Display replies flat, with oldest first



#### Remainders

by Software Engineer - Monday, 31 August 2009, 09:25 PM



It is uncanny how children pick up a lot of small habits and beliefs of their parents. Even the ones that rebel against their parents bear subconscious resemblance to their father or mother. There is a lesson for instructors in this. It is important for them to realize that students mirror their feelings about CAT. If the instructor expresses or feels that CAT is tough, or is fearful about CAT, his students will mirror the same feeling and will be less confident. If the instructor is brazen and casual about the paper and scoffs the competition, his students would reflect the same feelings. Also, it is so necessary to have unflinching faith in one's students. I still remember that during my school days my mother used to proudly proclaim that I was an intelligent kid. I was barely scrapping passing marks in the school exams. If truth be told I was at the bottom of the class, but my mother had her blinkers on. And because of my mother I also believed that I was second to none. It was only years later, during my boards exams, that I took to studying seriously, and managed to outperform everyone else. I don't know if it was my mother's blind love for me or that she

could see some bright spark in me that made her claim my intelligence but it really had great effect on my attitude. And attitude, in an exam like CAT, is everything.

While I am trying to write a DI lesson for the CAT CBT Club, here is another sparkling gem produced by a TGite already famous amongst you all- Software Engineer. When I saw the sheer size of the article I was awed. And so will you all be. The hard work demands applause from all of you. So read the article guys and do not forget to thank Software Engineer for this one. - Total Gadha

#### Modulo Order

The smallest exponent e for which  $b^e = 1 \pmod{n}$ , where n is relatively prime to b, is called the modulo order of b (mod n). For example, the modulo order of 13 (mod 24) is 2, since  $13^2 = 1 \pmod{24}$ .

The term 'modulo order' is defined for the numbers that are relatively prime to each other. If e is the modulo order of b (mod n), by definition, n is relatively prime to b.

If e is the modulo order of b (mod n), then  $b^0$ ,  $b^{1e}$ ,  $b^{2e}$ ,  $b^{3e}$ , ...,  $b^{N*e}$  leave the same remainder 1 when divided by n, where N is a whole number.

For example, the modulo order of 13 (mod 24) is 2, therefore,

 $13^{\circ} = 1 \mod 24$   $13^{\circ} = 1 \mod 24$   $13^{\circ} = 1 \mod 24$   $13^{\circ} = (13^{\circ})^{\circ} \mod 24 = (1)^{\circ} \mod 24 = 1 \mod 24$ and so on.

If e is the modulo order of b (mod n), then  $b^K, b^{K-e}, b^{K-2e}, ..., b^{K-N*e}$  leave the same remainder R when divided by n, where N, K are whole numbers and  $K \ge N*e$ .

For example,  $13^{15} = 13 \mod 24$ .

Therefore, to find the remainder when  $b^{\kappa}$  is divided by n, subtract the highest multiple of the modulo order e of b (mod n) less than or equal to K, from K. Let  $K' = K - N^*e$ . The remainder when  $b^{\kappa}$  is divided by n is equal to the remainder when  $b^{\kappa}$  is divided by n.

For example,  $13^{15} \mod 24 = 13^{15-7*2} \mod 24 = 13^1 \mod 24 = 13 \mod 24$ .

In other words, to find the remainder when  $b^{\kappa}$  is divided by n, first find the remainder K' when K is divided by the modulo order e of e (mod e). e0 (mod e0). e1 is equal to the remainder when e3 is divided by e4 is divided by e6.

For example, 13<sup>15</sup> mod 24.

The modulo order of 13 (mod 24) is 2. Therefore, find the remainder when 15 is divided by 2.  $15 = 1 \mod 2$ .

Therefore,  $13^{15} \mod 24 = 13^1 \mod 24 = 13 \mod 24$ .

But the killer question is how to find the modulo order of b (mod n)? Is there any ready-made formula that calculates the smallest exponent e such that  $b^e = 1 \pmod{n}$ ? Unfortunately, no such formula exists.

However, there are some formulas that calculate the exponent E such that the modulo order e of b (mod n) is always a factor of E. Let  $E=m^*e$ .  $b^E$  mod  $n=b^{me}$  mod  $n=(b^e)^m$  mod  $n=(1)^m$  mod n=1 mod n. Therefore, if E is a multiple of the modulo order e of b (mod n), then  $b^0$ ,  $b^{1E}$ ,  $b^{2E}$ ,  $b^{3E}$ , ...,  $b^{N*E}$  leave the same remainder 1 when divided by n. If E is a multiple of the modulo order e of b (mod n), then  $b^K$ ,  $b^{K-e}$ ,  $b^{K-2e}$ , ...,  $b^{K-N*e}$  leave the same remainder R when divided by n. Therefore, to find the remainder when  $b^K$  is divided by n, first find the remainder K' when K is divided by E. K=K' mod E. The remainder when  $b^K$  is divided by n is equal to the remainder when  $b^K$  is divided by n.

The first such formula that calculates the multiple E of modulo order e of b (mod n) was invented by Euler – Euler's Totient Function.

### **Euler's Totient Function**

The Euler's totient function  $\emptyset(n)$  is defined as the number of positive integers less than or equal to n that are relatively prime to n, where 1 is counted as being relatively prime to all numbers.

If n is a natural number such that  $n = ap * bq * cr * where a b c are different prime factors and n \, \text{q} \, \text{r}$ 

... are positive integers then the number of positive integers less than or equal to and prime to n is  $\mathcal{O}(n) = n*(1-\frac{1}{a})*(1-\frac{1}{b})*(1-\frac{1}{b})...$ 

### Euler's Theorem

If n is relatively prime to b then  $b^{\emptyset(n)} = 1 \mod n$ .

