

Remedies-For-Errors.R

Shraddha Somani

```
require(faraway)

## Loading required package: faraway

head(uswages)

##           wage educ exper race smsa ne mw so we pt
## 6085    771.60   18   18    0    1  1  0  0  0  0
## 23701   617.28   15   20    0    1  0  0  0  1  0
## 16208   957.83   16    9    0    1  0  0  1  0  0
## 2720    617.28   12   24    0    1  1  0  0  0  0
## 9723    902.18   14   12    0    1  0  1  0  0  0
## 22239   299.15   12   33    0    1  0  0  0  1  0

uswages$exper[uswages$exper < 0] = NA
uswages$race = factor(uswages$race)
levels(uswages$race) = c("White", "Black")
uswages$smsa = factor(uswages$smsa)
levels(uswages$smsa) = c("No", "Yes")
uswages$pt = factor(uswages$pt)
levels(uswages$pt) = c("No", "Yes")
uswages = data.frame(uswages,
  region =
    1*uswages$ne +
    2*uswages$mw +
    3*uswages$so +
    4*uswages$we)
uswages$region = factor(uswages$region)
levels(uswages$region) = c("ne", "mw", "so", "we")
uswages = na.omit(uswages)
summary(uswages)

##           wage           educ           exper           race           smsa
## Min.      : 50.39   Min.      : 0.00   Min.      : 0.00   White:1812   No : 483
## 1st Qu.: 314.69   1st Qu.:12.00   1st Qu.: 8.00   Black: 155   Yes:1484
## Median : 522.32   Median :12.00   Median :16.00
## Mean      : 613.99   Mean      :13.08   Mean      :18.74
## 3rd Qu.: 783.48   3rd Qu.:16.00   3rd Qu.:27.00
## Max.      :7716.05   Max.      :18.00   Max.      :59.00
##           ne           mw           so           we
## Min.      :0.0000   Min.      :0.0000   Min.      :0.0000   Min.      :0.000
## 1st Qu.:0.0000   1st Qu.:0.0000   1st Qu.:0.0000   1st Qu.:0.000
## Median :0.0000   Median :0.0000   Median :0.0000   Median :0.000
## Mean      :0.2278   Mean      :0.2481   Mean      :0.3132   Mean      :0.211
## 3rd Qu.:0.0000   3rd Qu.:0.0000   3rd Qu.:1.0000   3rd Qu.:0.000
```

```

## Max. :1.0000 Max. :1.0000 Max. :1.0000 Max. :1.0000
## pt region
## No :1802 ne:448
## Yes: 165 mw:488
## so:616
## we:415
##
##

# Compute OLS fit to model log(wage)~.
# Perform the Shapiro-Wilk Test of Normality for the residuals, what is the
conclusion?
require(car)

## Loading required package: car

##
## Attaching package: 'car'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:faraway':
##
## logit, vif

m = lm(log(wage) ~ ., uswages)
summary(m)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = log(wage) ~ ., data = uswages)
##
## Residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
## -2.5158 -0.3309 0.0504 0.3520 3.9446
##
## Coefficients: (4 not defined because of singularities)
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 4.756885 0.074240 64.074 < 2e-16 ***
## educ 0.087515 0.004494 19.472 < 2e-16 ***
## exper 0.015483 0.001016 15.243 < 2e-16 ***
## raceBlack -0.215273 0.048723 -4.418 1.05e-05 ***
## smsaYes 0.177741 0.030177 5.890 4.54e-09 ***
## ne -0.046846 0.038922 -1.204 0.229
## mw -0.038526 0.038021 -1.013 0.311
## so -0.036765 0.036635 -1.004 0.316
## we NA NA NA NA
## ptYes -1.067418 0.046344 -23.032 < 2e-16 ***
## regionmw NA NA NA NA
## regionso NA NA NA NA
## regionwe NA NA NA NA
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```

```
##
## Residual standard error: 0.5686 on 1958 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.368, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3654
## F-statistic: 142.5 on 8 and 1958 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

shapiro.test(residuals(m))

##
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
## data: residuals(m)
## W = 0.96353, p-value < 2.2e-16

# Answer
# - The null hypothesis is that the the residuals are normal.
# - Since the p-value is smaller than the significant value (0.05), we reject
the null hypothesis.
# - Hence, we can say that the residuals are not normal.

