the definition of NP-Optimization problems by defining the complexity closs NPO. Then we studied a 2-approximation of apprint the define the objection for Condinality vertex Cover. We define the complexity class APX to be NPO problems having a complexity class APX to be NPO problems having a complexity class APX to be NPO problems of NPO (ordinality vertex Cover). From the definitions of NPO (ordinality vertex Cover). From the definitions of NPO and APX: APX C NPO. Under the assumption of APX: APX C NPO. Under the assumption of one example of a NPO problem that does not belong to APX is the Travelling Solesman Problem (TSP).

Griven a complete groph with nonnegotive edge costs, find a minimum lost cycle visiting every vertex exactly onle.

For any polynomial time computable function x(n), TsP (annot be approximated within a factor of x(n), unless p=NP.

Assume, for a controdiction, that there is a factor d(n) polynomial time approximation of govithm, A, for the general TSP problem. We will show that A (an be used for deciding the Hamiltonian Gale problem (which is NP hand) in polynomial time, thus implying P = NP. Consider the following reduction from a graph G on n vertices: or an edge-weighted complete graph G on n vertices: overign a weight of 1 to edges of G, and a weight day in to nonedges, to estain G Now, if G has a Hamiltonian cycle, then the corresponding town in G has cost n. On the other hand, if G has no Hamiltonian cycle, any town in G' must use an edge of cost d (n). n, and therefore has cost > d(n). n. Now we can use A for deciding which of the two coses had ds, and then return our any wer accordingly.

We defined the complexity closs PTAS as the NPO problems that may be approximated to any constant factor close to 1 (1+6 or 1-6). For a fixed 6 the running time will be polynomial in input m. In general the complexity may not be polynomial in (1/6). From the definition of PTAS. PTAS SAPX. Under the oscumption of P+NP, we will show that APTAS FAPX.

One example of a APX problem that does not belong to PTAS is the Bin Packing Broblem:

Griven m items with sizes 01, ..., an 6 (0,1], find a

Given m items with sizes $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in (0,1]$, find a packing in unit-sized bins that minimizes the number of bins used.

The Eist - Fit olgorithm considers items in an orbitrary order. In the ith step, it has a list of partially pocked bins, say B1, ..., BK. It attempts to put the next item, ai, in one of these bins, in this order. If a i does not fit into any of these bins, it opens a new bin BK+1, and puts ai in it. If the algorithm uses m bins, then at less m-1 bins are more than hoffull. Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i > \frac{m-1}{2}$. Since the sum of the item sizes is a lower bound on OPT, m-1 < 20PT \Longrightarrow m \le 20PT \Longrightarrow m \le 20PT

For any 670, there is no approximation algorithm having a guarantee of 3/2-6 for the bin packing problem,

To the one of such a locate to the bin packing problem,

If there were such an algorithm, then we can use it to solve the NP-hand problem of deciding if there is a way to partition a nonnegative numbers as, ..., an into two sets, each adding up to (1/2) \(\int_{i}\alpha_{i}\). Clearly, the answer to this size (1/2) \(\int_{i}\alpha_{i}\) the nitery can be packed in 2 bins of will have to give an optimal packing, and thereby solving the partition pushlom.

We defined the complexity does FPTAS as the NPO problems that may be approximated to any constant factor close to 1 (1+E or 1-E), where the complainty is polynomial in both the import size nos well as (1/E). From the definition of FPTAS: FPTAS C PTAS. Under the assumption of P+NP, we will show that FPTAS & PTAS.

An optimization problem is polynomially bounded if there exists a polynomial p such that, for any instance is and for only y & STICH), Object 20, 11, y) & p(141). No NP-hard polynomially bounded optimization problem belongs to the closs F PTAS unless P=NP.

Suppose we have a FPTAS A for TT (polynomial which, for any instance n and for any notional & (0<6<1) runs in time bounded by 9(111, 1/6) for a suitable polynomial of. Since TT is polynomially bounded, I polynomial such that, for any instance N, OPT(N) \sip \(\lambda(N)\). If we choose \(\in = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\text{fin}}\), then \(A(N, \in)\)

provides an optimal solution of n os follows: AUFPTAS > OPT(n) < 1+ tomo => 86T (N, A (N,E)) Z OPT(N). | (M) = -OPT(N) + OPT(N) > OPT(N) - 1 K(M) +1 K(M)+1 os Obje returns on integer = obje (M, A (M, E)) = OPTCM => A(N,t) 's an optimal solution. If P#NP then FPTAS & PTAS One example of a problem in PTAS with polynomially bounded objective function (integer) is the Moximum

One enomple of a problem in PTAS with polynomially bounded objective function (integer) is the Maximum Independent set restricted to plan on graphs. A planar graph can be drawn on the plane in such a way that its edgls intersect only at their and points.