§1 ACHAIN2 INTRO 1

(See https://cs.stanford.edu/~knuth/programs.html for date.)

1. Intro. This program is a revision of ACHAIN1, which you should read first. I'm thinking that a few changes will speed that program up, but as usual the proof of the pudding is in the eating.

The main changes here are: (i) If  $a[j] = b[j] = 2^j + 2^k$ , where k < j - 3, one can prove that a[j - k - 2] and b[j - k - 2] must both be  $2^{j-k-2}$ . This additional constraint makes more chain values "exist" at early stages. (ii) Every addition chain corresponds to a directed graph, and many different addition chains can correspond to the same "reduced" digraph, as explained at the end of Section 4.6.3. Therefore I've worked out a scheme by which only one chain of each equivalence class is explored.

These changes, and the various changes that distinguish ACHAIN1 from ACHAIN0, are fairly independent. If I had time, I could therefore experiment with various subsets, in order to see which of them are really worth the effort. Who knows, maybe some of them actually cause a slowdown, taken individually.

```
/* should be less than 2^{24} on a 32-bit machine */
\#define nmax 10000000
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <time.h>
  char l[nmax];
  int a[128], b[128];
  unsigned int undo[128 * 128];
               /* this many items of the undo stack are in use */
  int ptr;
  struct {
     int lbp, ubp, lbq, ubq, r, ptrp, ptrq;
  } stack[128];
  int tail[128], outdeg[128], outsum[128], limit[128];
  FILE *infile, *outfile;
                         /* 1000 primes will take us past 60 million */
  int prime [1000];
               /* the number of primes known so far */
                  /* exponents of the binary representation of n, less 1 */
  int main(int argc, char *argv[])
     register int i, j, n, p, q, r, s, ubp, ubq, lbp, lbq, ptrp, ptrq;
     int lb, ub, timer = 0;
     \langle \text{Process the command line } 2 \rangle;
     prime[0] = 2, pr = 1;
     a[0] = b[0] = 1, a[1] = b[1] = 2;
                                           /* an addition chain always begins like this */
     for (n = 1; n < nmax; n ++) {
       \langle \text{Input the next lower bound, } lb \ 4 \rangle;
       (Find an upper bound; or in simple cases, set l(n) and goto done 5);
       \langle \text{Backtrack until } l(n) \text{ is known } 7 \rangle;
     done: \langle \text{Output the value of } l(n) \rangle;
       if (n \% 1000 \equiv 0) {
         j = clock();
          printf("%d..%d_{\square}done_{\square}in_{\square}%.5g_{\square}minutes n", n-999, n,
               (\mathbf{double})(j - timer)/(60 * CLOCKS_PER_SEC));
          timer = j;
       }
    }
```

2 INTRO ACHAIN2 §2

```
\langle \text{Process the command line } 2 \rangle \equiv
  if (argc \neq 3) {
     infile = fopen(argv[1], "r");
  if (\neg infile) {
     fprintf(stderr, "I_{\square}couldn't_{\square}open_{\square}'%s'_{\square}for_{\square}reading! \n", argv[1]);
     exit(-2);
  outfile = fopen(argv[2], "w");
  if (\neg outfile) {
    fprintf(stderr, "I_{\sqcup}couldn't_{\sqcup}open_{\sqcup}'%s'_{\sqcup}for_{\sqcup}writing! \n", argv[2]);
     exit(-3);
This code is used in section 1.
3. (Output the value of l(n) 3) \equiv
  fprintf(outfile, "%c", l[n] + ' ' ');
  fflush(outfile);
                       /* make sure the result is viewable immediately */
This code is used in section 1.
4. At this point I compute the "lower bound" |\lg n| + 3, which is valid if \nu n > 4. Simple cases where
\nu n \leq 4 will be handled separately below.
\langle \text{Input the next lower bound}, lb | 4 \rangle \equiv
  for (q = n, i = -1, j = 0; q; q \gg = 1, i++)
                                  /* now i = |\lg n| and j = \nu n */
     if (q \& 1) \ x[j++] = i;
```

**5.** Three elementary and well-known upper bounds are considered: (i)  $l(n) \le \lfloor \lg n \rfloor + \nu n - 1$ ; (ii)  $l(n) \le l(n-1) + 1$ ; (iii)  $l(n) \le l(p) + l(q)$  if n = pq.