The modulo order e of b (mod n) is always a factor of  $\emptyset$ (n). Therefore, to find the remainder when  $b^K$  is divided by n, first find the remainder K' when K is divided by  $\emptyset$ (n).  $K = K' \mod \emptyset$ (n). The remainder when  $b^K$  is divided by n is equal to the remainder when  $b^K$  is divided by n.

The second such formula that calculates the multiple E of modulo order e of b (mod n) was invented by Carmichael – Carmichael's Reduced Totient Function.

#### Carmichael's Reduced Totient Function

Carmichael's Reduced Totient function is defined as

 $\lambda(1) = 1$ 

 $\lambda(2) = 1$ 

 $\lambda(2^2) = 2$ 

 $\lambda(2^n) = 2^{n-2} \text{ if } n > 2$ 

 $\lambda(p^n) = \emptyset(p^n)$  if p is an odd prime

 $\lambda(a*b) = LCM[\lambda(a), \lambda(b)]$  if a and b are relatively prime to each other

#### Carmichael's Theorem

If n is relatively prime to b then  $b^{\lambda (n)} = 1 \mod n$ .

The modulo order e of b (mod n) is always a factor of  $\lambda(n)$ . Therefore, to find the remainder when  $b^K$  is divided by n, first find the remainder K' when K is divided by  $\lambda(n)$ .  $K = K' \mod \lambda(n)$ . The remainder when  $b^K$  is divided by n is equal to the remainder when  $b^K$  is divided by n.

Furthermore,  $\lambda(n)$  is always a factor of  $\emptyset(n)$ .

 $O(2^{n}) = 2 * \lambda (2^{n})$  where n>2

Find Ø(128).

$$\emptyset(128) = \emptyset(2^7) = 2^7 * (1 - \frac{1}{2}) = 64.$$

Find λ(128).

$$\lambda(128) = \lambda(2^7) = 2^{7-2} = 32.$$

 $\emptyset(p^n) = \lambda(p^n)$  where p is an Odd Prime

Find Ø(81).

$$\emptyset(81) = \emptyset(3^4) = 3^4*(1-\frac{1}{3}) = 54.$$

Find  $\lambda(81)$ .

$$\lambda(81) = \lambda(3^4) = \emptyset(3^4) = 3^4 * (1 - \frac{1}{3}) = 54.$$

 $\lambda(C) < \emptyset(C)$  where C is a composite number

Find Ø(20).

$$\emptyset(20) = \emptyset(2^2 * 5^1) = 2^2 * 5^1 * (1 - \frac{1}{2}) * (1 - \frac{1}{5}) = 8.$$

Find  $\lambda(20)$ .

$$\lambda(20) = \lambda(2^2 * 5^1) = LCM[\lambda(2^2), \lambda(5^1)) = LCM[2, 4] = 4.$$

Find Ø(180).

$$\emptyset(20) = \emptyset(2^2 * 3^2 * 5^1) = 2^2 * 3^2 * 5^1 * (1 - \frac{1}{2}) * (1 - \frac{1}{3}) * (1 - \frac{1}{5}) = 48.$$

Find  $\lambda(180)$ .

$$\lambda(20) = \lambda(2^2 * 3^2 * 5^1) = LCM[\lambda(2^2), \lambda(3^2), \lambda(5^1)) = LCM[2, 6, 4] = 12.$$

As  $\lambda(n)$  is always a factor of  $\emptyset(n)$ , there are two possible possibilities:- Either  $\lambda(n) = \emptyset(n)$ , Or  $\lambda(n) < \emptyset(n)$ .  $\lambda(n) = \emptyset(n)$  if and only if  $n = p^n$  where p is an odd prime; otherwise  $\lambda(n) < \emptyset(n)$ .

- If n=p° where p is an odd prime then λ(n) = Ø(n). It does not matter whether we subtract the highest
  multiple of Ø(n) or highest multiple of λ(n), less than or equal to K, from K, because both are the same.
  So here, regardless whether we use Euler's Theorem or Carmichael's Theorem, we'll have to do the
  same calculations to get the remainder when b<sup>k</sup> is divided by n.
- In any other case,  $\lambda(n) < \emptyset(n)$ , therefore, rather than subtracting the highest multiple of  $\emptyset(n)$  less than

or equal to K, from K, if we subtract the highest multiple of  $\lambda(n)$  less than or equal to K, from K; we'll end up with comparatively smaller power, so it would be comparatively easier for us to calculate the remainder when  $b^K$  is divided by n.

Let's compare, 'Euler's Totient Function' vs. 'Carmichael's Reduced Totient Function'.

