

Definitions

Let Σ_0 be $\Sigma - \{\text{blank, left-end-marker}\}$

1. We say that TM M **decides** a language $L \subseteq \Sigma_0^*$ if for any string $w \in \Sigma_0^*$ the following is true: **If $w \in L$ then M accepts w ; if $w \notin L$ then M rejects w .**
2. A language L is **recursive** or **Turing-decidable** or **decidable** if there is a TM that decides it.
3. We say that TM M **semi-decides** a language $L \subseteq \Sigma_0^*$ if for any string $w \in \Sigma_0^*$ the following is true: **$w \in L$ iff M halts on input w .**
4. A language L is **recursively enumerable** or **Turing recognizable** iff there is a TM that semi-decides it.

Note that in the above definitions no guarantee is given if input contains blank symbol or left end marker symbol.

Example of recursively enumerable languages ??

BITS Pilani: Deemed to be University under Section 3 of UGC Act, 1956



Vishal Gupta

1:35 / 53:22



Closed under Union ??

"Decidable languages are closed under UNION operation". TRUE/FALSE.

Let L_1 and L_2 be two languages and M_1 and M_2 be respective deciders for them. Construct a machine M as follows which decides $L_1 \cup L_2$:

M on input x

- Simulate M_1 on x . If M_1 accepts, M halts in Accept state. Else,
- Simulate M_2 on x . If M_2 accepts, M halts in Accept state; else M halts in Reject state.

BITS Pilani: Deemed to be University under Section 3 of UGC Act, 1956



Vishal Gupta

Decidable and Recognizable Languages

A basic connection between Turing-recognizable and Turing-decidable languages:

Theorem: L is Turing decidable if and only if L and L^c are both Turing-recognizable.

- Proof:
 - \Rightarrow Suppose that L is Turing-decidable. *L is decidable*
 - ✓ Then L is Turing-recognizable
 - ✓ Also, L^c is Turing-decidable *L^c is decidable.*
 - ✓ So L^c is Turing-recognizable
- Proof:
 - \Leftarrow Given M_1 recognizing L , and M_2 recognizing L^c .
 - Produce a Turing Machine M that decides whether or not its input w is in L or L^c .

BITS Pilani: Deemed to be University under Section 3 of UGC Act, 1956



Vishal Gupta

Decidable and Recognizable Languages

A basic connection between Turing-recognizable and Turing-decidable languages:

Theorem: L is Turing decidable if and only if L and L^c are both Turing-recognizable.

- Proof:
 - \Leftarrow Given M_1 recognizing L , and M_2 recognizing L^c .
 - ✓ Produce a Turing Machine M that decides whether or not its input w is in L or L^c .
 - Idea: Run both M_1 and M_2 on w .
 - One must accept.
 - If M_1 accepts, then M accepts.
 - If M_2 accepts, then M rejects.
 - But, we can't run M_1 and M_2 one after the other because the first one might never halt.
 - Run them in parallel, until one accepts?
 - How? We don't have a parallel Turing Machine model.

BITS Pilani: Deemed to be University under Section 3 of UGC Act, 1956



Vishal Gupta

Decidable and Recognizable Languages

A basic connection between Turing-recognizable and Turing-decidable languages:

Theorem: L is Turing decidable if and only if L and L^c are both Turing-recognizable.

- Proof:

- ⇐ – Given M_1 recognizing L , and M_2 recognizing L^c .
 - Produce a Turing Machine M that decides whether or not its input w is in L or L^c .

✓ $M =$ on input w :

- ✓ 1. Run both M_1 and M_2 on input w in parallel.
- 2. If M_1 accepts, M accepts; if M_2 accepts, M rejects.



Vishal Gupta