/\* fgetc will return a negative value after EOF \*/

Furthermore, there are four special cases when Theorem 4.6.3C tells us we can save a step. In this regard, I had to learn (the hard way) to avoid a curious bug: Three of the four cases in Theorem 4.6.3C arise when we factor n, so I thought I needed to test only the other case here. But I got a surprise when n = 165: Then  $n = 3 \cdot 55$ , so the factor method gave the upper bound l(3) + l(55) = 10; but another factorization,  $n = 5 \cdot 33$ , gives the better bound l(5) + l(33) = 9.

```
 \langle \text{ Find an upper bound; or in simple cases, set } l(n) \text{ and } \textbf{goto } done \ 5 \rangle \equiv ub = i+j-1; \\ \textbf{if } (ub > l[n-1]+1) \ ub = l[n-1]+1; \\ \langle \text{ Try reducing } ub \text{ with the factor method } 6 \rangle; \\ l[n] = ub; \\ \textbf{if } (j \leq 3) \ \textbf{goto } done; \\ \textbf{if } (j \equiv 4) \ \{ \\ p = x[3] - x[2], q = x[1] - x[0]; \\ \textbf{if } (p \equiv q \lor p \equiv q+1 \lor (q \equiv 1 \land (p \equiv 3 \lor (p \equiv 5 \land x[2] \equiv x[1]+1)))) \ l[n] = i+2; \\ \textbf{goto } done; \\ \}
```

 $lb = fgetc(infile) - ' \sqcup ';$  **if** (lb < i + 3) lb = i + 3;This code is used in section 1.

This code is used in section 1.

 $\S6$  ACHAIN2 INTRO 3

**6.** It's important to try the factor method even when  $j \leq 4$ , because of the way prime numbers are recognized here: We would miss the prime 3, for example.

On the other hand, we don't need to remember large primes that will never arise as factors of any future n.  $\langle \text{Try reducing } ub \text{ with the factor method } 6 \rangle \equiv \frac{16}{3} \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \right)$ 

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{if } (n > 2) \\ \textbf{for } (s = 0; \; ; \; s++) \; \{ \\ p = prime[s]; \\ q = n/p; \\ \textbf{if } (n \equiv p*q) \; \{ \\ \textbf{if } (l[p] + l[q] < ub) \; ub = l[p] + l[q]; \\ \textbf{break}; \\ \} \\ \textbf{if } (q \leq p) \; \{ \quad /* \; n \; \text{is prime } */ \\ \textbf{if } (pr < 1000) \; prime[pr++] = n; \\ \textbf{break}; \\ \} \\ \} \end{array}
```

This code is used in section 5.

ACHAIN2 §7

4 THE INTERESTING PART

The interesting part. Nontrivial changes begin to occur when we get into the guts of the backtracking structure carried over from the previous versions of this program, but the controlling loop at the outer level remains intact.