Comparison#1 - Divisor is of the form 2<sup>n</sup>

```
Find the remainder when 13239 is divided by 16.
16 is relatively prime to 13, therefore, we can apply Euler's theorem.
\emptyset(16) = 8. 239 = 7 \mod 8.
  13<sup>239</sup> mod 16
= 137 mod 16
= 13<sup>2</sup> * 13<sup>2</sup> * 13<sup>2</sup> * 13 mod 16
= 9 * 9 * 9 * 13 mod 16
= 81 * 117 mod 16
= 1 * 5 mod 16
= 5 mod 16
Find the remainder when 13239 is divided by 16.
16 is relatively prime to 13, therefore, we can apply Carmichael's theorem.
\lambda (16) = 4. 239 = 3 mod 4.
 13<sup>239</sup> mod 16
= 133 mod 16
= 132 * 13 mod 16
= 9 * 13 mod 16
= 117 mod 16
= 5 \mod 16
Comparison#2 - Divisor is of the from (Odd Prime)
What is remainder when 21003 is divided by 25?
25 is relatively prime to 2, therefore, we can apply Euler's theorem.
\emptyset(25) = 20. 1003 = 3 \mod 20.
 21000 mod 25
= 23 mod 25
= 8 mod 25
What is remainder when 21003 is divided by 25?
25 is relatively prime to 2, therefore, we can apply Carmichael's theorem.
\lambda (25) = 20. 1003 = 3 mod 20.
 21000 mod 25
= 23 mod 25
= 8 mod 25
Comparison#3 - Divisor is Composite Number
Find the remainder when 5116 is divided by 63.
63 is relatively prime to 5, therefore, we can apply Euler's theorem.
\emptyset(63) = 36. 116 = 8 \mod 36.
  5116 mod 63
= 5º mod 63
= 53 * 53 * 52 mod 63
= 125 * 125 * 25 mod 63
= -1 * -1 * 25 mod 63
= 25 mod 63
Find the remainder when 5116 is divided by 63.
63 is relatively prime to 5, therefore, we can apply Carmichael's theorem.
\lambda (63) = 6. 116 = 2 mod 6.
 5<sup>116</sup> mod 63
= 52 mod 63
= 25 mod 63
Conclusion. Generally, Mr. Carmichael runs faster than Mr. Euler. If divisor is of the form pn where p is an odd
prime then both run at equal speed. In one line, from today onwards, do use Carmichael's Theorem.
Find the remainder when 22002 is divided by 1001. posted by Sri KLR
1001 is relatively prime to 2, therefore we can apply Carmichael's theorem.
\lambda (1001) = 60. 2002 = 22 mod 60.
```

Find the remainder when 3<sup>2002</sup> + 5<sup>2002</sup> is divided by 26. posted by Total Gadha

2<sup>2002</sup> mod 1001 = 2<sup>22</sup> mod 1001

= 1024 \* 1024 \* 4 mod 1001 = 23 \* 23 \* 4 mod 1001 = 2116 mod 1001 = 114 mod 1001

```
Both 3 and 5 are relatively prime to 26, therefore we can apply Carmichaers theorem.
\lambda(26) = 12.2002 = 10 \mod 12.
 3<sup>2002</sup> + 5<sup>2002</sup> mod 26
= 310 + 510 mod 26
= (27)<sup>3</sup> * 3 + (25)<sup>5</sup> mod 26
= (1)3 * 3 + (-1)5 mod 26
= 3 - 1 mod 26
= 2 \mod 26
Find the remainder when 5<sup>99</sup> is divided by 66. Quant Capsule - Division by Composite Numbers
66 is relatively prime to 5, therefore we can apply Carmichael's theorem.
\lambda(66) = 10.99 = 9 \mod 10.
  599 mod 66
= 5° mod 66
According to Carmichael's theorem, 5^{10} = 1 \mod 66
                                     5^9 * 5 = 1 \mod 66
Let R be the remainder when 5° is divided by 66.
R * 5 = 1 \mod 66
5R = 66m + 1
   = 65m + m + 1
LHS is a multiple of 5, therefore, RHS is a multiple of 5, therefore, m+1 is a multiple of 5, therefore, m=4.
5R= 65*4 + 4 + 1
R=13*4+1=53
Hence, 5^{9} = 53 \mod 66.
Find the remainder when 55<sup>190</sup> is divided by 153.
153 is relatively prime to 55, therefore we can apply Carmichael's theorem.
\lambda(153) = 48.190 = 46 \mod 48.
  55190 mod 153
= 5546 mod 153
According to Carmichael's theorem, 55^{48} = 1 \mod 153.
                                     55^{46} * 55^2 = 1 \mod 153
                                     55<sup>46</sup> * -35 = 1 mod 153
Let -R be the remainder when 5546 is divided by 153.
-R * -35 = 1 \mod 153
35R = 153m + 1
    = 140m + 13m + 1
LHS is a multiple of 35, therefore, RHS is a multiple of 35, therefore, 13m+1 is a multiple of 35, therefore,
13m+1=35a.
13m=26a+9a-1
LHS is a multiple of 13, therefore, RHS is a multiple of 13, therefore, 9a-1 is a multiple of 13, therefore, a=3.
13m=26*3+9*3-1
m=2*3+2=8
35R = 140*8 + 13*8 + 1
R = 4*8 + 3 = 32 + 3 = 35
Hence, 55^{190} = -35 \mod 153 or 55^{190} = 120 \mod 153.
```

```
Find the remainder when 3922 is divided by 7. Quant Capsule - Euler's Theorem
7 is relatively prime to 39, therefore we can apply Carmichael's theorem.
\lambda(7) = 6.22 = 1 \mod 3. (Why 3? Why not 6?)
  39<sup>22</sup> mod 7
```

= 422 mod 7 = 41 mod 7

= 4 mod 7

## MO#1

Find the remainder when  $b^{\kappa}$  is divided by n where n is relatively prime to b.

If b is a perfect square AND two is a factor of  $\lambda(n)$  then find the remainder K' when K is divided by  $\lambda(n)/2$ . If b is a perfect cube AND three is a factor of  $\lambda(n)$  then find the remainder K' when K is divided by  $\lambda(n)/3$ . If b is a fourth power of some number AND four is a factor of  $\lambda(n)$  then find the remainder K' when K is divided by  $\lambda(n)/4$ . and so on.

The remainder when  $b^{\kappa}$  is divided by n is equal to the remainder when  $b^{\kappa}$  is divided by n.

