

ALGORITHMS - COMPLEXITY

Complexity Classes

- **NP-Completeness Via Reductions**
- **Examples: kSAT**

PROBLEMS: kSAT

○ kSAT

- Satisfiability problem, where input instances are in CNF with exactly k distinct literals in clause.

○ 2SAT is kSAT for $k=2$

○ 2SAT can be solved in polynomial time.

2SAT IS IN \mathbb{P}

○ Exercise: Reduce 2SAT to a problem on directed graphs that is efficiently solvable:

1. Note that $L1 \mid L2$ is equivalent to $!L1 \rightarrow L2$.
2. Given a formula F in 2CNF, construct a directed graph G :
 1. add vertices labeled x and $!x$ for each variable x occurring in the formula.
 2. add an edge from $L1$ to $L2$ if $L1 \rightarrow L2$ is a clause in F
3. Argue that:
there is a path in G from x to $!x$ for some variable x
iff
 F is not satisfiable.

PROBLEMS: 2SAT vs. HORN-SAT

- 2SAT can be solved in polynomial time.
 - Exercise:
 1. Can you reduce 2SAT to HORN-SAT?
 - HORN-SAT is *satisfiability of Horn formulas*.
 - A Horn formula is a conjunction of Horn clauses and
 - a Horn clause is of the form $x \rightarrow y$ (i.e. $\neg x \vee y$)
- [Note that HORN-SAT is solvable in polynomial time.
Refer to *Huth & Ryan: Logic in CS* for an algorithm for HORN-SAT.]

PROBLEM: 3SAT

- 3CNF-SAT (*which is commonly referred to as 3SAT*):
 - Given a Boolean expression in CNF with *exactly 3 distinct literals* in each clause,
 - find whether there is an input assignment such that the expression is satisfied.
- Solving 3SAT:
 - There is no known polynomial time algorithm to solve 3SAT.

3SAT IS NP-COMPLETE

- 3SAT is NP-complete

- 3SAT is in NP

- Proof: Trivial

- 3SAT is NP-hard

- Proof: $\text{CNF-SAT} \preceq 3\text{SAT}$

- Reduction:

- Map each clause in the CNF expression to one or more 3-literal clauses.

- *(see next slide for the mapping)*

CNF-SAT \preceq 3SAT

Mapping M: Let the input formula F be the conjunction $\text{AND}_i C_i$

Then for each i :

1. case C_i is L : Replace C_i with
 $(L \mid p \mid q) \& (L \mid !p \mid q) \& (L \mid p \mid !q) \& (L \mid ! \mid !q)$
 2. case C_i is $L_1 \mid L_2$: Replace C_i with
 $(L_1 \mid L_2 \mid p) \& (L_1 \mid L_2 \mid !p)$
 3. case C_i is $L_1 \mid L_2 \mid L_3$: Replace C_i with C_i
 4. case C_i is $L_1 \mid L_2 \mid L_3 \mid L_4$: Replace C_i with
 $(p \mid L_3 \mid L_4) \& (!p \mid L_1 \mid L_2) \& (p \mid !L_1) \& (p \mid !L_2)$
and recursively handle the last two clauses.
- p and q are new variables introduced.
1. case C_i is $L_1 \mid \dots \mid L_k$ ($k > 4$): Replace C_i with
 $(p \mid L_3 \mid L_4 \mid \dots \mid L_k) \& (!p \mid L_1 \mid L_2) \& (p \mid !L_1) \& (p \mid !L_2)$
and recursively handle the first clause and the last two clauses.

○ Note:

- The last three clauses in the replaced expression for the fourth case correspond to $(p \leftrightarrow (L_1 \mid L_2))$.

- Claim (about the mapping M described in the previous slide):
 - M is a polynomial time algorithm that
 - given an input F outputs F' in 3CNF such that
 - F' is satisfiable iff F is satisfiable and
 - the size of F' is a polynomial function of the size of F .
- Exercises:
 - Implement the algorithm M .
 - Derive the time complexity of M .
 - Estimate the size of the output of M as a function of the size of its input.

kSAT IS NP -COMPLETE

- Exercise:
 - Prove that kSAT is NP -complete