```
\langle \text{ Backtrack until } l(n) \text{ is known } 7 \rangle \equiv
  l[n] = lb;
  while (lb < ub) {
     for (i = 0; i \le lb; i++) outdeg[i] = outsum[i] = 0;
     a[lb] = b[lb] = n;
     for (i = 2; i < lb; i++) a[i] = a[i-1] + 1, b[i] = b[i-1] \ll 1;
     for (i = lb - 1; i \ge 2; i --) {
        if ((a[i] \ll 1) < a[i+1]) a[i] = (a[i+1]+1) \gg 1;
if (b[i] \ge b[i+1]) b[i] = b[i+1] - 1;
      \langle \text{Try to fix the rest of the chain; goto done if it's possible } 9 \rangle;
     l[n] = ++lb;
```

This code is used in section 1.

The main new idea implemented below is related to the reduced digraph representation of an addition chain, in which each element  $a_s$  of the chain is effectively expressed as a sum of two or more previous elements. For example, we might have  $a_s = a_i + a_j + a_k + a_l$  where  $i \leq j \leq k \leq l$ , represented by four arrows coming in to node  $a_s$  from nodes  $a_i$ ,  $a_j$ ,  $a_k$ , and  $a_l$ . The colexicographically largest chain with this reduced digraph has elements  $a_k + a_l$ ,  $a_j + a_k + a_l$ , and  $a_i + a_j + a_k + a_l$ , and we want to avoid redundant work by restricting consideration to such chains.

Fortunately there's an easy way to do this: For each chain element x we remember its "tail," which is the smallest node that points to x; and we also keep track of the outdegree of each fixed element. If  $a_s = p + q$ with  $p \ge q$ , the tail of  $a_s$  is q. And if  $a_s$  has outdegree 1 because it is used only as an input to  $a_t$ , we insist that q be at least as large as the tail of  $a_t$ .

Array element outdeg[j] is the current number of elements  $a_{s+1}, a_{s+2}, \ldots$ , that make use of  $a_j$ , and array element outsum[j] is the sum of all their subscripts. These two arrays are easily updated as we move forward and backward while backtracking; and outsum[j] turns out to be exactly the value t that we need to know when outdeg[j] = 1. (Has anybody seen this idea before? At my age I thought I had seen all such simple tricks long ago, but maybe there still are many more waiting to be discovered.)

(Note added 08 Oct 2005: I just found this idea attributed to David Wood, by Eric Bach and Marcos Kiwi in Theoretical Computer Science 235 (2000), 5. Bach and Kiwi go on to generalize the idea so that more than one element can be identified, using power sums.)

One consequence: If  $outdeg[s-1] \equiv 0$ , we must either have  $a[s-1] = \frac{1}{2}a[s]$  or  $a[s-1] \geq \frac{2}{3}a[s]$ . Because after setting a[s] to a value other than  $\frac{1}{2}a[s]$  we will have outdeg[s-1] = 1, and  $\frac{1}{2}a[s-1] \ge tail[s-1] \ge tail[s-1]$ tail[s] = a[s] - a[s-1].

This code is used in section 7.

**9.** We maintain a stack of subproblems, as usual when backtracking. Suppose a[t] is the sum of two items already present, for all t > s; we want to make sure that a[s] is legitimate too. For this purpose we try all combinations a[s] = p + q where  $p \ge a[s]/2$ , trying to make both p and q present. (By the nature of the algorithm, we'll have a[s] = b[s] at the time we choose p and q, because shorter addition chains have been ruled out.)

As elements of a and b are changed, we record their previous values on the undo stack, so that we can easily restore them later. Pointers ptrp and ptrq contain the limiting indexes for undo information.

