

Appendix 2 - “Detailed Group Project Marking Criteria – Overall Project Grade” V2022-23

Assignment Component	Fail	D- to D+	C- to C+	B- to B+	A- to A+
Elicitation (Activities) Plans/Findings/ Interviews/ Questionnaires etc. (10%)	<p>The group fails to identify many of the key ambiguities present within the original system specification.</p> <p>The interview plan(s) produced contain many irrelevant questions/topics and does not effectively address the essential problem domain and specification issues required for the successful specification of the system.</p> <p>The group fails to conduct the elicitation interviews in a professional manner.</p> <p>The interview has no clear objectives and the required information is not obtained from the stakeholders.</p> <p>The group fails to demonstrate any or few desirable interview skills.</p> <p>The group fails to accurately record the findings from the elicitation interviews.</p> <p>The recorded findings include many omissions and inaccuracies.</p>	<p>The group identifies some of the key ambiguities present within the original system specification.</p> <p>The interview plan(s) produced contain some irrelevant questions/topics but address some of the essential problem domain and specification issues required for the successful specification of the system.</p> <p>The group conducts the elicitation interviews but in a semi-professional manner.</p> <p>The interview has fairly clear objectives and most of the required information is obtained from the stakeholders.</p> <p>The group demonstrates some desirable interview skills.</p> <p>The group manages to accurately record some of the findings from the elicitation interviews.</p> <p>The recorded findings include few major omissions and inaccuracies</p>	<p>The group identifies most of the key ambiguities present within the original system specification.</p> <p>The interview plan(s) produced contain very few irrelevant questions/topics and effectively address a significant proportion of the essential problem domain and specification issues required for the successful specification of the system.</p> <p>The group conducts the elicitation interviews in a reasonably professional manner.</p> <p>The interview has clear objectives and a significant proportion of all the required information is obtained from the stakeholders.</p> <p>The group demonstrates many desirable interview skills including, flexibility, use of appropriate language, asking for clarification, intelligibility and receptiveness.</p> <p>The group manages to accurately record a significant proportion of the findings from the elicitation interviews.</p> <p>The recorded findings include a few major omissions and inaccuracies</p>	<p>The group identifies the majority of the key ambiguities present within the original system specification.</p> <p>The interview plan(s) produced contain no irrelevant questions/topics and effectively addresses the majority of essential problem domain and specification issues required for the complete specification of the system.</p> <p>The group conducts the elicitation interviews in a professional manner.</p> <p>The interview has clear objectives and the majority of required information is obtained from the stakeholders.</p> <p>The group demonstrates many desirable interview skills including, flexibility, use of appropriate language, asking for clarification, intelligibility and empathy.</p> <p>The group manages to accurately record the majority of the findings from the elicitation interviews.</p> <p>The recorded findings include very few major omissions and inaccuracies</p>	<p>The group identifies all of the key ambiguities present within the original system specification.</p> <p>The interview plan(s) produced contain no irrelevant questions/topics and effectively addresses all of the essential problem domain and specification issues required for the complete specification of the system.</p> <p>The group conducts the elicitation interviews in a very professional manner.</p> <p>The interview has very clear objectives and all of the required information is obtained from the stakeholders.</p> <p>The group demonstrates many desirable interview skills including, flexibility, use of appropriate language, asking for clarification, intelligibility, receptiveness, empathy and reflective listening techniques.</p> <p>The group manages to accurately record all of the findings from the elicitation interviews.</p> <p>The recorded findings include no major omissions and inaccuracies</p>

