

PlayWise: Building a Smart Music Playlist Management Engine

Background Story

With the explosion of personalized music platforms, a new player—**PlayWise**—is emerging with a bold vision: to redefine how users interact with playlists. The platform must support real-time playlist manipulation, personalized recommendations, memory-efficient search, and smart sorting based on user preferences.

To power this vision, the product team needs a highly optimized backend engine—one that intelligently leverages data structures like **Linked Lists, Stacks, Trees, HashMaps, and Sorting algorithms**. You have been tasked with building this core engine. Your implementation will serve as the backbone of PlayWise's personalization layer.

Common Core Implementation: Modules & Scenarios

Each student/team must implement the following components as part of the common mandatory work.

All code must be clean, modular, and well-documented, with clear time and space complexity annotations.



1. Playlist Engine using Linked Lists

• **Scenario:** Users can create playlists where songs can be added, deleted, reordered, or reversed.

• Requirements:

- Use a **doubly linked list** to implement each playlist.
- Support operations: add_song(title, artist, duration), delete_song(index), move_song(from_index, to_index), reverse_playlist().

• Why:

- Reinforces ordered data manipulation and pointer logic.
- Models real-world playlist editing behavior.

2. Playback History using Stack

• Scenario: Users can "undo" recently played songs to re-queue them.

• Requirements:

- Maintain a **stack** of recently played songs.
- Allow undo_last_play() to re-add the last played song to the current playlist.

• Why:

- Explores LIFO behavior.
- Introduces simple control flow manipulation using stack operations.



3. Song Rating Tree using Binary Search Tree (BST)

- **Scenario:** Songs are indexed by user rating (1–5 stars). The system should allow:
 - Fast insertion, deletion, and search by rating.

• Requirements:

- Use a **BST** where each node holds a rating bucket (1 to 5).
- Each bucket stores multiple songs with that rating.
- Allow insert_song(song, rating), search_by_rating(rating), delete_song(song_id).

• Why:

- Applies tree structures to personalized recommendation/search.
- Encourages reuse of standard BST functions.

4. Instant Song Lookup using HashMap

• **Scenario:** When a user searches by song title or ID, the system must return song metadata in O(1) time.

• Requirements:

- Use a **hash map** to map song_id or title to song metadata.
- Sync this map with updates in the playlist engine.

• Why:

- Reinforces use of hashing for constant-time lookup.
- Emphasizes synchronization between structures.



5. Time-based Sorting using Merge/Quick Sort

- Scenario: Users can sort playlists based on:
 - Song title (alphabetical),
 - Duration (ascending/descending),
 - Recently added

• Requirements:

- Implement at least one sorting algorithm (Merge, Quick, or Heap sort).
- Allow toggle between sorting criteria.
- Compare performance with built-in sort (if used).

• Why:

- Deepens understanding of time-space trade-offs.
- Shows real use of sorting algorithms in dynamic systems.

6. Playback Optimization using Space-Time Analysis

• **Scenario:** You must analyze the memory and performance behavior of your playlist engine.

• Requirements:

- Annotate all core methods with time and space complexity.
- Optimize where possible (e.g., constant-time node swaps, lazy reversals).

• Why:

- Reinforces the importance of asymptotic analysis.
- Builds habits of thinking like an engineer, not just a coder.



7. System Snapshot Module (Live Dashboard Prototype)

- Scenario: A debugging interface shows:
 - Top 5 longest songs,
 - Most recently played songs,
 - Song count by rating.

• Requirements:

- Use combination of sorting, hash maps, and tree traversal to output live stats.
- Write an export_snapshot() function that returns all dashboard data.

• Why:

- Integrates multiple DSA skills in a mini real-time system.
- Tests student ability to reason about state and aggregation.

Engineering & Documentation Expectations

All students must:

- Annotate **time and space complexity** for every core function.
- Document trade-offs in data structure selection.
- Justify **algorithm choice** with examples.
- Submit a single .md or .pdf **Technical Design Doc** that includes:
- High-level architecture
- Diagrams and flowcharts
- Pseudocode for major algorithms
- Brief benchmarks or test case results (where applicable)



Evaluation Focus

Criteria Weight (%

Correctness & Functionality 25%
Engineering & Optimization 25%
System Design & Architecture 20%
Code Quality & Documentation 15%
Creativity & Insight 15%