```
\langle Try to fix the rest of the chain; goto done if it's possible 9 \rangle \equiv
  ptr = 0;
               /* clear the undo stack */
  for (r = s = lb; s > 2; s --) {
    if (outdeg[s] \equiv 1) limit[s] = tail[outsum[s]]; else limit[s] = 1;
    for (; r > 1 \land a[r-1] \equiv b[r-1]; r--);
    if (outdeg[s-1] \equiv 0 \land (a[s] \& 1)) \ q = a[s]/3; else q = a[s] \gg 1;
    for (p = a[s] - q; p \le b[s - 1];)
       if (p > b[r-1]) {
         while (p > a[r]) r + +;   /* this step keeps r < s, since a[s-1] = b[s-1] */
         p = a[r], q = a[s] - p, r++;
       if (q < limit[s]) goto backup;
       \langle Find bounds (lbp, ubp) and (lbq, ubq) on where p and q can be inserted; but go to failpq if they
            can't both be accommodated 12;
       ptrp = ptr;
       for (; ubp > lbp; ubp --) {
          \langle \text{ Put } p \text{ into the chain at location } ubp; \text{ goto } failp \text{ if there's a problem } 14 \rangle;
         if (p \equiv q) goto happiness;
         if (ubq \ge ubp) ubq = ubp - 1;
         ptrq = ptr;
         for (; ubq \ge lbq; ubq --) {
            \langle \text{ Put } q \text{ into the chain at location } ubq; \text{ goto } failq \text{ if there's a problem } 16 \rangle;
          happiness: (Put local variables on the stack and update outdegrees 10);
                                /* now a[s] is covered; try to fill in a[s-1] */
            goto onward;
          backup: s++;
            if (s > lb) goto impossible;
            Restore local variables from the stack and downdate outdegrees 11;
            if (p \equiv q) goto failp;
         failq: while (ptr > ptrq) (Undo a change 13);
       failp: while (ptr > ptrp) (Undo a change 13);
    failpq: if (p \equiv q) {
         if (outdeg[s-1] \equiv 0) q = a[s]/3 + 1;
                                                       /* will be decreased momentarily */
         if (q > b[s-2]) q = b[s-2];
         else q—; p = a[s] - q;
       } else p++, q--;
    goto backup;
  onward: continue;
  goto done;
impossible:
```

```
10. \langle \text{Put local variables on the stack and update outdegrees } 10 \rangle \equiv
  tail[s] = q, stack[s].r = r;
  outdeg[ubp]++, outsum[ubp] += s;
  outdeg[ubq] ++, outsum[ubq] += s;
  stack[s].lbp = lbp, stack[s].ubp = ubp;
  stack[s].lbq = lbq, stack[s].ubq = ubq;
  stack[s].ptrp = ptrp, stack[s].ptrq = ptrq;
This code is used in section 9.
11. \langle Restore local variables from the stack and downdate outdegrees |11\rangle \equiv
  ptrq = stack[s].ptrq, ptrp = stack[s].ptrp;
  lbq = stack[s].lbq, ubq = stack[s].ubq;
  lbp = stack[s].lbp, ubp = stack[s].ubp;
  outdeg[ubq]--, outsum[ubq]-=s;
  outdeg[ubp]--, outsum[ubp]-=s;
  q = tail[s], p = a[s] - q, r = stack[s].r;
This code is used in section 9.
12. After the test in this step is passed, we'll have ubp > ubq and lbp > lbq.
\langle Find bounds (lbp, ubp) and (lbq, ubq) on where p and q can be inserted; but go to failpq if they can't both
       be accommodated 12 \equiv
  lbp = l[p];
  if (lbp \ge s) goto failpq;
  while (b[lbp] < p) lbp ++;
  if (a[lbp] > p) goto failpq;
  for (ubp = lbp; a[ubp + 1] \le p; ubp ++);
  if (ubp \equiv s-1) lbp = ubp;
  if (p \equiv q) lbq = lbp, ubq = ubp;
  else {
     lbq = l[q];
    if (lbq \ge ubp) goto failpq;
     while (b[lbq] < q) lbq ++;
    if (lbq \geq ubp) goto failpq;
    if (a[lbq] > q) goto failpq;
     for (ubq = lbq; \ a[ubq + 1] \le q \land ubq + 1 < ubp; \ ubq ++);
     if (lbp \equiv lbq) lbp ++;
This code is used in section 9.
13. The undoing mechanism is very simple: When changing a[j], we put (j \ll 24) + x on the undo stack,
where x was the former value. Similarly, when changing b[j], we stack the value (1 \ll 31) + (j \ll 24) + x.
#define newa(j, y) undo [ptr ++] = (j \ll 24) + a[j], a[j] = y
#define newb(j, y) undo [ptr++] = (1 \ll 31) + (j \ll 24) + b[j], b[j] = y
\langle \text{ Undo a change } 13 \rangle \equiv
  {
     i = undo[--ptr];
    if (i > 0) a[i \gg 24] = i \& #fffffff;
```

This code is used in section 9.

else  $b[(i \& #3fffffff) \gg 24] = i \& #fffffff;$ 

