \left( v_i - \frac{(\lambda_3)_i}{\rho} \right) + \frac{\lambda_1}{\rho}$ . In short,  $u = S(v - \frac{1}{\rho} \lambda_3, \frac{\lambda_1}{\rho})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\lambda_3)_k + \rho(u_k - v_k) + \lambda_1 t_k + \lambda_2 s_k = 0 \\
& u_k = 0 \\
& \iff \|S(v_k - \frac{1}{\rho} (\lambda_3)_k, \frac{\lambda_1}{\rho})\|_2 \leq \lambda_2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& u_k \neq 0 \\
& \iff ((\lambda_3)_k)_j + \rho((u_k)_j - (v_k)_j) + \lambda_1 \text{sign}((u_k)_j) + \lambda_2 \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|_2}
\end{aligned}$$

What happens when  $(u_k)_j = 0$  vs  $(u_k)_j \neq 0$ ? When  $(u_k)_j = 0$ , we have that  $|(v_k)_j - \frac{1}{\rho} ((\lambda_3)_k)_j| \leq \frac{\lambda_1}{\rho}$ . When  $(u_k)_j \neq 0$ , ...