## Find the remainder when 32134 is divided by 55.

55 is relatively prime to 32, therefore we can apply Carmichael's theorem.

```
p(0.5) = 20. As 52 is 2 taised to tive AND tive is a factor of 20, find the remainder \kappa which 154 is divided by
\lambda(55)/five=4. 134 = 2 mod 4. The remainder when 32<sup>124</sup> is divided by 55 is equal to the remainder when 32<sup>2</sup> is
divided by 55.
 32<sup>134</sup> mod 55
= 32<sup>2</sup> mod 55
= 2<sup>10</sup> mod 55
= 1024 mod 55
= 34 mod 55
Find the remainder when 21<sup>20</sup> is divided by 37.
37 is relatively prime to 21, therefore we can apply Carmichael's theorem.
   2120 mod 37
= (-16)20 mod 37
\lambda(37) = 36. As 16=2^4 AND 4 is a factor of 36, find the remainder when 20 is divided by \lambda(37)/4=9. 20 = 2 mod
9. The remainder when 21^{20} is divided by 37 is equal to the remainder when 21^{2} is divided by 37.
 21<sup>20</sup> mod 37
= (-16)20 mod 37
= (-16)2 mod 37
= 256 mod 37
= 34 mod 37
Find the remainder when _{37}^{47} is divided by 16. Quant Capsule - Euler's theorem
   37^{47^{57}} \mod 16 = 5^{47^{57}} \mod 16
 16 is relatively prime to 5, therefore we can apply Carmichael's theorem.
 \lambda (16) = 4. Now, find the remainder when 47^{57} is divided by 4.
 =47^{57} \mod 4
 = 3^{57} \mod 4
 = (-1)^{57} \mod 4
 = -1 \mod 4
 = 3 mod 4 [By the way, according to MO#2, 3^{Odd} = 3 mod 4.]
 Therefore, 5^{47} and 5^3 leave the same remainder when divided by 16.
   5<sup>47<sup>57</sup></sup> mod 16
 =53 mod 16
 = 25 * 5 mod 16
 = 9 * 5 mod 16
 = 13 mod 16
 Hence. 37^{47^{57}} = 13 \mod 16
What is the remainder when 20^{51^{97}} is divided by 17? posted by Shivam Mehra
   20^{51^{97}} \mod 17 = 3^{51^{97}} \mod 17
 17 is relatively prime to 3, therefore we can apply Carmichael's theorem.
 \lambda (17) = 16. Now, find the remainder when 51<sup>97</sup> is divided by 16.
   5197 mod 16
 3 is relatively prime to 16, therefore we can apply Carmichael's theorem.
 \lambda (16) = 4. Now, find the remainder when 97 is divided by 4.
 Therefore .397 and 31 leave the same remainder when divided by 16.
   3<sup>97</sup> mod 16
 = 31 mod 6
 = 3 mod 6
 Therefore, 3^{51}^{97} and 3^3 leave the same remainder when divided by 17.
   3<sup>51</sup> mod 17
 =3<sup>3</sup> mod 17
 =10 mod 17
 Hence, 20^{51}^{97} = 10 \mod 17.
Find the remainder when 979797 is divided by 11, posted by Danger Daddu
 97<sup>97<sup>97</sup></sup> mod 11
= 9<sup>97<sup>97</sup></sup> mod 11
 11 is relatively prime to 9, therefore we can apply Carmichael's theorem.
```

```
\lambda (11) = 10.
 As 9=3^2 AND 2 is a factor of \lambda (11)=10; according to MO#1, rather than finding the remainder when 97^{97}
 is divided by 10, we'll find the remainder when 97^{97} is divided by 10/2=5.
   97<sup>97</sup> mod 5
 = 2<sup>97</sup> mod 5
 = 21 mod 5
                 [\lambda (5) = 4.97 = 1 \mod 4.]
 = 2 mod 5
 Therefore, 9^{97^{97}} and 9^2 give the same remainder when divided by 11.
   9<sup>97<sup>97</sup> mod 11</sup>
 = 9^2 \mod 11
 = 81 mod 11
 = 4 mod 11
 Hence. 97^{97}^{97} = 4 \mod 11
Find the remainder when 3340 is divided by 341.
341 is relatively prime to 3, therefore we can apply Carmichael's theorem.
\lambda(341) = 60.340 = 40 \mod 60.
  3<sup>340</sup> mod 341
= 340 mod 341 (Now, who's gonna calculate this for me?)
 To find the remainder R when b<sup>k</sup> is divided by n,

    Split the original divisor into two (or three or so) parts, say p and q, such that HCF[p, q]=1 (and n=p*q).

 - Then find the individual remainders say R_{\rho} and R_{q} when b^{\kappa} is divided by each of these parts.
 - Solve R=px+R<sub>p</sub>=qy+R<sub>q</sub> to get the final remainder R.
Find the remainder when 3340 is divided by 341.
341=11*13. HCF[11, 13]=1. Both 11 and 13 are relatively prime to 341, therefore we can apply Carmichael's
theorem.
\lambda(11) = 10.340 = 0 \mod 10.
 3<sup>340</sup> mod 11
= 3º mod 11
= 1 \mod 11
The final remainder is of the form R=11x+1.
\lambda(13) = 12.340 = 4 \mod 12.
 3340 mod 13
= 3<sup>4</sup> mod 13
= 81 \mod 13
= 3 \mod 13
The final remainder is of the form R=13y+3.
R=11x+1=13y+3
11x=11y+2y+2
LHS is a multiple of 11, therefore, RHS is a multiple of 11, therefore, 2y+2 is a multiple of 11, therefore, y=10.
R=13*10+3=133.
Hence, 3^{340} = 133 \mod 341.
Find the remainder when 31001 is divided by 1001, posted by Ankit Kheterpal
1001=7*11*13. \lambda(7)=6. \lambda(11)=10. \lambda(13)=12.
Let's take 1001=91*11. HCF[91, 11]=1. \lambda(91)=12. \lambda(11)=10.
(If we take 1001=77*13 then we'll end up with larger values \lambda(77)=30 and \lambda(13)=12.)
\lambda(91) = 12.1001 = 5 \mod 12.
  31001 mod 91
= 35 mod 91
= 81 * 3 \mod 91
= -10 * 3 mod 91
= -30 \mod 91
The final remainder is of the form R=91x-30.
\lambda(11) = 10.1001 = 1 \mod 10.
 31001 mod 11
= 31 mod 11
The final remainder is of the form R=11y+3.
R=11y+3=91x-30
```

```
11y=91x-33
    =88x+3x-33
LHS is a multiple of 11, therefore, RHS is a multiple of 11, therefore, 3x is a multiple of 11, therefore, x=0.