```
14. At this point we know that a[ubp] \le p \le b[ubp].
\langle \text{Put } p \text{ into the chain at location } ubp; \text{ goto } failp \text{ if there's a problem } 14 \rangle \equiv
  if (a[ubp] \neq p) {
     newa(ubp, p);
     for (j = ubp - 1; (a[j] \ll 1) < a[j+1]; j--) {
        i = (a[j+1]+1) \gg 1;
       if (i > b[j]) goto failp;
        newa(j,i);
      {\bf for} \ (j=ubp+1; \ a[j] \le a[j-1]; \ j +\!\!\!+\!\!\!+) \ \{
        i = a[j-1] + 1;
       if (i > b[j]) goto failp;
        newa(j, i);
  if (b[ubp] \neq p) {
     newb(ubp, p);
     for (j = ubp - 1; b[j] \ge b[j+1]; j--) {
        i = b[j+1] - 1;
       if (i < a[j]) goto failp;
        newb(j, i);
     for (j = ubp + 1; b[j] > b[j-1] \ll 1; j++) {
        i = b[j-1] \ll 1;
       if (i < a[j]) goto failp;
        newb(j, i);
   \langle Make forced moves if p has a special form 15\rangle;
```

This code is used in section 9.

8

**15.** If, say, we've just set a[8] = b[8] = 132, special considerations apply, because the only addition chains of length 8 for 132 are

```
1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 132;
1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 68, 132;
1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 66, 132;
1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 34, 66, 132;
1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 33, 66, 132;
1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 17, 33, 66, 132.
```

The values of a[4] and b[4] must therefore be 16; and then, of course, we also must have a[3] = b[3] = 8, etc. Similar reasoning applies whenever we set  $a[j] = b[j] = 2^j + 2^k$  for  $k \le j - 4$ .

Such cases may seem extremely special. But my hunch is that they are important, because efficient chains need such values. When we try to prove that no efficient chain exists, we want to show that such values can't be present. Numbers with small l[p] are harder to rule out, so it should be helpful to penalize them.

This code is used in section 14.

**16.** At this point we had better not assume that  $a[ubq] \le q \le b[ubq]$ , because p has just been inserted. That insertion can mess up the bounds that we looked at when lbq and ubq were computed.

```
\langle \text{Put } q \text{ into the chain at location } ubq; \text{ goto } failq \text{ if there's a problem } 16 \rangle \equiv
  if (a[ubq] \neq q) {
     if (a[ubq] > q) goto failq;
     newa(ubq,q);
     for (j = ubq - 1; (a[j] \ll 1) < a[j+1]; j--) {
       i = (a[j+1]+1) \gg 1;
       if (i > b[j]) goto failq;
       newa(j,i);
     for (j = ubq + 1; \ a[j] \le a[j-1]; \ j ++) \ 
       i = a[j-1] + 1;
       if (i > b[j]) goto failq;
       newa(j,i);
     }
  if (b[ubq] \neq q) {
     if (b[ubq] < q) goto failq;
     newb(ubq,q);
     for (j = ubq - 1; b[j] \ge b[j + 1]; j --) {
       i = b[j+1] - 1;
       if (i < a[j]) goto failq;
       newb(j, i);
     for (j = ubq + 1; b[j] > b[j-1] \ll 1; j++) {
       i = b[j-1] \ll 1;
       if (i < a[j]) goto failq;
       newb(j,i);
     }
  \langle Make forced moves if q has a special form 17\rangle;
This code is used in section 9.
17. \langle Make forced moves if q has a special form 17\rangle \equiv
  i = q - (1 \ll (ubq - 1));
  if (i \wedge ((i \& (i-1)) \equiv 0) \wedge (i \ll 4) < q) {
     for (j = ubq - 2; (i \& 1) \equiv 0; i \gg 1, j-1);
     if (b[j] < (1 \ll j)) goto failq;
     for (; a[j] < (1 \ll j); j--) newa(j, 1 \ll j);
This code is used in section 16.
```

10 INDEX ACHAIN2 §18

## 18. Index.

