

ALGORITHMS - COMPLEXITY

-Reductions

- - Transitivity of Reduction
- - Polynomial-Time Reductions and Implications
- - Example

PROBLEMS - REDUCTION

- Reduction is a mechanism for capturing the relation “at least as hard as” between problems.
 - “at least as hard as” refers to “at least as hard to solve as”
 - Typically this would mean “requires at least as much time to solve as” or “requires at least as much space to solve as”.
- **Definition:**
 - Let π_1 and π_2 be decision problems with input sets $I(\pi_1)$ and $I(\pi_2)$ respectively.
 - We say, π_1 **reduces to** π_2 ,
 - if there is a function $f : I(\pi_1) \rightarrow I(\pi_2)$ such that
 - for every $x \in I(\pi_1)$,
 - $\pi_1(x) = 1$ if and only if $\pi_2(f(x)) = 1$

PROBLEMS – REDUCTION

- We are usually interested in efficient reductions:
 - i.e. the function mapping inputs to inputs should be “efficiently” computable
 - i.e. f should be computable in polynomial time.
- Thus we say π_1 (*polynomially*) *reduces to* π_2
 - if there is a polynomial-time computable function $f : I(\pi_1) \rightarrow I(\pi_2)$ such that
 - for every $x \in I(\pi_1)$,
 - $\pi_1(x) = 1$ if and only if $\pi_2(f(x)) = 1$
- We use $\pi_1 \preceq \pi_2$
 - to denote that π_1 (*polynomially*) *reduces to* π_2

PROBLEMS – REDUCTION – EXAMPLE

- Problem Definition: Hamiltonian Cycle (**HAM**)
 - Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ is there a simple cycle including all vertices in V ?
- Claim: **HAM** \preceq **TSP_d**
 - where **TSP_d** is the decision version of TSP: i.e. is there a tour of length $< k$, for some +ve k ?
- Implication: **TSP** is as hard as **HAM**.

PROBLEMS – REDUCTION – EXAMPLE

- Claim: $\text{HAM} \preceq \text{TSP}_d$
- Reduction:
 - Given a graph $G = (V, E)$
 - Construct a graph $G' = (V, V \times V, w)$ such that
 - $w(u, v) = 1$ if $(u, v) \in E$
 - $\quad \quad = 2$ otherwise
- Verify:
 - G has a Hamiltonian cycle iff there is a tour in G' (as in TSP) of length $\leq |V|$.

REDUCTIONS: TRANSITIVITY

○ Transitivity of Reduction:

- If $\pi_1 \preceq \pi_2$ and $\pi_2 \preceq \pi_3$ then $\pi_1 \preceq \pi_3$

○ Proof: Construct a composite function from $I(\pi_1)$ to $I(\pi_3)$ given mappings

- $f_{12} : I(\pi_1) \rightarrow I(\pi_2)$ and $f_{23} : I(\pi_2) \rightarrow I(\pi_3)$

○ Example:

• Definition VERTEX COVER:

- Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ a vertex cover for G is a subset S of V , such that for any (u_1, u_2) in E , u_1 is in S OR u_2 is in S .

• Problem VERTEX_COVER_d:

- Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a positive integer $k < |V|$, is there a vertex cover for G of size $\leq k$?

• Claim: VERTEX_COVER_d \preceq HAM

• Implication: VERTEX_COVER_d \preceq TSP_d