R=91*0-30=-30.
Hence, 3^{1001} = -30 \mod 1001 or 3^{1001} = 971 \mod 1001.
"Find the remainder when b" is divided by n." equals "Find the units digit of b" in base b." Why?
A number written in base 10 can be converted to any base B by first dividing the number by B and then
dividing the successive quotient by B. The remainders thus obtained, written in reverse order, give the
equivalent number in base B.
Let's convert (56)10 to base 7.
 7 56
     8 0
     1 1
       1
(56)_{10} = (110)_7
As you can see, we write the first remainder as a last digit of the converted number. Therefore, if we divide
any number by base B, then the remainder thus obtained is the units digit of the converted number in base B.
Hence, What is the remainder when b<sup>K</sup> is divided by n? and
        What is the units digit of b<sup>k</sup> in base b?
both are the same puzzles.
Find the units digit of 3232 in base 11.
All in all, we need to find the remainder when 3232 is divided by 11.
11 is relatively prime to 32, therefore we can apply Carmichael's theorem. \lambda(11)=10.32=2 mod 10.
   3232 mod 11
= 10<sup>32</sup> mod 11
= 10^2 \, \text{mod} \, 11
= 1 \mod 11
Hence, the units digit of 3232 in base 11 is 1.
Find the last digit of _{41}^{43} in base 16.
It's 9.
MO#2
 In base b, if both b and b/2 are even, then (b/2+1)^{ODD} ends in single digit (b/2+1) and (b/2+1)^{EVEN} ends in 1.
 i.e. if both b and b/2 are even, the remainder when (b/2+1)^{ODD} divided by b is (b/2+1) and the remainder
 when (b/2+1)^{EVEN} divided by b is 1.
 If both b and b/2 are even then (b/2+1)^{ODD} = (b/2+1) \mod b
                                   (b/2+1)^{EVEN} = 1 \mod b
For example, 5^{ODD} = 5 \mod 8
              5^{\text{EVEN}} = 1 \mod 8.
What is the remainder when _{41}^{43}^{45} is divided by 16?
b=16=Even. b/2=8=Even. b/2+1=8+1=9. 4345=OddOdd=Odd.
    41<sup>43<sup>45</sup></sup> mod 16
  = 9<sup>43<sup>45</sup></sup> mod 16
  = 9<sup>Odd</sup> mod 16
  = 9 \mod 16
MO#3
 In base b, if b is even and b/2 is odd, (b/2)Natural Number ends in single digit b/2.
 i.e. if b is even and b/2 is odd, the remainder when (b/2)^{Natural Number} divided by b is b/2.
 If b is even and b/2 is odd then (b/2)^{Natural\ Number} = b/2 \mod b.
For example, 5^{\text{Natural Number}} = 5 \mod 10.
What is the remainder when 15^{15^{15}} is divided by 30?
b=30=Even. b/2=15=Odd.
   15<sup>15<sup>15</sup> mod 30</sup>
```

```
= 15 Natural Number mod 30
 = 15 mod 30
The modulo order e of b (mod n) is always a factor of \lambda(n).
To find the modulo order e of b (mod n)
       First find all the factors of \lambda(n).
       Then find the smallest factor e such that b^e = 1 \mod n.
Find the modulo order of 2 (mod 7).
7 is relatively prime to 2. \lambda(7)=6. The factors of 6 are 1, 2, 3 and 6. Therefore, the modulo order of 2 (mod 7)
is 1 or 2 or 3 or 6.
2^{1} \mod 7 = 2 \mod 7
2^2 \mod 7 = 4 \mod 7
2^3 \mod 7 = 1 \mod 7
Hence, the modulo order of 2 (mod 7) is 3.
MO#4
In base n, if b^{\kappa} ends in single digit (n-1), then b^{2\kappa} ends in 1.
i.e. if the remainder when b^k divided by n is (n-1), then the remainder when b^{2k} divided by n is 1.
      b^K = -1 \mod n
then b^{2K} = +1 \mod n
Find the modulo order of 19 (mod 100).
100 is relatively prime to 19. λ(100)=20. The factors of 20 are 1, 2, 4, 5, 10 and 20. Therefore, the modulo
order of 19 (mod 100) is 1 or 2 or 4 or 5 or 10 or 20.
191 mod 100 = 19 mod 100
192 mod 100 = 61 mod 100
194 mod 100 = 61*61 mod 100 = 21 mod 100
195 mod 100 = 21*19 mod 100 = 99 mod 100 = -1 mod 100
Therefore, 19^{2\times5} = +1 \mod 100
Hence, 10 is the modulo order of 19 (mod 100).
MO#1 Reloaded
The modulo order e of b (mod n) is always factor of \lambda (n).
If b is a perfect square AND two is a factor of \lambda(n) then e is a factor of \lambda(n)/2.
If b is a perfect cube AND three is a factor of \lambda(n) then e is a factor of \lambda(n)/3.
If b is a fourth power of some number AND four is a factor of \lambda(n) then e is a factor of \lambda(n)/4.
and so on.
Find the total number of all natural numbers n for which 111 divides 16<sup>n</sup> - 1, where n is less than
1000. posted by Software Engineer
111 is relatively prime to 16. \lambda(11)=36. As 16=2^4 AND 4 is a factor of 36, the modulo order e of 16 (mod 111)
is a factor of 36/4=9. The factors of 9 are 1, 3 and 9. Therefore, the modulo order of 16 (mod 111) is 1, 3 or 9.
161 mod 111 = 16 mod 111
163 mod 111 = 256 * 16 mod 111 = 34 * 16 mod 111 = 100 mod 111
The only remaining possibility is 169, therefore the remainder when 169 divided by 111 must be 1.
16°=1 mod 111 (without calculating it)
Therefore, according to Carmichael's Theorem,
16^9 - 1 = 0 \mod 111
16^{9*2} - 1 = 0 \mod 111
16^{9^{13}} - 1 = 0 \mod 111
and so on.
The sequence formed by the exponents is an Arithmetic Progression:- 9, 18, 27, ..... E
where E is the last term and it is less than 1000.
For the last term E.
9 + (n-1)*9 < 1000
9n < 1000
```