```
a: \underline{1}.
argc: \underline{1}, \underline{2}.
argv: \underline{1}, \underline{2}.
b: \underline{1}.
backup: \underline{9}.
clock: 1.
CLOCKS_PER_SEC: 1.
done: \underline{1}, \underline{5}, \underline{9}.
exit: 2.
failp: \underline{9}, 14, 15.
failpq: \underline{9}, \underline{12}.
failq: \underline{9}, \underline{16}, \underline{17}.
fflush: 3.
fgetc: 4.
fopen: 2.
fprintf: 2, 3.
happiness: \underline{9}.
i: \underline{1}.
impossible: \underline{9}.
infile: \underline{1}, \underline{2}, \underline{4}.
j: \underline{1}.
l: <u>1</u>.
lb: 1, 4, 7, 9.
lbp: 1, 9, 10, 11, 12.
lbq: \ \underline{1}, \ 9, \ 10, \ 11, \ 12, \ 16.
limit: \underline{1}, \underline{9}.
main: \underline{1}.
n: \underline{1}.
newa: <u>13</u>, 14, 15, 16, 17.
newb: 13, 14, 16.
nmax: 1.
onward: \underline{9}.
outdeg \colon \ \ \underline{1}, \ 7, \ 8, \ 9, \ 10, \ 11.
outfile: \underline{1}, \underline{2}, \underline{3}.
outsum: \underline{1}, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11.
p: \underline{\mathbf{1}}.
pr: \underline{1}, \underline{6}.
prime: \underline{1}, \underline{6}.
printf: 1.
ptr: \underline{1}, 9, 13.
ptrp\colon \ \underline{1},\ 9,\ 10,\ 11.
ptrq: \ \underline{1}, \ 9, \ 10, \ 11.
q: \underline{1}.
r: \underline{1}.
s: \underline{1}.
stack: 1, 10, 11.
stderr: 2.
tail: 1, 8, 9, 10, 11.
timer: \underline{1}.
ub: 1, 5, 6, 7.
ubp: \underline{1}, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15.
```

 $ubq: \underline{1}, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16, 17.$  $undo: \underline{1}, 9, 13.$  $x: \underline{1}$ .

ACHAIN2 NAMES OF THE SECTIONS 11

```
\langle \text{Backtrack until } l(n) \text{ is known } 7 \rangle Used in section 1.
\langle Find an upper bound; or in simple cases, set l(n) and goto done 5\rangle Used in section 1.
\langle Find bounds (lbp, ubp) and (lbq, ubq) on where p and q can be inserted; but go to failpq if they can't both
     be accommodated 12 Vsed in section 9.
\langle Input the next lower bound, lb 4\rangle Used in section 1.
\langle Make forced moves if p has a special form 15 \rangle Used in section 14.
\langle Make forced moves if q has a special form 17\rangle Used in section 16.
(Output the value of l(n) 3) Used in section 1.
\langle \text{Process the command line 2} \rangle Used in section 1.
(Put local variables on the stack and update outdegrees 10) Used in section 9.
(Put p into the chain at location ubp; goto failp if there's a problem 14) Used in section 9.
\langle \text{Put } q \text{ into the chain at location } ubq; \text{ goto } failq \text{ if there's a problem } 16 \rangle Used in section 9.
(Restore local variables from the stack and downdate outdegrees 11) Used in section 9.
\langle \text{Try reducing } ub \text{ with the factor method } 6 \rangle Used in section 5.
\langle \text{Try to fix the rest of the chain; goto done if it's possible 9} \rangle Used in section 7.
(Undo a change 13) Used in section 9.
```

## ACHAIN2

	Section	Page
Intro	1	1
The interesting part	7	4
Index	18	10