

## PROBLEM DOMAIN – NUMBER THEORY

### Testing for Primes:

- Motivation
- Cost of Deterministic algorithm(s)
- Expected Cost of Finding a Prime Number

## CHOICE OF MODULUS IN RSA

- RSA performs operations “modulo  $n$ ” for some  $n = p * q$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are large primes
  - and its security is contingent on the condition that
    - *factoring cannot be done in time polynomial in length of  $n$*
    - i.e. time polynomial in  $\log(n)$
- For the security condition to be meaningful in practice,
  - *$n$  should be large enough*
    - i.e.  $p$  and  $q$  *should be large enough.*
  - Today 1024-bit modulus is not strong enough:
    - i.e. a 1024-bit  $n$  can be factored in “reasonable” time



## LENGTH OF MODULUS IN RSA

- For factoring to be computationally expensive:
  - current recommendations for  $n$  are
    - at least **1392 bits**
      - estimated using a theoretical calculation by Lenstra
    - at least **2048 bits**
      - recommended by NIST (circa 2013)
    - at least **3072 bits**
      - recommended by NSA (circa 2015)
- Thus we need two prime numbers  
    **in the range of ~700 bits to ~1500 bits**  
for each pair of communicators!



## GENERATION OF PRIMES FOR RSA

- For large scale use of RSA, a large number of large pairs of primes have to be found:
  - There are no algebraic (or other) techniques to generate all primes!
    - i.e. primes have to be found by
      - sampling numbers and testing them for **primality**.



# PRIMALITY TESTING - COST

- (Naive) Primality Testing – Algorithm 1:

```
testPrime(N) { // large N
  for all odd numbers m from 3 to  $\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor$  {
    if (m | N) return false;
  }
  return true;
}
```

- What is the time complexity of this algorithm,
  - assuming ***uniform cost model***?
  - assuming ***logarithmic cost model***?
- Is it a polynomial time algorithm?



# PRIMALITY TESTING – COST – (NAIVE) SIEVING

## ○ (Naive) Primality Testing – Algorithm 2

sieveEratosthenes(N):

Exercise

1. create a list  $L[1..N]$  of Boolean
  2. for  $j = 1$  to  $N$  {  $L[j] = \text{true};$  }
  3.  $L[1] = \text{false}; \text{nxtPrm} = 2;$
  4. while ( $\text{nxtPrm} < \text{sqrt}(N)$ ) {
    1.  $\text{mult} = \text{nxtPrm};$
    2. while ( $\text{mult} < N$ ) {  $\text{mult} += \text{nxtPrm}; L[\text{mult}] = \text{false};$  }
    3. while ( $\neg L[\text{nxtPrm}]$ )  $\text{nxtPrm} += 1$  ;
  5. }
  6. create a set Primes and initialize it to the empty set;
  7. for  $j = 2$  to  $N$  { if ( $L[j]$ ) { add  $L[j]$  to Primes; } }
  8. return Primes;
- a) What is the time complexity?
  - b) Does it depend on the cost model?
  - c) What is the space complexity?
  - d) What is the amortized cost per prime number?

# PRIMALITY TESTING

## ○ Primality Testing:

- Proven to be a problem that can be computed in polynomial time by:
  - Agarwal, Kayal, and Saxena. *PRIMES is in P*, 2002.
- AKS is the best known deterministic algorithm – *without assumptions* – for testing whether an integer  $n$  is prime :
  - Running time:  $O((\log n)^k)$  for  $k \approx 7.5$



# PRIMALITY TESTING : PRAGMATICS

- Consider typical high security recommendation for RSA (circa 2015): 1024 bit keys
  - i.e. 1024 bit prime numbers have to be generated and  $1024^{7.5} = 2^{75} > 10^{22}$  operations (for AKS)
    - This will require a  $10^{22} / 10^{12} = 10^{10}$  seconds on a system that can deliver **1 Tera operations per second**
      - i.e.  $10^{10} / (3600 * 24 * 365) = \text{about } 300+ \text{ years!}$
  - To put this in perspective:
    - Circa 2015, typical multi-core systems with Intel i7 octa-core can deliver:
      - ~350 Giga operations per second





# FINDING LARGE PRIMES

- How do you find large primes?

- This would be the outline:

```
for (next = oddLow; next < oddHigh; next +=2 ) {  
    if prime(next) return next;  
}
```

- What is the expected running time of this algorithm?

- What should be oddLow and oddHigh?



# DISTRIBUTION OF PRIMES

## ○ Basic guarantees:

- Elementary Prime Distribution Theorem:

- There exists a prime number between  $n$  and  $2*n$  for any  $n > 1$

- Exercise: **Prove this.**

## ○ Definition $\pi(x)$

- Let  $x$  be a positive real number  $> 1$ . Then  $\pi(x)$  is defined as the number of primes less than or equal to  $x$ .

## ○ Prime Number Theorem:

- $\pi(x)$  is asymptotic to  $x / \ln(x)$ 
  - i.e.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \pi(x) / (x / \ln(x)) = 1$



## COST OF FINDING PRIMES

- Then given this outline:

```
for (next = lo; next < hi; next +=2 )  
    { if prime(next) return next; }
```

- the expected running time would be

$P(\log_2(hi)) * T(hi, lo)$  where

- $P(M)$  is the time taken for testing a number sized  $M$  and
- $T(hi, lo)$  is the expected number of trials to find a prime in the interval  $(lo, hi)$ .
  - $T(x, y) = 1/D(x, y)$  where  $D(x, y)$  is the probability of finding a prime between  $x$  and  $y$ .
  - i.e.  $T(x, y) = |x - y| / |\pi(x) - \pi(y)|$   
 $= |x - y| / |(x/\ln(x)) - (y/\ln(y))|$