n<111.1 n=111

```
If e is the modulo order of b (mod n), then (b^1 + b^2 + b^3 + ... + b^e) is divisible by n. Similarly, since \lambda(n) is a multiple of e, (b^1 + b^2 + b^3 + ... + b^{\lambda(n)}) is divisible by n. (b^1 + b^2 + b^3 + ... + b^e) = 0 \mod n(b^1 + b^2 + b^3 + ... + b^{\lambda(n)}) = 0 \mod n
```

### What is the remainder when $19^{0} + 19^{1} + 19^{2} + ... + 19^{9001}$ is divided by 100?

100 is relatively prime to 19.  $\lambda(100) = 20$ . Therefore,  $(19^1 + 19^2 + ... + 19^{20})$  is divisible by 100.

### What is the remainder when $19^{\circ} + 19^{1} + 19^{2} + ... + 19^{91}$ is divided by 100?

100 is relatively prime to 19.  $\lambda(100)=20$ . The factors of 20 are 1, 2, 4, 5, 10 and 20. Therefore, the modulo order of 19 (mod 100) is 1 or 2 or 4 or 5 or 10 or 20.

```
19^{1} \mod 100 = 19 \mod 100

19^{2} \mod 100 = 61 \mod 100

19^{4} \mod 100 = 61*61 \mod 100 = 21 \mod 100

19^{5} \mod 100 = 21*19 \mod 100 = 99 \mod 100 = -1 \mod 100

Therefore, 19^{2*5} = +1 \mod 100. Therefore, 10 is the modulo order of 19 (mod 100).
```

Therefore,  $(19^1 + 19^2 + ... + 19^{10})$  is divisible by 100.  $91 = 1 \mod 10$ . Therefore,  $(19^1 + 19^2 + ... + 19^{50})$  is divisible by 100.

```
19^{0} + (19^{1} + 19^{2} + 19^{3} + ... + 19^{90}) + 19^{91} \mod 100
= 1 + (0) + 19<sup>1</sup> mod 100 [91 = 1 mod 20]
= 20 mod 10
= 0 mod 10
```

So far we have solved some puzzles like 'Find the remainder when  $b^{\kappa}$  is divided by n where n is relatively prime to b'. Now, let's solve some puzzles like 'Find the remainder when  $b^{\kappa}$  is divided by n where n is **NOT** relatively prime to b'.

## **M**O#5

In base b, if b is even and b/2 is odd, (b/2+1)<sup>Natural Number</sup> ends in **single digit** (b/2+1).

i.e. if b is even and b/2 is odd, the remainder when  $(b/2+1)^{Natural Number}$  divided by b is (b/2+1).

If b is even and b/2 is odd then  $(b/2+1)^{Natural Number} = (b/2+1) \mod b$ .

For example,  $6^{\text{Natural Number}} = 6 \mod 10$ .

## What is the remainder when 496 is divided by 6? A. 3 B. 2 C. 4 D. 0 (CAT 2003)

```
b=6=Even. b/2=3=Odd. b/2+1=3+1=4.
4<sup>Natural Number</sup> = 4 mod 6.
```

Hence, (C).

### Find the remainder when b<sup>k</sup> is divided by n where n is NOT relatively prime to b.

As n is not relatively prime to b, there must be some highest common factor that divides both b and n. Let p = HCF[b, n] and q = n/p.

After performing this operation, there are two possible possibilities:-

Either p and q are relatively prime to each other

Or p and q are NOT relatively prime to each other.

Possibility#1 p and q are relatively prime to each other

### Carmichael#1

```
Find the remainder when b^{\kappa} is divided by n where n is not relatively prime to b.
```

Find p=HCF[b, n] and q=n/p.

If p and q are relatively prime to each other

then Find the remainder K' when K is divided by  $\lambda(q)$ .

If K'±∩

The remainder when  $b^{\text{K}}$  is divided by n is equal to the remainder when  $b^{\text{K}}$  is divided by n. Otherwise

The remainder when  $b^{K}$  is divided by n is equal to the remainder when  $b^{\lambda(q)}$  is divided by n.

### Find the remainder when 21990 is divided by 1990, posted by Rajarshi Guha

1990 is not relatively prime to 2, therefore we can't apply Carmichael's theorem.

p=HCF[2, 1990]=2 and q=1990/2=995.

