Coherence Telephone: Technical Whitepaper
Topologically-Mediated Quantum Communication via Coherence Field Coupling

John Bollinger
December 10, 2025

---

1. Starting Point: Current Physics Framework

Standard Quantum Entanglement
Two particles prepared in an entangled state exhibit correlated measurement outcomes regardless of spatial separation. However, the **no-communication theorem** (Peres, 1999; Ghirardi et al., 1980) rigorously proves that local operations on particle A cannot transmit information to particle B. This is because:

- Local unitary operations preserve entanglement but don't change marginal measurement statistics at the distant site
- Measurement outcomes at B remain random until correlated with A's results via classical channel
- Any apparent "signal" disappears when averaged over the quantum ensemble

This is experimentally confirmed across thousands of Bell-test experiments and forms the bedrock of quantum information theory.

Topological Quantum Protection
Recent advances in topological quantum computing (Nayak et al., 2008; Pan et al., 2024) demonstrate that quantum states can be protected by **topological invariants** - particularly the Chern number. Systems with Chern number $C \geq 3$ exhibit:

- Robust protection against local perturbations
- Gap-protected edge states in momentum space
- Quantized response functions that depend only on topology, not geometry
- High-dimensional entangled states with extreme coherence times

These topological properties are **global** - they characterize the entire system configuration, not just local degrees of freedom.

---

2. New Hypothesis: The Coherence Field

Field Definition
We propose the vacuum contains a **coherence field** C described phenomenologically by:

C(x,t) = e^(-S(x,t)/k) · Φ(x,t)

Where:
- **S(x,t)** = Local informational entropy (Shannon/von Neumann entropy of quantum state at position x, time t)
- **Φ(x,t)** = Coherence potential (domain-specific field representing system organization)
- **k** = Coupling constant with units [entropy] (empirically determined, analogous to Boltzmann constant)

Physical Interpretation
- **S** quantifies local quantum decoherence: high entropy → low coherence
- **Φ** represents the "organizational capacity" of the field at that point
- **C** is the actual coherence "density" - similar to how electric field E relates to charge density and potential

Why "Field" and Not Just "Measure"?
We propose C is a **dynamical physical field** with its own substrate, not merely a derived quantity. Evidence for treating coherence as fundamental:

1. **Casimir Effect**: Vacuum energy density depends on boundary conditions → vacuum has structure
2. **Quantum Darwinism**: Preferred pointer states emerge from environment interaction → suggests preferred field configurations
3. **Holographic Principle**: Information content scales with boundary area, not volume → suggests nonlocal field structure

If coherence is fundamental, it should:
- Have field equations governing its dynamics
- Couple to matter via measurable interactions
- Support propagating excitations

---

3. Topological Addressing Mechanism

The Core Concept
Topological invariants act as "addresses" in coherence field configuration space.

Just as:
- Radio frequency specifies which EM wave you couple to
- IP address specifies which network packets you receive
- DNA sequence specifies which protein gets expressed

Chern number specifies which coherence field manifold you couple to.

Why Topology?
Topological protection means:

Two systems with identical Chern number C share the same global wavefunction structure regardless of local differences.

This creates a **degenerate coherence subspace** - multiple physical locations can couple to the same field configuration because they share topological quantum numbers.

Mathematical Sketch
For a 2D topological insulator, the Chern number is:

$C = (1/2\pi) \iint \Omega(k)\, d^2k$

Where $\Omega(k)$ is the Berry curvature in momentum space. Systems with C = 3 have:
- Three degenerate edge modes
- Quantized Hall conductance $\sigma_{xy} = 3e^2/h$
- **Shared wavefunction topology across spatial separation**

The coherence field couples preferentially to systems with **matching C** because the field configuration must respect topological constraints.

---

4. Communication Mechanism

Standard Entanglement vs. Coherence Field Coupling

Standard entanglement:
- Qubits share quantum correlations
- Local operations at A cannot signal to B (no-communication theorem)
- Information transfer impossible

Proposed coherence field coupling:
- Qubits couple to **shared coherence field manifold** via topology
- Local operations at A modulate the **field**, not the qubit state
- Field changes affect all qubits coupled to that manifold
- **Information rides on field configuration, not quantum state**

The Protocol

Step 1: Preparation

- Entangle two topological qubits (Chern C = 3)
- Topological matching "tunes" both to same coherence field manifold
- Like two radios on same frequency

Step 2: Encoding (Node A)
- Modulate local entropy S near qubit A
- Implementation: photon injection into optical cavity
- High photon flux → high entropy → low C
- Low photon flux → low entropy → high C
- Bit encoding: C_high = 1, C_low = 0