# Compute WLS fit to model log(wage)~. and weights = 1/(1+educ)
# Perform the Shapiro-Wilk Test of Normality for the residuals, what is the
conclusion?
m1 = lm(log(wage) ~ ., uswages, weight = 1/(1 + educ))
shapiro.test(residuals(m1))

##
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
## data: residuals(m1)
## W = 0.972, p-value < 2.2e-16

# Answer
# - The null hypothesis is that the the residuals are normal.
# - Since the p-value is smaller than the significant value (0.05), we reject
the null hypothesis.
# - Hence, we can say that the residuals are not normal.

# Compute Robust fit to model log(wage)~. using Huber, Hampel, Biquare, LTS,
and LAD
# Compare coefficients of the above fits using OLS, WLS, Huber, Hampel,
Biquare, LTS, and LAD
# Which would you recommend?
# Why?
require(MASS)

## Loading required package: MASS

# Huber M-Estimation
m2 = rlm(log(wage) ~ educ + exper + race + smsa + pt, psi = psi.huber,
```

```

uswages)
# Hampel M-estimation
m3 = rlm(log(wage) ~ educ + exper + race + smsa + pt, psi = psi.hampel, init
= "lts", maxit = 100, uswages)
# Tukey Bisquare M-estimation
m4 = rlm(log(wage) ~ educ + exper + race + smsa + pt, psi = psi.bisquare,
init = "lts", maxit = 100, uswages)
# Least Trimmed Squares (LTS)
require(robustbase)

## Loading required package: robustbase

##
## Attaching package: 'robustbase'

## The following object is masked from 'package:faraway':
##
##     epilepsy

m5 = ltsReg(log(wage) ~ educ + exper + race + smsa + pt, data = uswages)

## Warning in covMcd(X, alpha = alpha, use.correction = use.correction): The
986-th order statistic of the absolute deviation of variable 3
## is zero.
## There are 1812 observations (in the entire dataset of 1967 obs.)
## lying on the hyperplane with equation  $a_1(x_{i1} - m_1) + \dots +$ 
##  $a_p(x_{ip} - m_p) = 0$  with  $(m_1, \dots, m_p)$  the mean of these
## observations and coefficients  $a_i$  from the vector  $a \leftarrow c(0, 0, 1,$ 
##  $0, 0)$ 

m6 = ltsReg(log(wage) ~ educ + exper + race + smsa + pt, data = uswages,
nsamp = "exact")

## Warning in .fastlts(x, y, h, nsamp, intercept, adjust, trace =
as.integer(trace)): 'nsamp' options 'best' and 'exact' not allowed for n
greater than 599. Will use default.

## Warning in .fastlts(x, y, h, nsamp, intercept, adjust, trace =
as.integer(trace)): The 986-th order statistic of the absolute deviation of
variable 3
## is zero.
## There are 1812 observations (in the entire dataset of 1967 obs.)
## lying on the hyperplane with equation  $a_1(x_{i1} - m_1) + \dots +$ 
##  $a_p(x_{ip} - m_p) = 0$  with  $(m_1, \dots, m_p)$  the mean of these
## observations and coefficients  $a_i$  from the vector  $a \leftarrow c(0, 0, 1,$ 
##  $0, 0)$ 

# Least Absolution Deviation (LAD)
require(quantreg)

## Loading required package: quantreg

```

```
## Loading required package: SparseM

##
## Attaching package: 'SparseM'

## The following object is masked from 'package:base':
##
##      backsolve

m7 = rq(log(wage) ~ educ + exper + race + smsa + pt, data = uswages)
# Comparing Coefficients
coefs <- compareCoefs(m, m2, m3, m4, m5, m6, m7, se = FALSE)