As p=2 and q=995 are relative prime to each other, we can apply Carmichael#1.

 $\lambda(995) = 396.1990 = 10 \mod 995.$ 

The remainder when 21990 is divided by 1990 is equal to the remainder when 210 is divided by 1990.

2<sup>1990</sup> mod 1990 = 2<sup>10</sup> mod 1990 = 1024 mod 1990

The number 84<sup>86</sup> when converted to base 210 ends in digit \_\_\_\_\_\_ posted by Software Engineer 210 is not relatively prime to 84, therefore we can't apply Carmichael's theorem.

p=HCF[84, 210]=42 and q=210/42=5.

As p=42 and q=5 are relative prime to each other, we can apply Carmichael#1.

 $\lambda(5) = 4.86 = 2 \mod 4.$ 

The remainder when 84% is divided by 210 is equal to the remainder when 84% is divided by 210.

84<sup>86</sup> mod 210 = 84<sup>2</sup> mod 210 = 126 mod 1990

Hence, the required **single digit number** is **126** in base 210. (If IIMs ever ask this question in CAT, one possible option will be **6**.)

### Find the remainder when 12600 is divided by 100.

100 is not relatively prime to 12, therefore we can't apply Carmichael's theorem.

p=HCF[12, 100]=4 and q=100/4=25.

As p=4 and q=25 are relative prime to each other, we can apply Carmichael#1.

 $\lambda(25)=20.600=0 \text{ mod } 20.$ 

The remainder when  $12^{600}$  is divided by 100 is equal to the remainder when  $12^{20}$  is divided by 100.

 $12^{20}$  mod 100 =  $4^{20}*3^{20}$  mod 100 =  $4^{20}*3^{20}$  mod 100 (HCF[3, 100]=1,  $\lambda(100)$  = 20,  $3^{20}$  = 1 mod 100) = 76\*01 mod 100 (According to MO#1,  $4^{20}$  mod 100 =  $4^{10}$  mod 100 =  $2^{20}$  mod 100 = 76 mod 100) = 76 mod 100

Therefore,  $12^{600} = 76 \mod 100$ .

#### Note: In Carmichael#1,

- ◆ p always divides b, because p is a factor of b. Therefore, the final remainder is of the form R=p\*x.
- As HCF[b, q]=1 we can apply the Carmichael's Theorem to get the remainder R<sub>q</sub> when b<sup>k</sup> is divided by q, therefore, the final remainder is also of the form R=qy+ R<sub>q</sub>.

Therefore, the final remainder R when  $b^k$  is divided by n is of the form  $R=p*x=q*y+R_q$ 

#### Carmichael#1 Reloaded

Find the remainder when  $b^{\kappa}$  is divided by n where n is not relatively prime to b.

Find HCF[b, n]=p and q=n/p.

If p and q are relatively prime to each other

then Find the remainder R<sub>q</sub> when b<sup>k</sup> is divided by q. Solve R=px=qy+R<sub>q</sub> to get the final remainder R.

# Find the remainder when 121350 is divided by 68.

68 is not relatively prime to 12, therefore we can't apply Carmichael's theorem.

```
p=HCF[12, 68]=4 and q=68/4=17.
```

As p=4 and q=17 are relative prime to each other, we can apply Carmichael#1 Reloaded.

Now, find the remainder when  $12^{1350}$  is divided by q=17.  $\lambda(q)=\lambda(17)=16$ . 1350=6 mod 16.  $12^{1350}$  mod 17

= 126 mod 17

= 144 \* 144 \* 144 mod 17

```
= 8 * 8 * 8 mod 17
= 64 * 8 mod 17
= 13 * 8 mod 17
= 2 \mod 17
Now, R=4x=17y+2
          =16y+y+2
LHS is a multiple of 4, therefore, RHS is a multiple of 4, therefore, y+2 is a multiple of 4, therefore, y=2.
R=17*2+2=36
Therefore, 12^{1350} = 36 \mod 68.
Find the remainder when 21990 is divided by 1990, posted by Rajarshi Guha
1990 is not relatively prime to 2, therefore we can't apply Carmichael's theorem.
p=HCF[2, 1990]=2 and q=1990/2=995.
As p=2 and q=995 are relative prime to each other, we can apply Carmichael#1 Reloaded.
Now, find the remainder when 2^{1990} is divided by q=995. \lambda(995)=396. 1990=10 mod 995.
 21990 mod 995
= 210 mod 995
= 29 mod 995
Now, R=2x=995y+29
          =497y+y+28+1
LHS is a multiple of 2, therefore, RHS is a multiple of 2, therefore, y+1 is a multiple of 2, therefore, y=1.
R=995*1+29=1024
Therefore, 2^{1990} = 1024 \mod 1990.
Find the remainder when 12600 is divided by 100.
100 is not relatively prime to 12, therefore we can't apply Carmichael's theorem.
p=HCF[12, 100]=4 and q=100/4=25.
As p=4 and q=25 are relative prime to each other, we can apply Carmichael#1 Reloaded.
Now, find the remainder when 12^{600} is divided by q=25. \lambda(q)=\lambda(25)=20. 600=0 mod 20.
 12600 mod 25
= 12º mod 25
= 1 mod 25
Now, R=4x=25y+1
          =24v+v+1
LHS is a multiple of 4, therefore, RHS is a multiple of 4, therefore, y+1 is a multiple of 4, therefore, y=3.
R=25*3+1=76
Therefore, 12^{1350} = 76 \mod 68.
The number 8486 when converted to base 210 ends in digit

    posted by Software Engineer

210 is not relatively prime to 84, therefore we can't apply Carmichael's theorem.
p=HCF[84, 210]=42 and q=210/42=5.
As p=42 and q=5 are relative prime to each other, we can apply Carmichael#1 Reloaded .
\lambda(q) = \lambda(5) = 4. 86 = 2 mod 4.
= 8486 mod 5
= (-1)86 mod 5
```

```
The number 84% when converted to base 210 ends in digit ______. posted by Software Engineer 210 is not relatively prime to 84, therefore we can't apply Carmichael's theorem.

p=HCF[84, 210]=42 and q=210/42=5.

As p=42 and q=5 are relative prime to each other, we can apply Carmichael#1 Reloaded .

λ(q)=λ(5)=4. 86 = 2 mod 4.

= 84% mod 5
= (-1)% mod 5
= 1 mod 5

Now, R=42x=5y+1
5y=40x+2x-1

LHS is a multiple of 5, therefore, RHS is a multiple of 5, therefore, 2x-1 is a multiple of 5, therefore, x=3.

R=42*3=126.

Hence, the required single digit number is 126 in base 210. (If IIMs ever ask this question in CAT, one possible option will be 6.)

Find the remainder when b<sup>κ</sup> is divided by n where n is NOT relatively prime to b.
```

