

ALGORITHM DESIGN TECHNIQUES - GREEDY

Greedy Algorithms

- Greedy Choice and Optimal Substructure
- Limitation of Greedy Choice - Example

OPTIMAL SUBSTRUCTURE

- A problem exhibits optimal substructure if
 - an optimal solution to the problem contains optimal solutions for sub-problems:
 - can be decomposed into optimal solutions for sub-problems.
- Optimal substructure is necessary for greedy choice:
 - Otherwise local choice may not lead to global optimality
 - i.e. the choice may not preserve optimality
 - Examples:
 - Schedule for tasks $T' = T - \{ j \}$ is part of the schedule for tasks T where j is the earliest starting task
 - Schedule for tasks $T' = T - \{ k \}$ is not necessarily part of the schedule for tasks T if k is not the earliest starting task

GREEDY CHOICE, OPTIMAL SUBSTRUCTURE, AND INDUCTION

- Design of Greedy Algorithms can be viewed as a special case of Divide-And-Conquer:
 - where the problem of size N is divided into
 - i. a sub-problem of size 1 (or size k for some constant k) and
 - ii. a sub-problem of size $N-1$ (or $N-k$ as the case may be) where the latter is the same as the original problem.
- Greedy Choice
 - refers to making the right choice – locally – for sub-problem (i).
- Optimal substructure property is necessary to ensure that
 - optimal solution to sub-problem (ii) can be used as is if sub-problem (ii) is part of the solution to the problem.

GREEDY CHOICE - LIMITATION

- Greedy Choice does not always hold when Optimal Substructure Property holds.
- e.g. Consider the 0/1 KnapSack problem:
 - Optimal Substructure holds for 0/1 KnapSack:
 - Consider the most valuable subset of items with weight at most W
 - If we remove item j from this subset, the remaining subset must be the most valuable weighing at most $W - w_j$

GREEDY CHOICE - LIMITATION

- Greedy Choice Property does not hold for 0/1 KnapSack:
 - Suppose we use per unit profit as the greedy choice
 - Consider three items:
 1. (10kg, Rs. 5,000). Value = Rs. 500 / kg
 2. (20kg, Rs. 8,000) Value = Rs. 400 / kg
 3. (40kg, Rs. 9,000) Value = Rs. 225 / kg
 - Let $W = 60\text{kg}$
 - Any solution with item 1 is not optimal!
 - i.e. ordering by unit weight is not useful
- Exercise:
 - Generalize this argument (about remaining capacity) for any input.
 - Similarly argue that other greedy choices (profit or weight) are also not useful.