

## Lec 9 : ILFP COL765

Last lecture

- Data types : Constructors, Destructors, Defining Eqns
- Recursive data types : Lists, Trees
- Haskell has static type checking  
i.e. Every expression has an assigned type
  - 'a' == "a" is a type mismatch  $\text{Char} \neq [\text{Char}]$
- Haskell uses type inference (No need to explicitly specifying expr types)

Even without destructors  
the structure can be broken  
down via pattern matching

## Polymorphic type system

i.e. you can have type variables

Eg: tail has a polymorphic type

$$[\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha]$$

i.e. it can take  
as argument any list  
and return a value  
which is a list  
of the same type

: tail [1, 2, 3, 4]

: tail "Subodh"

Eg : t fst

$$\forall a, b . (a, b) \rightarrow a$$

implicit universal quantification

## Type Classes

### Motivation

- In many languages such as C++, Java etc. function overloading exists
- Eg: integer comparison, character comparison, floatingpt. comparison
  - In general what we want is an  $\alpha$ -Compare, where  $\alpha$  is a type variable
    - ie. a variable whose value is a type

- This functionality is introduced in Haskell through type classes

- Eg: Eq, Num, Show type classes

Eq is a type class that provides a ( $==?$ ) operator which has the type  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

But ( $==?$ ) may not be defined for every type — for types  $\alpha$  for which  $==?$  is defined we can say that  $\alpha$  is an instance of Eq class.

Similarly

- Show class have functions which converts values of member types to a string

Eg: show s

Type classes can be inherited by  
using the keyword "deriving"

Eg: data Season = Spring | Summer | ...  
deriving (Eq, Show)

Q: data BinT a = EmptyT | Node a (BinT a)  
What if we want to use it as a (BinT a)  
search tree

i.e. values must have a natural ordering → deriving (Eq, Show, Ord)

## Function Types

In functional prog. languages, Haskell included,  
functions are first class objects

- if they are values, then they must have  
a type

Lambda Calculus : simple system of representing  
functions

- Anonymous functions

$$[\lambda x. \lambda y. (2x + y)]$$

Lambda expression      Lambda Abstraction      body of the function

Eg:  $\text{Square} = \lambda x \rightarrow x * x$

- $(\lambda x \rightarrow x * x)^5$
- $(\lambda x y \rightarrow 2x + y)^5$

Replace every occurrence  $\lambda$  var. with the  
values

[A calculus theory later]

IO type

:t putStrLn , :t readFile

→ what is IO() in the O/P

- Haskell's way of representing that these functions are really not functions

- They are called 'IO actions'
- You can't write a function with type  
 $\text{IO String} \rightarrow \text{String}$
- The only way to combine IO types is to  
combine them with other functions using  
the do notation

Eg suppose you have a function  $f$   
which takes  $\text{String} \rightarrow \text{Int}$   
How can you apply  $f$  to the o/p of  
`readFile`?

main = do

let  $s \leftarrow$  readFile "somefile"

get the  
string out  
of IO Action

i = f s

PutStrLn (show i)

] Note no "in"  
clause  
• because we are  
in a do-block

## Defining data types

- Use the keyword **data**
  - Constructors
  - list of functions

## Option or Maybe Do To

Let us consider a simple func which searches over a lst for an element satisfying a given pred, p, and returns the first element satisfying p.

- what should be done if none  
of the elements satisfy p
- Raise an error
  - Write an explicit check func.

- what we are trying to accomplish is  
a func that may or may not succeed!
- data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a

Eg:

myHead ls =  
Case ls of  
[ ] → Nothing  
(x: xs) → Just x

## Recursive Datatypes

data myList a = Nil | Cons a (myList a)

listLength Nil = 0

listLength (Cons x xs) = 1 + listLength xs

⋮  
Continue with BinTree recursive defn.

preorder Empty T = Nil

(Node n lT rT) = [n] ++ preorder lT  
++ preorder rT

## Abstraction in data types

- so far the way we have seen the data types constructed, the structure of the data type is revealed
- Abstract data type def' hide the internal structure, and access to its components is through its interface



Information Hiding

## Features of ADT

- implemented with the type class system or module system in Haskell
- Access to datatype is through methods & operators; internal impl. is hidden (i.e constructors) & no pattern matching is available
- The exact type of methods & operators is exposed in "a signature"
  - ↳ may also expose axioms that the structure must obey!

Eg: Stack via Class

Class Stack where

push :: a → Stack a → Stack a

top :: Stack a → a

pop :: Stack a → Stack a

empty :: Stack a → Bool

Every instance of this type class is a  
separate implementation

Module  
data MyStack a  
= MS [a]

Signature

instance MyStack [] where

push  $x \ xs = x : xs$

top  $(x : xs) = x$

pop  $(x : xs) = xs$

empty [] = True

empty  $(x : xs) = False$

---

module system (top, push, pop..)  $\xrightarrow{\text{visibility}}$

module MyStack, where  
; defn.

Constructor ms is not visible  
when we "import MyStack"