As n is not relatively prime to b, there must be some highest common factor that divides both b and n.

After performing this operation, there are two possible possibilities:-

p and q are NOT relatively prime to each other.

Either p and q are relatively prime to each other

Let p = HCF[b, n] and q = n/p.

Possibility#2 p and q are NOT relatively prime to each other If p and q are not relatively prime to each other, then we'll assign some new values to p and q such that HCF of p and q becomes 1. Let H be the HCF of b and n, H=HCF[b, n]. Now, split the divisor n into two divisors p and q such that (i.e. n=p\*q) • p is a multiple of H + HCF[H, q]=1 Now, p and q are relatively prime to each other. For example. Find the remainder when 22<sup>67</sup> is divided by 100. p=HCF[22, 100]=2 and q=100/2=50. As p and q are not relatively prime to each other, we can't apply Carmichael#1. Now, we'll assign some new values to both p and q such that HCF of p and q becomes 1. H=HCF[22, 100]=2.Now, split n=100 into two divisors p and q such that p is a multiple of H=2 and HCF[H, q]=1 (and 100=p\*q). (p, q) = (4, 25).Now, p=4 and q=25 are relatively prime to each other. Carmichael#2 Find the remainder when b<sup>k</sup> is divided by n where n is not relatively prime to b. First try to apply Carmichael#1; if it can't be applied then Find H=HCF[b, n]. Split the divisor n into two divisors p and q such that p is a multiple of H and HCF[H, q]=1 (and n=p\*q). Find the remainder  $R_q$  when  $b^k$  is divided by q. Find the positive integer m such that H™=p. If K ≥ m Solve R=px=qy+R<sub>o</sub> to get the final remainder R. Otherwise Solve R=Hx=qy+Rq to get the final remainder R. Find the remainder when 22004 is divided by 2004, posted by Dipankar Gosh 2004 is not relatively prime to 2, therefore we can't apply Carmichael's theorem. p=HCF[2, 2004]=2 and q=2004/2=1002. As p=2 and q=1002 are NOT coprime, we can't apply Carmichael#1. Now, H=HCF[2, 2004]=2. New values:- (p, q)=(4, 501). Now, find the remainder when  $2^{2004}$  is divided by q=501.  $\lambda(q) = \lambda(501) = 166$ . 2004 = 12 mod 166. 22004 mod 501 = 212 mod 501 = 1024 \* 4 mod 501 = 22 \* 4 mod 501 = 88 mod 501 H=2 and p=4, therefore,  $2^2=4$ , therefore m=2. As K=2004 ≥ m=2 R = 4x = 501y + 88=500y + y + 88.LHS is a multiple of 4, therefore, RHS is a multiple of 4, therefore, y is a multiple of 4, therefore, y=0. R=501\*0+88=88 Therefore,  $2^{2004} = 88 \mod 2004$ . Find the remainder when 221352 is divided by 52. 52 is not relatively prime to 22, therefore we can't apply Carmichael's theorem. p=HCF[22, 52]=2 and q=52/2=26. As p=2 and q=26 are NOT relative prime to each other, we can't apply Carmichael#1. Now, H=HCF[22, 52]=2. New values:- (p, q)=(4, 13). Now, find the remainder when  $22^{1352}$  is divided by q=13. 22<sup>1350</sup> mod 13 = 91350 mod 13 = 92 mod 13  $[\lambda(q)=\lambda(13)=12$ . As  $9=3^2$  AND 2 is a factor of  $\lambda(13)=12$ , 1352=2 mod (12/2).  $= 3 \mod 13$ 

```
H=2 and p=4, therefore, 2^{2}=4, therefore m=2.
As K=1352 ≥ m=2
R=4x=13y+3
    =12y+y+3
LHS is a multiple of 4, therefore, RHS is a multiple of 4, therefore, y+3 is a multiple of 4, therefore, y=1.
R=13*1+1=14
Therefore, 22^{1352} = 14 \mod 52.
Summary
Find the remainder when b<sup>k</sup> is divided by n.
IF n is relatively prime to b
      Apply Carmichael's Theorem
 IF n is not relatively prime to b
      Find p=HCF[b, n] and q=n/p.
IF p and q are relatively prime to each other
      Apply Carmichael#1 Reloaded (or Carmichael#1)
Else Apply Carmichael#2
```

# Consider the set S={17°, 17¹, 17², 17³, ..., 17²009}.

(1). Each member of set T, a subset of S, leaves the same remainder 1 when divided by 26. How many members are there in T?