## Warning in compareCoefs(m, m2, m3, m4, m5, m6, m7, se = FALSE): models to
## be compared are of different classes

##
## Call:
## 1: lm(formula = log(wage) ~ ., data = uswages)
## 2: rlm(formula = log(wage) ~ educ + exper + race + smsa + pt, data =
##   uswages, psi = psi.huber)
## 3: rlm(formula = log(wage) ~ educ + exper + race + smsa + pt, data =
##   uswages, psi = psi.hampel, init = "lts", maxit = 100)
## 4: rlm(formula = log(wage) ~ educ + exper + race + smsa + pt, data =
##   uswages, psi = psi.bisquare, init = "lts", maxit = 100)
## 5: ltsReg.formula(formula = log(wage) ~ educ + exper + race + smsa +
##   pt, data = uswages)
## 6: ltsReg.formula(formula = log(wage) ~ educ + exper + race + smsa +
##   pt, data = uswages, nsamp = "exact")
## 7: rq(formula = log(wage) ~ educ + exper + race + smsa + pt, data =
##   uswages)
##
##      Est. 1   Est. 2   Est. 3   Est. 4   Est. 5   Est. 6   Est. 7
## (Intercept)  4.7569  4.6335  4.6172  4.5857                4.6396
## educ         0.0875  0.0961  0.0966  0.0997  0.1000  0.0999  0.0963
## exper        0.0155  0.0162  0.0160  0.0167  0.0174  0.0174  0.0167
## raceBlack    -0.2153 -0.2069 -0.2097 -0.2131 -0.2374 -0.2383 -0.2599
## smsaYes      0.1777  0.1611  0.1631  0.1594  0.1640  0.1650  0.1833
## ne           -0.0468
## mw           -0.0385
## so           -0.0368
## we
## ptYes        -1.0674 -1.1494 -1.1401 -1.1694 -1.2084 -1.2014 -1.1826
## regionmw
## regionso
## regionwe
## Intercept                4.5887  4.5899

colnames(coefs) <- c("OLS", "Huber", "Bisquare", "Hampel", "LTS", "LTS-
exact", "LAD")
coefs
```

	OLS	Huber	Bisquare	Hample	LTS
## (Intercept)	4.75688510	4.63347176	4.61716382	4.58567071	NA
## educ	0.08751484	0.09614668	0.09663798	0.09967062	0.1000266
## exper	0.01548319	0.01618256	0.01604057	0.01668646	0.0174227
## raceBlack	-0.21527343	-0.20692145	-0.20970829	-0.21311066	-0.2374227
## smsaYes	0.17774071	0.16107905	0.16310193	0.15944017	0.1640238
## ne	-0.04684583	NA	NA	NA	NA
## mw	-0.03852593	NA	NA	NA	NA
## so	-0.03676539	NA	NA	NA	NA
## we	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
## ptYes	-1.06741757	-1.14937568	-1.14008600	-1.16944555	-1.2084399
## regionmw	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
## regionso	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
## regionwe	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
## Intercept	NA	NA	NA	NA	4.5886638
##	LTS-exact	LAD			
## (Intercept)	NA	4.63955830			
## educ	0.09994071	0.09630694			
## exper	0.01738042	0.01666800			
## raceBlack	-0.23829355	-0.25991098			
## smsaYes	0.16502113	0.18334795			
## ne	NA	NA			
## mw	NA	NA			
## so	NA	NA			
## we	NA	NA			
## ptYes	-1.20136371	-1.18258840			
## regionmw	NA	NA			
## regionso	NA	NA			
## regionwe	NA	NA			
## Intercept	4.58989314	NA			

Answer:

- We see that LTS and LTS-exact appear to agree with each other and both are very different from OLS.

- ALL three M-estimation methods, Huber, Bisquare, and Hample are different from each other, and different from OLS and both LTS's.

- LAD is similar to OLS.

- LTS is recommended since it has the best breakdown.