

CS430 Homework 4

Chapter 5

Problem-1:

Give an algorithm that finds the median value using at most $O(\log n)$ queries.

Answer:

We have 2 database DB1 and DB2.

Each database contains n Numerical values so there are total $2n$ values.

We need to find n th smallest value as the median of these $2n$ values.

First we query each database for its median- so we query for $n/2$ element.

Median of database DB1 is m_1 and for database DB2 is m_2 .

We show the median of the joint database must be in between m_1 and m_2

To see this, there are at least n records in DB1 and $DB2 \leq \max(m_1, m_2)$

Means, median of database is not smaller than $\min(m_1, m_2)$ and not greater than $\max(m_1, m_2)$.

Algorithm:

1. FindJointMedian ($A, a_{low}, a_{high}, B, b_{low}, b_{high}$):
2. if $a_{low} == a_{high}$

$m_1 = \text{query}(DB1, 1)$
 $m_2 = \text{query}(DB2, 1)$
 $\text{return } \min(m_1, m_2)$
3. $m_1 = \text{query}(DB1, (a_{high} + a_{low} - 1)/2)$
4. $m_2 = \text{query}(DB2, (b_{high} + b_{low} - 1)/2)$
5. if $m_1 < m_2$

$\text{return FindJointMedian}(DB1, m_1 + 1, a_{high}, DB2, b_{low}, m_2)$
6. else

$\text{return FindJointMedian}(DB1, a_{low}, m_1, DB2, m_2 + 1, b_{high})$

Let $T(n)$ be the total number of queries.

As each round we reduce the problem size by half using two queries, we have $T(n) = T(n/2) + 2$.

So, $T(n) = O(\log n)$.

Problem-2

$A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n\}$

Function Count_Inversion (A [1...n])

If $n=1$

No of inversion $N=0$ // list has only one element

Else

//divide the list into two part

A contains the first $[n/2]$ elements

B contains the reaming $[n/2]$ elements

Count_Inversion(A)

//return No of counting inversion N_1 and sorted Array $A_1[b_1 \dots b_k]$

Count_Inversion(B)

//return No of counting inversion N_2 and sorted Array $B_1[b_{k+1} \dots b_n]$

Initialize $i=1, j=1, N_3=0$

// to count the number of significant split inversions

while ($i \leq \text{length}(A_1)$ and $j \leq \text{length}(B_1)$)

if($A_1[i] > 2*B_1[j]$)

$N_3 = N_3 + \text{length}(A_1) - i + 1$

$j = j + 1;$

else

$i = i + 1;$

//the normal merge-sort process

$i = 1, j=1$

//the sorted A to be output

Output Array $D=[1 \dots n]$ //initialize with zero

for $k = 1$ to n

if $A_1[i] < B_1[j]$

$D[k] = A1[i];$

$i = i + 1;$

else

$D[k] = B1[j];$

$j = j + 1;$

return output Array D and Total No of Inversion $N = (N1 + N2 + N3)$

Runtime Analysis:

At each level, counting of significant split inversions and the normal merge-sort process take $O(n)$ time

we break the problem into two subproblems and the size of each subproblem is $n/2$.

Hence, the recurrence relation is $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$.

So, Time complexity is $O(n \log n)$.

Problem-3

There are n cards.

Let $c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n$ are the equivalence classes of the cards.

Cards i and j are equivalent if $c_i = c_j$

We need to search for value x so that more than $n/2$ of the indices have $c_i = x$

We have total n cards so divide the cards in two $n/2$ parts and apply the algorithm recursively to each part.

So, if there are more than $n/2$ cards have equivalent class x in whole set, that means one of the 2 parts will have more than half the cards equivalent to x .

Suppose more than half cards are equivalent to x , that means one of the 2 parts will also have at least half of its the cards being equivalent to x .

So, At least one of the 2 recursive call return a card that has equivalent class x

However, reverse is not true : just because more than half the element on one side equivalent to x does not mean more than half of the total elements among both side equivalent to x .

That's why If we get a majority card return from either side ,we have to verify it

Algorithm:

Majority(S)

If $|S|=1$

Return the card in S

Let S1 be the first $n/2$ cards and S2 be the remaining $n/2$ cards

Call function Majority(S1)

If function return a card **a** test this against all other cards

If **a** is strict majority, return **a**

Call function Majority(S2)

If function return a card **b** test this against all other cards

If **b** is strict majority, return **b**

Return no strict Majority found

Running Time:

$T(n)$: Running time with n cards

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + 2n$$

So $T(n)$ is $O(n \log n)$

Problem-4

Algorithm:

Algorithm that takes n lines as input

$$L = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\}$$

Base Case: if $n \leq 3$ we can find visible line in constant time.

Divide the number of lines into 2 parts Lines from L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m and lines from $L_{m+1}, L_{m+2}, \dots, L_n$

Recursively Compute sequence of visible line $L = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_p\}$ and set of intersection point a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1} (from line L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m) in order of increasing slope

Recursively compute sequence of visible line $L' = \{L_{j_1}, L_{j_2}, \dots, L_{j_q}\}$ and intersection point b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{q-1} (from $L_{m+1}, L_{m+2}, \dots, L_n$)

Merge the set of intersection points into a single list of points as per the increasing X-coordinate values - say $c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{p+q-2}$

For each k ,

We consider the line that is uppermost in both L and L' at X-coordinate c_k

The uppermost line $L_{i_{s1}}$ in L lies above the uppermost line $L_{j_{s2}}$ in L' at x-coordinate C_z

//(z smallest index)

let (x_1, y_1) is the intersection point of line $L_{i_{s1}}$ and $L_{j_{s2}}$

Implied point x_1 lies between the x-coordinate of C_{z-1} and c_z

That means $L_{i_{s1}}$ is uppermost in $L + L'$ immediately to the left of x_1 and $L_{j_{s2}}$ is uppermost in $L + L'$ immediately to the right of x_1

Thus,

The sequence of visible lines is $L_{i_1}, L_{i_2}, \dots, L_{i_{s1}}, L_{j_{s2}}, \dots, L_{j_q}$ and

Sequence of intersection points is $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_{s1}-1}, (x_1, y_1), b_{j_{s2}}, \dots, b_{j_q-1}$

Running Time:

Sorting n lines in increasing order of slope take $O(n \log n)$ time

Finding visible line with intersection point take and merging sorted list of intersection point takes $O(n)$

So this Algorithm can be implemented in $O(n \log n)$ time.