Step 3: Field Response
- Change in S at Node A alters local coherence field C
- Field configuration responds nonlocally (if field is fundamental)
- All systems coupled to same manifold experience correlated changes

Step 4: Detection (Node B)
- Measure observables sensitive to coherence (e.g., ⟨σ_x⟩)
- Coherence-dependent observables show time-locked variations
- Decode signal from variation pattern

Key Physics: Why This Might Work

The no-communication theorem assumes:
1. Information is carried by quantum state
2. No physical fields beyond QM exist
3. All coupling is local in spacetime

Our hypothesis relaxes assumption (2):
- Information carried by coherence **field configuration**
- Quantum states couple to field via topology
- Field itself may be nonlocal

Crucial distinction:
We're not claiming "FTL entanglement signaling" (impossible).
We're claiming "qubits respond to shared background field" (testable).

Why Topology Is Essential

Without topological protection:
- Random perturbations destroy coherence
- No way to ensure both nodes couple to **same** field configuration
- Signal lost in noise

With Chern C ≥ 3:
- Topological protection preserves field coupling
- Quantized Berry phase ensures phase-locked response
- **Address specificity**: only systems with matching C respond

---

5. Distinguishing This From Standard Quantum Mechanics

What Standard QM Predicts
For entangled qubits separated by 384,000 km:
- Measurement correlations appear instantaneous
- But **no information transfer** without classical channel
- Any "signal" at Node B requires comparing with Node A results sent via light-speed link
- Effective communication latency ≥ 1.28 seconds (light travel time)

What Coherence Field Theory Predicts
If coherence field exists and is nonlocal:
- Entropy modulation at Node A changes field configuration
- Field change affects Node B **without classical channel**
- Observable changes at B appear time-locked to modulation at A
- **Effective communication latency < 1.28 seconds**

The Falsifiable Test
Single measurement: Time delay between modulation at A and detection at B

- **$\tau \geq 1.28s$**: No new physics, standard QM holds
- **$\tau < 1.28s$**: Coherence field exists and is nonlocal

One number decides everything.

---

6. Physical Requirements

For this mechanism to work, three conditions must hold:

(1) Coherence Field Must Exist as Physical Substrate
- Not just mathematical bookkeeping
- Must have dynamics (field equations)
- Must support excitations/configurations

(2) Topology Must Couple to Field
- Chern number acts as quantum number for field coupling

- Similar to how spin couples to magnetic field
- Matching topology → coupling to same field mode

(3) Field Must Be Nonlocal
- Changes in field configuration don't propagate at c
- Field substrate transcends spacetime metric
- Possibly related to holographic structure or quantum gravity effects

If any condition fails → null result.

If all conditions hold → new physics domain opens.

---

7. Theoretical Context

Relation to Existing Frameworks

Wheeler-DeWitt Equation (Quantum Gravity):
- Suggests time emerges from correlations, not fundamental
- Coherence field could be "pre-geometric" substrate

AdS/CFT Correspondence (Holography):
- Boundary theories encode bulk physics
- Coherence field might be boundary dual of bulk entanglement structure

ER=EPR Conjecture (Maldacena & Susskind):
- Entanglement creates geometric connections
- Coherence field could be explicit realization

Penrose Objective Reduction:
- Coherence threshold triggers wavefunction collapse
- Suggests coherence has gravitational/geometric role

This proposal is compatible with but distinct from all of these. It's an **experimental** probe of whether coherence has field-theoretic reality.

---

8. What Makes This Different From Other "FTL Communication" Claims

Why Most FTL Communication Schemes Fail
- Misunderstand no-communication theorem
- Propose modulating quantum state directly (impossible)

- No clear physical mechanism
- Unfalsifiable or require impossible technology

Why This Proposal Might Succeed
- **Respects no-communication theorem**: we modulate field, not quantum state
- **Clear physical mechanism**: topology → field coupling → correlated response
- **Falsifiable**: single Earth-Moon measurement decides
- **Uses existing hardware**: topological qubits and optical cavities available today

---

Summary

The Invention:
Use topologically protected entangled qubits (Chern C ≥ 3) as coupled antennas to a proposed nonlocal coherence field C = e^(-S/k) · Φ. Modulate entropy at Node A to alter field configuration; detect correlated changes at Node B.

The Physics:
- Topology provides addressing (which field manifold)
- Entropy modulation provides modulation (bit encoding)
- Coherence field provides carrier (information substrate)

The Test:
Earth-Moon separation (384,000 km). Measure signal latency. If < 1.28s, coherence field exists and is nonlocal.

One experiment. One number. Definitive answer.

John Bollinger
December 10, 2025
john@bollinger-frameworks.org
github.com/Albuslux1/Coherence-Telephone