

Dec 7 : ILFP

Higher order functions on lists

- maps
 - filters
 - fold (f) (r)
-]
- 3 common fns applied to
Containers
- Map : applies f to each element of the
Container
 - filter : applies f to filter (exclude) some
elements from the Container
 - fold : Another name for → reduce. on computation

$\text{map } f \text{ } ls = \begin{cases} [] & \text{if } ls = [] \\ (f(\text{head } ls)) : (\text{map } f \text{ } (\text{tail } ls)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

where $f: A \rightarrow B$

\wedge ls is A list

$\text{filter } pred \text{ } ls = \begin{cases} [] & \text{if } ls = [] \\ (\text{head } ls) : (\text{filter } pred \text{ } (\text{tail } ls)) & \text{if } pred(\text{head } ls) \\ \text{filter } pred \text{ } (\text{tail } ls) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Eg: choosing evens or odds from
the $[]$ list. $pred x = \begin{cases} x \bmod 2 == 0 & \text{then True} \\ \text{else False} & \end{cases}$

positives $ls = \text{filter pos } ls$

where $\text{pos } x = x > 0$

$\text{foldl } f \ e \ \text{ls} =$

case ls ⌈

initial
element

[] $\Rightarrow e$

$x : xs \rightarrow \text{foldl } f \ (f \ x \ e) \ xs$

f is a binary function

$f : A \times B \rightarrow B$

e : B $\xrightarrow{\quad}$ initial value

$e \xrightarrow{a_0} f(a_0, e) \xrightarrow{a_1} \dots \xrightarrow{a_1, f(a_0, e)} \dots$

$\xrightarrow{a_n} f(a_m, f(a_{m-1}, f(a_{m-2}, \dots, f(a_0, e) \dots)))$

$\text{foldr } f \ e \ \text{ls} =$

case ls ⌈

[] $\rightarrow e$

$x : xs \rightarrow f \ x \ (\text{foldr } f \ e \ xs)$

what is remarkable is that map & filter can
be defined in terms of fold

map f ls = foldr f' [] ls
where $f' x xs = (f(x) : xs)$

filter p ls = foldr f' [] ls
where
 $f' x xs = \begin{cases} p x \text{ then } x : xs \\ \text{else } xs \end{cases}$

Notice that foldl is tail-recursive

and foldr is not

** foldl & foldr will produce the same o/p
so long as f is associative

Use of map & fold(reduce)

- Large scale Computations on large datasets

- Google's MapReduce, Hadoop etc.

In 2008 : John Dean & Ghemawat attribute functional programming for their proposed abstraction!

paper

Datatypes & Structural Induction

Booleans, Integers, Reals, Characters, Strings

for each of these primitive types
a unique type structure exists
in functional languages

In general how
we represent structured data depends on
the following:

1. Constructors : which permit the construction and extension of the structure → the layout of data i.e. how the data representation is built up

2. Destructors : permit breaking the structure into its component parts

3. Defining eqn : constructors may be used to reconstruct a data structure

Imp. of datatypes

- provide implicit context for many apps.
so that the programmer doesn't have to provide the context
- limit the set of semantically valid operations that can be performed
- if known at compile time, then can be used for optimisations

Compositional types

Tuples, lists

Let us take lists

data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)

Constructors ; Nil, Cons

Destructors : head, tail

data color = Red | Green | Blue

data Point a = Pt [a, a]

for any type

a, Pointer defines

a cartesian points
that use t as the coordinate type!

Type sign ↗ Pt : a → a → Point a

Other examples

Option Datatype \rightarrow a polymorphic datatype

data Maybe t = Nothing | Just t

Constructors: Nothing, Just

Destructors : show

Defining Eqn : $M = \text{Nothing} \vee M$
 $= \text{Just} (\text{show } M)$

Binary Tree Type

data BinTree a = Empty | Node a (BinTree a)
(BinTree a)

Polymorphic bintree whose elements
are either leaf nodes containing a value of type a
or internal nodes containing two subtrees

Constructors: Empty, Node

Destructors: root, leftSubtree, rightSubtree

Defining Eqn: $\overline{T} = \text{Empty} \vee T = \text{Node}(\text{root } \overline{T})$
 $(\text{leftSubtree } \overline{T})$
 $(\text{rightSubtree } \overline{T})$

