LinearModel

Pratik Kulkarni

22/10/2021

```
data1 <- read.csv("fifa AllFairnessScore.csv")</pre>
set.seed(1)
attach(data1)
#data1$Country = as.factor(data1$Country)
# Defining training set by taking data until 2014.
train1 <- data1[1:176, ]</pre>
# Defining testing set by taking remaining 2018 data
test1 <- data1[177:208, ]
# Create a simple lin reg model
model1 <- lm(FinalPos ~ TeamRank, data = train1)</pre>
# View summary of model.
summary(model1)
##
## lm(formula = FinalPos ~ TeamRank, data = train1)
## Residuals:
       Min
                  1Q Median
                                    3Q
                                             Max
## -15.3390 -5.0205 -0.7666 6.0984 14.1479
##
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 9.79699
                           0.81400
                                     12.04
                                              <2e-16 ***
                           0.02773
## TeamRank
               0.27757
                                     10.01
                                              <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 6.932 on 174 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3655, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3618
## F-statistic: 100.2 on 1 and 174 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
# Predict for testing dataset.
fifa_predicted1 <- predict(model1, newdata = test1)</pre>
# Fill vector with actual values from testing dataset.
```

```
fifa_test <- test1[, "FinalPos"]

# Calculate MSE by taking the mean of squared error difference.
MSE <- mean((fifa_predicted1 - fifa_test) ^ 2)
print(MSE)</pre>
```

[1] 50.1357

Successive predictive models with FinalPos as response variable were created, to assess and compare the predictive accuracy at alpha (alpha symbol) = 0.05.

TeamRank was entered as the predictor in Model 1 and it was significant, p-value < 2e-16, explaining 36.6% of variation in FinalPos outcome.

```
# Create a simple lin reg model
model2 <- lm(FinalPos ~ Fairness, data = train1)</pre>
# View summary of model.
summary(model2)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = FinalPos ~ Fairness, data = train1)
## Residuals:
##
                                3Q
       Min
                1Q Median
                                       Max
## -16.165 -7.604 -1.598
                             7.483 10.418
##
## Coefficients:
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 1.392e+01 1.174e+00 11.855
                                              <2e-16 ***
               1.160e-03 5.344e-04
## Fairness
                                     2.171
                                              0.0313 *
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 8.587 on 174 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.02637,
                                    Adjusted R-squared: 0.02078
## F-statistic: 4.713 on 1 and 174 DF, p-value: 0.03129
# Predict for testing dataset.
fifa_predicted2 <- predict(model2, newdata = test1)</pre>
# Calculate MSE by taking the mean of squared error difference.
MSE <- mean((fifa_predicted2 - fifa_test) ^ 2)</pre>
print(MSE)
```

[1] 72.59164

Model 2 had only Fairness as the predictor, and the model was significant, p-value = 0.0313, explaining 2.6% of variation in FinalPos outcome.

```
# Create a simple lin reg model
model3 <- lm(FinalPos ~ TeamRank+Fairness, data = train1)
# View summary of model.</pre>
```

```
summary(model3)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = FinalPos ~ TeamRank + Fairness, data = train1)
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                  1Q
                      Median
                                    3Q
                                             Max
## -15.4582 -5.0004 -0.7949
                                6.0332 14.1738
##
## Coefficients:
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 9.867e+00 1.040e+00
                                       9.490
                                                <2e-16 ***
               2.784e-01 2.896e-02 9.616
## TeamRank
                                                <2e-16 ***
## Fairness
               -4.893e-05 4.505e-04 -0.109
                                                 0.914
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 6.952 on 173 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3655, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3582
## F-statistic: 49.83 on 2 and 173 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
# Predict for testing dataset.
fifa predicted3 <- predict(model3, newdata = test1)</pre>
# Calculate MSE by taking the mean of squared error difference.
MSE <- mean((fifa_predicted3 - fifa_test) ^ 2)</pre>
print(MSE)
## [1] 50.06966
Model 3 had TeamRank and Fairness as the predictors. The overall model was significant, p-value < 2.2e-16,
explaining 36.6% of variation in FinalPos outcome. TeamRank was a significant predictor while Fairness was
not significant.
# Create a simple lin req model
model4 <- lm(FinalPos ~ TeamRank*Fairness, data = train1)</pre>
# View summary of model.
summary (model4)
##
## lm(formula = FinalPos ~ TeamRank * Fairness, data = train1)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                      Median
                                    3Q
                                             Max
                  1Q
## -24.2249 -4.9781 -0.7494 5.5535 14.5968
## Coefficients:
##
                       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                      5.833e+00 1.545e+00 3.774 0.000220 ***
## TeamRank
                      4.842e-01 6.600e-02 7.337 8.27e-12 ***
## Fairness
                      1.810e-03 6.942e-04
                                            2.607 0.009950 **
## TeamRank:Fairness -8.407e-05 2.440e-05 -3.445 0.000717 ***
```

```
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 6.743 on 172 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.4065, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3961
## F-statistic: 39.27 on 3 and 172 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
# Predict for testing dataset.
fifa_predicted4 <- predict(model4, newdata = test1)</pre>
# Calculate MSE by taking the mean of squared error difference.
MSE <- mean((fifa_predicted4 - fifa_test) ^ 2)</pre>
print(MSE)
## [1] 56.71364
Model 4 had TeamRank, Fairness and their interaction as the predictors. The overall model was significant,
p-value < 2.2e-16, explaining 40.7% of variation in FinalPos outcome. The interaction term was significant in
this model.
# Create a simple lin reg model
model5 <- lm(FinalPos ~ TeamRank + Fairness + NumGames, data = train1)</pre>
# View summary of model.
summary(model5)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = FinalPos ~ TeamRank + Fairness + NumGames, data = train1)
## Residuals:
      Min
              1Q Median
                            3Q
                                  Max
## -6.300 -3.392 1.570 2.598 4.933
##
## Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 3.698e+01 1.142e+00 32.381 < 2e-16 ***
## TeamRank 5.723e-02 1.558e-02
                                      3.673 0.00032 ***
## Fairness
              3.042e-05 2.033e-04 0.150 0.88122
## NumGames -5.476e+00 2.103e-01 -26.039 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 3.136 on 172 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.8716, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8694
## F-statistic: 389.2 on 3 and 172 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
# Predict for testing dataset.
fifa_predicted5 <- predict(model5, newdata = test1)</pre>
# Calculate MSE by taking the mean of squared error difference.
MSE <- mean((fifa_predicted5 - fifa_test) ^ 2)</pre>
print(MSE)
```

[1] 10.08672

Model 5 had TeamRank, Fairness and NumGames as the predictors. The overall model was significant, p-value < 2.2e-16, explaining 87.2% of variation in FinalPos outcome. TeamRank and NumGames were significant predictors, while Fairness was not.

`geom_smooth()` using formula 'y ~ x'

