

ALGORITHMS - COMPLEXITY

Complexity Classes

- **NP-Completeness Via Reductions**
- **Examples: CNF-SAT**

PROBLEM: CNF-SAT

○ CNF-SAT:

- Given a Boolean expression F in CNF (i.e. Conjunctive Normal Form)
 - *find whether there is an input assignment such that the F is satisfied.*

○ Question:

- Can you design an algorithm to solve CNF-SAT ?
 - Can you design a polynomial time algorithm to solve CNF-SAT?
 - Points to ponder:
 - Verifying validity of a formula in CNF can be done in polynomial time.
 - **(Dual):** Verifying satisfiability of a formula in DNF can be done in polynomial time.
- But we argued that SAT is NP-complete:
 - Is there an implication on converting a SAT instance to equivalent CNF (or DNF) ?

NP-COMPLETENESS VIA REDUCTIONS: CNF-SAT

○ CNF-SAT:

- Given a Boolean expression F in CNF
 - *find whether there is an input assignment such that the F is satisfied.*

○ CNF-SAT is NP-complete

1. CNF-SAT is in NP.

Proof:

Given an assignment of values (as a certificate) verification can be done in linear time.

2. CNF-SAT is NP-hard

i.e. $\text{SAT} \preceq \text{CNF-SAT}$

(see following slides for a reduction).

NP-COMPLETENESS VIA REDUCTIONS: CNF-SAT [2]

○ SAT \preceq CNF-SAT

- Is there an algorithm to convert a Boolean expression F to its equivalent CNF?
 - What is the time complexity of the algorithm?
- We want a mapping
 $g: I(\text{SAT}) \rightarrow I(\text{CNF-SAT})$
that ONLY needs to preserve satisfiability!

SAT \preceq CNF-SAT

- Mapping: $g(F) = F'$ where F' is in CNF.
 - Construct the parse tree of the given expression F .
 - Label each edge with a variable (*including the one incoming edge to the root*)
 - Label each leaf with the input variable
 - Construct formula F' as $r_0 \text{ AND } (\text{AND}_i v_i)$
 - where each v_i corresponds to a vertex of the tree and is of the form:
 - $e_o \leftrightarrow e_{i1} \text{ op } e_{i2}$ if op is *binary*
 - $e_o \leftrightarrow \text{op } e_i$ if op is *unary*
 - for output edge e_o and input edges e_{i1} and e_{i2}

○ Claims (A and B):

A. The mapping g can be computed in polynomial time:

1. Time taken for constructing a parse tree, given a formula of length n

1. Time taken for parsing: $O(n^3)$
refer to **CYK algorithm (Dynamic Programming)**

2. Time taken for construction of tree: $O(n)$

2. Time taken for constructing the formula from the tree:

1. Time taken for traversing the tree: $O(n)$

2. Time taken for writing the formula: $O(k*n)$
where k is the (constant) length of each clause

B. $g(F)$ is satisfiable iff F is satisfiable.