

**PUNE INSTITUTE OF COMPUTER
TECHNOLOGY, PUNE.**
Department of Information Technology
PROJECT REVIEW – I

Group Id :		21		Date : 22/09/2020	
Project Title :					
Sr.No	Roll No.	Student Name	Contact Details	Internal / External Guide Details	
1	43110	Bharat kothari	bharatkothari1008@gmail.com	InternalGuide Name : Prof VR jaiswal External guide: Prof Tushar rane Prof Swapnil mane	
2	43132	Animesh landge	anni.landge@gmail.com	Mentor Name, email & Mobile No. : Mr Swapnil Ujgare 9657765662 Swapnil.Ujgare@veritas.com	
3	43258	Shrijan vats	Shrijanv.123@gmail.com		
4	43304	Amod dhopavkar	amoddhopavkar2@gmail.com		

REVIEW – I CHECKLIST : FINALIZATION OF SCOPE**25 Marks**

PROJECT STATEMENT	
1. Is the statement short and concise (10-20 words maximum)?	Y
2. Does the statement gives clear indication about what your project will accomplish?	Y
3. Can a person who is not familiar with the project understand scope of the project by reading the Project Problem Statement?	Y
REQUIREMENT: SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES	
Does the Scope and Objectives establish the "context" for the proposed project by referencing to the following elements:	
a. Are all aspects of the requirements document (i.e., Functional Spec.) addressed in the design?	Y
b. Is the architecture / block diagram well defined and understood?	Y
c. The project's objective of study (what product, process, resource etc.) is being addressed?	Y
d. The project's purpose is the purpose of project addressed properly (why it's being pursued: to evaluate, reduce, increase, etc.)?	Y
e. The project's viewpoint: Is the project's viewpoint is understood? (Who is the project's end user)?	Y
f. Is the project goal statement in alignment with the sponsoring organization's business goals and mission?	Y
ANALYSIS	
1. Is information domain analysis complete, consistent and accurate?	Y

**PUNE INSTITUTE OF COMPUTER
TECHNOLOGY, PUNE.**
Department of Information Technology
PROJECT REVIEW – I

2. Is problem statement categorized in identified area and targeted towards specific area therein?	Y
3. Are external and internal interfaces properly defined?	Y [*]
4. Does the Use Case Model properly reflect the actors and their roles and responsibilities?	Y [*]
5. Are all requirements traceable to system level?	Y
6. Is similar type of methodology / model is used for existing work?	NA
7. Are requirements consistent with schedule, resources, and budget?	Y

**PUNE INSTITUTE OF COMPUTER
TECHNOLOGY, PUNE.**
Department of Information Technology

PROJECT REVIEW – I

**STUDENT PERFORMANCE
EVALUATION**

Students' Contribution and				
Particulars	Marks(25M)			
	Group Members			
	1	2	3	4
1. Background and Topic (4 M)	3	3	3	3
2. Project Scope and Objectives (4M)	4	4	4	4
3. Literature Survey (5 M)	4	4	4	4
4. Project Planning (4 M)	4	4	4	4
5. Presentation Skills (4 M)	4	4	4	4
6. Question and Answer (4 M)	3	3	3	3
Total(25M)	22	22	22	22
Comments (If				

To be filled by internal guide & reviewer(s) only.

* Whether the presentation / evaluation is as per the schedule. : YES / NO (If NO mention the reasons for the same.)

Review – I: Deliverables

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Problem Statement / Title • Purpose, Scope, Objectives • Abstract (System Overview) • Introduction (Architecture and High-Level Design) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • H/W, S/W & other requirement, Test Environment/Tools • Literature Survey • References • Project Plan 1.0 (Gantt Chart)
--	--

Name & Signature of evaluation committee –

Prof Tushar rane
Name of Reviewer 1

Prof Swapnil Mane
Name of Reviewer 2

Prof Vishal jaiswal
Name of Internal Guide