Requirement Specification Document (10%)	<p>The requirements specification produced is incomplete.</p> <p>One of more of the sections includes major omissions and/or is missing.</p> <p>The group demonstrates little understanding of the problem domain or the required system functionality.</p>	<p>The requirements specification produced is incomplete.</p> <p>Most appropriate sections are included but contain some significant inaccuracies</p> <p>The group demonstrates a reasonable understanding of the problem domain and some of the required system functionality.</p>	<p>The requirements specification produced is almost complete.</p> <p>The majority of appropriate sections are included and contain only very minor inaccuracies</p> <p>The group demonstrates a reasonable understanding of the problem domain and significant parts of the required system functionality.</p>	<p>The requirements specification produced is almost complete.</p> <p>All appropriate sections are included and contain no major inaccuracies.</p> <p>The group demonstrates a good understanding of the problem domain and the majority of required system functionality.</p>	<p>The requirements specification produced is complete.</p> <p>All appropriate sections are included and contain no inaccuracies</p> <p>The group demonstrates an excellent understanding of the problem domain and all the required system functionality.</p>
System Design Documentation (25%)	<p>The required design documentation is incomplete and/or contains major inconsistencies/errors.</p> <p>The design submitted shows a number of major design faults/Inconsistencies.</p> <p>Little or no reference is made to established design principles, accessibility and client constraints.</p>	<p>Most of the required design documentation is complete, demonstrating a basic understanding of the necessary analysis and design techniques.</p> <p>There are however a number of small inconsistencies/errors present within the documentation.</p> <p>Some reference is made to established design principles, accessibility and client constraints.</p>	<p>The majority of the required design documentation is complete, demonstrating a reasonably good understanding of the necessary analysis and design techniques.</p> <p>The documentation contains few major inconsistencies or errors.</p> <p>Reference is made to established design principles, accessibility and client constraints.</p>	<p>All the required design documentation is complete, demonstrating a good understanding of the necessary analysis and design techniques.</p> <p>The documentation may contain one or two small inconsistencies/errors.</p> <p>Significant reference is made to established design principles, accessibility and client constraints.</p>	<p>All the required design documentation is complete, demonstrating a comprehensive understanding of the necessary analysis and design techniques.</p> <p>The documentation contains no major inconsistencies or errors.</p> <p>Comprehensive reference is made to established design principles, accessibility and client constraints.</p>
Prototype System (35%)	<p>A simple demonstration is given of the working prototype system.</p> <p>The system shows little or none of the required basic functionality</p> <p>Usability is of an unacceptable standard.</p>	<p>A simple demonstration is given of the working prototype system.</p> <p>The system shows a full range of basic functionality</p> <p>Usability is of an acceptable standard.</p>	<p>A detailed demonstration is given of the working prototype system.</p> <p>The system shows the full range of basic and some complex functionality</p> <p>Usability is of a reasonable standard.</p>	<p>A comprehensive demonstration is given of the working prototype system.</p> <p>The system shows the full range of basic and almost all the complex functionality</p> <p>The usability of the system is of a very high standard.</p>	<p>A comprehensive demonstration is given of a fully functional working prototype system.</p> <p>The system shows the full range of basic and complex functionality</p> <p>The usability of the system is of an excellent standard.</p>
Test/Evaluation Strategy (10%)	<p>The required quality criteria/testing documentation is incomplete and/or contains major inconsistencies/errors.</p> <p>Product evaluation is very limited, if included at all.</p>	<p>Some of the required quality/testing documentation is complete, demonstrating a basic understanding of product quality control and testing theory.</p> <p>There are however a number of significant inconsistencies/errors present within the documentation.</p> <p>A simple product evaluation plan is included within the report, highlighting some positive and</p>	<p>The majority of the required quality/testing documentation is complete, demonstrating a reasonable understanding of product quality control and testing theory.</p> <p>The documentation may contain one or two small inconsistencies/errors.</p> <p>A reasonable product evaluation is included within the report, highlighting some positive and negative features of the final system implementation.</p>	<p>All the required quality/testing documentation is complete, demonstrating a good understanding of product quality control and testing theory.</p> <p>The documentation supplied contains few inconsistencies or errors.</p> <p>A good product evaluation is included within the report, highlighting significant positive and</p>	<p>All the required quality/testing documentation is complete, demonstrating a comprehensive understanding of product quality control and testing theory.</p> <p>The documentation contains no inconsistencies or errors.</p> <p>A comprehensive product evaluation is included within the report, highlighting the majority of positive and negative features</p>

		<p>negative features of the final system implementation.</p> <p>Little or no mention of future planned product enhancements is included.</p>	<p>Some evaluation of future planned product enhancements is included.</p>	<p>negative features of the final system implementation.</p> <p>A good evaluation of future planned product enhancements is included.</p>	<p>of the final system implementation.</p> <p>A comprehensive and complete evaluation of future planned product enhancements is included.</p>
<p>Project Management/ Teamwork/ Presentation (10%)</p>	<p>The required project management documentation is incomplete and/or contains major inconsistencies/errors.</p>	<p>Some of the required project management documentation is complete, demonstrating a basic understanding of the project management theory.</p> <p>There are however a number of inconsistencies/errors present within the documentation.</p> <p>The project plan maps consistently with only some of the project activity timings.</p>	<p>All the required project management documentation is complete, demonstrating a reasonable understanding of the project management theory.</p> <p>The documentation may contain one or two minor inconsistencies/errors.</p> <p>The project plan maps consistently with a significant proportion of the project activity timings.</p>	<p>All the required project management documentation is complete, demonstrating a good understanding of the project management theory.</p> <p>The documentation contains no inconsistencies or errors.</p> <p>The project plan maps consistently with the majority of project activity timings.</p>	<p>All the required project management documentation is complete, demonstrating a comprehensive understanding of the project management theory.</p> <p>The documentation contains no inconsistencies or errors.</p> <p>The project plan maps consistently with all of the project activity timings.</p>