

CS F364

Design & Analysis of Algorithms

ALGORITHMS – DESIGN TECHNIQUES

Exact Solutions for Hard Problems - Search with Backtracking

1

BACKTRACKING: TEMPLATE

BACKTRACKING - APPROACH

- Solution space can also be viewed as “certificate” space:
 - i.e. Searching for a solution can be viewed as “constructing” a “valid” certificate (and testing it)
- Recall non-deterministic (ND) machines
 - ND machines can be simulated deterministically by exploring all possibilities exhaustively !
- (Deterministic) Template for “backtracking”
 1. Systematically construct a solution and test it
 - a. If a test fails backtrack to find an alternate solution
 2. Repeat step 1 until valid solution is found.

BACKTRACKING - ALGORITHMIC TEMPLATE - OUTLINE

Algorithm_Template Backtrack(x):

// x is a problem instance

$F = \{ (x, \{\}) \}$ // F is a set of **configurations**

while (F not empty) do {

 // inspect configurations in F one by one

}

return “no solution”

BACKTRACKING - ALGORITHMIC TEMPLATE - CONFIGURATIONS

Algorithm_Template Backtrack(x): // x is a problem instance

$F = \{ (x, \{\}) \}$ // F is a set of configurations

while (F not empty) do {

 // inspect configurations in F one by one

select the *most promising configuration* (x,y) from F;

expand (x,y) by *making additional choices* to get a set of
 new configurations $C = \{ (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_k, y_k) \}$;

 for each (x_j,y_j) in C {

 // validate(x_j,y_j)

 }

}

return “no solution”

BACKTRACKING - ALGORITHMIC TEMPLATE

Algorithm_Template Backtrack(x): // x is a problem instance

$F = \{ (x, \{\}) \}$ // F is a set of configurations

while (F not empty) do {

 // inspect configurations in F one by one

 select the most promising configuration (x,y) from F;

 expand (x,y) by making additional choices to get a set of
 new configurations $C = \{ (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_k, y_k) \}$;

 for each (x_j, y_j) in C {

 // validate(x_j, y_j)

 if **solution found** return the solution derived from (x_j, y_j) ;

 else if **dead end** then **discard**; // **backtrack**

 else $F = F \cup \{ (x_j, y_j) \}$

 }

}

return “no solution”

Backtracking - Examples: CNF-SAT, HAM-PATH

BACKTRACKING — EXAMPLE — CNF-SAT

- Input : Boolean formula S in CNF
 - A configuration:
 - (S', y) where S' is a Boolean formula in CNF and
 - y is a set of assignments to variables not in S'
 - such that making these assignments in S results in S'
 - “Promising configuration”
 - Most constrained of all formulas in F :
 - S' containing the smallest clause

- Input : Boolean formula S in CNF
 - Sub problems:
 - Locate the smallest clause C in S'
 - Pick a variable x_j that appears in C
 - Create subproblems by assigning $x_j=1$, $x_j=0$ and simplifying S' accordingly
 - Validation:
 - Assignment creates a contradiction: “dead end”
 - Assignment reduces S' to an empty clause: “found solution”

BACKTRACKING ALGORITHM FOR CNF-SAT

- Algorithm BACK_SAT(S): // S is a Boolean formula in CNF

$F = \{ (S, \{\}) \}$

while (F not empty) do {

 let (S1,A1) be the configuration in F containing the smallest clause;

 let C be the smallest clause in S1 and let x be any var. in C;

 for each b in {0, 1} {

 let S2 be the formula obtained by simplifying S1 with x=b;

 if (S2 is empty) then return A1 U {x=b} ;

 else if (S2 is a contradiction) then “ignore”; //backtrack!

 else F = F U { (S2, A1 U {x=b}) };

 }

}

return “no solution”

BACKTRACKING - EXAMPLE

- Exercise: Hamiltonian Path
 - Input : ?
 - What is a configuration?
 - What is a “Promising configuration”?
 - What are sub problems?
 - How do you validate?

BACKTRACKING

- APPLICATION: PROLOG

EVALUATION OF PROLOG QUERIES (GIVEN A PROGRAM):

- Prolog resolves queries against a given program :
 - A program is a set of rules
 - Resolution is achieved by
 - matching the query with rules to generate sub-queries;
 - a query is resolved if all sub-queries (generated recursively) are resolved.
 - Rules are searched for matching
 - When search fails for a sub-query or matching fails the resolver backtracks and searches another path.
 - i.e. ***backtracking is built into Prolog search engine***

EVALUATION OF PROLOG QUERIES – RESOLUTION STEPS

1. Match query term with the head of a rule
2. If matching succeeds, add each of the other sub-clauses as a query; continue;
3. If matching fails or sub-query fails, **backtrack**;
4. If no more rules to backtrack fail

PROLOG PROGRAM AND QUERY

○ Evaluation of Prolog Queries (given a Prolog program):

- A program is a set of rules in Horn Clause form

- e.g.

- `grandparent(X,Y):-parent(X,Z), parent(Z,Y).`

- `parent(X,Y):-father(X,Y).`

- `parent(X,Y):-mother(X,Y).`

- `mother(ada,bebe).`

- `mother(bebe,bart).`

- `father(bart,catniss).`

- A sample query:

- `grandparent(bebe,catniss)?`

EVALUATION OF PROLOG QUERIES - EXAMPLE

- match “grandparent(bebe,catniss)” with “grandparent(X,Y)”
 - Step 1
- add “parent(bebe, Z)” and “parent(Z, catniss)” to list of queries
 - Step 2
- for resolving “parent(bebe, Z)” add “father(bebe,Z) as query.”
 - Step 2

EVALUATION OF PROLOG QUERIES – EXAMPLE

[CONTD..]

- “father(bebe,Z)” fails to match with father(bart, catniss) ;
 - so backtrack and look for another “father” rule. (Step 3)
- “father(bebe,Z)” fails;
 - so backtrack; (Step 4)
- this is subquery for “parent(bebe,Z)”
 - backtrack (step 3)
- add “mother(bebe,Z)” to list of queries
 - (step 2)
- ...
- Exercise: Completely resolve this example query.