



#### भारत सरकार GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

एकस्व कार्यालय/THE PATENT OFFICE बौद्धिक सम्पदा भवन/I.P.O. BUILDING एंटोप हिल/Antop Hill, एस.एम.रोड/ S.M.Road, मुंबई/ Mumbai- 400037 दुरभाष/Tel. No.: (091)(022)24153651

Q ( A | 4 ) Tel. No.. (091)(022)2413

फ़ैक्स/ Fax: 022-24130387

ई मेल/Email: <u>mumbai-patent@nic.in</u> वेबसाइट/Website:<u>http://ipindia.nic.in</u>

सं.संख्या/Ref.No /आवेदन संख्या/Application No/ 202021023694

दिजांक/Date of Dispatch/Email: 06/08/2020

सेवा मे,/To

TARUN KHURANA,

KHURANA & KHURANA, Advocates and IP Attorneys E-13, UPSIDC, Site-IV, Behind Grand Venice, Kasna Road, Greater Noida 201310, UP, National Capital Region, India.

Email: info@khuranaandkhurana.com

विषय: एकस्व अधिनियम, 1970 की धारा 12 व 13 तथा एकस्व नियम, 2003 के अधीन परीक्षण रिपोर्ट

Subject: Examination report under sections 12 & 13 of the Patents Act, 1970 and the Patents Rules, 2003.

1. उपर्युक्त आवेदन के संदर्भ में परीक्षण रिपोर्ट ( अर्थात, एकस्व नियम, 2003 (यथा संशोधित) के नियम 24-ख(3) में विनिर्दिष्ट आपत्तियों का पूथम कथन ) इसके साथ संलग्न हैं। यह रिपोर्ट परीक्षण हेतु अनुरोध दिनांक 10/06/2020 के उत्तर में जारी की गयी हैं। परीक्षण रिपोर्ट का उत्तर दाखित करने की अंतिम तिथि (अर्थात, इस रिपोर्ट में लगाई गयी सभी आवश्यकताओं के अनुपालन की अविधि ) आवेदक को आपत्तियों का पूथम कथन जारी होने की तिथि से छः माह हैं।

Please find enclosed herewith an Examination Report (i.e. a first statement of objections as specified in Rule 24-B(3) of The Patents Rules, 2003 (as amended)) in respect of above-mentioned application. This report is issued with reference to a request for examination dated 10/06/2020. The last date for filing a response to the Examination Report (i.e. a period to comply with all the requirements raised in this examination report) is six months from the date on which the first statement of objections is issued to the Applicant.

2. यदि रिपोर्ट के अंतर्गत लगाई गयी आवश्यकताओं का अनुपालन एकस्व नियम, 2003 (यथा संशोधित) के नियम 24 स्व(5) में विनिर्दिष्ट अविध के भीतर अंदर अनुपालन नहीं किया गया तो एकस्व अधिनियम 1970 की धारा 21(1) के अधीन वर्तमान आवेदन को परित्यक्त माना जाएगा।

The instant application shall be deemed to have been abandoned under Section 21(1) of The Patents Act, 1970, unless all the requirements raised in this report are complied with in the period as specified in Rule 24-B (5) of The Patents Rules, 2003 (as amended).

- 3. आपका ध्यान एकस्व नियम, 2003 के नियम 24 स्व(6) के प्रावधानों की ओर भी आमंत्रित किया जाता है। Your attention is also invited to the provisions of Rule 24-B (6) of the Patents Rules 2003.
- 4. आपको सलाह दी जाती है कि शीघू निपटान हेतु अपना उत्तर शीघू पूरतृत करें।
  You are advised to file the reply at the earliest for early disposal.

Vikas Gupta

नियंत्रक पेटेंट/ Controller of Patents

संलग्न/Enclosed: अपरोक्त अनुसार/As above

टिप्पणी: यह इलेक्ट्रोनिक रूप से उत्पन्न रिपोर्ट हैं।

**NOTE:** This is an electronically generated report.

सभी पत्राचार नियंत्रक एकस्व को उपरोत्लिस्वित पते पर भेजा जाये।

All communications should be sent to the Controller of Patents at the above mentioned address.



# परीक्षण रिपोर्ट /Examination Report

आवेदन संख्या /Application Number	202021023694
दाखिल करने की तिथि /Date of Filing	05/06/2020
पूर्विक्ता दिलांक /Date of Priority	
पीसीटी अंतर्राष्ट्रीय आवेदन की संख्या व दिनांक / PCT International Application No. & Date	
आवेदक /Applicant	ARCHANA GHOTKAR
परीक्षण हेतु अनुरोध की संख्या व दिनांक /Request for Examination No. & Date	E20202017190 10/06/2020
पूकाशन की तिथि /Date of Publication	03/07/2020

इस परीक्षण रिपोर्ट के चार भाग हैं, अर्थात रिपोर्ट का सारांश, विस्तृत तकनीकी रिपोर्ट, औपचारिक आवश्यकताएँ तथा रिकॉर्ड में दस्तावेज़ / This examination report consists of four parts, namely summary of the report, detailed technical report, formal requirements and documents on record.

#### भाग -1: रिपोर्ट का सारांश

#### **PART-I: SUMMARY OF THE REPORT**

कू. सं. /SI. No.	अधिनियम के तहत आवश्यक /Requirements under t		दावों की संख्या /Claim Numbers	टिप्पणी /Remarks
	धारा 2(1)(ग) के तहत आविष्कार /Invention u/s 2(1)(j)	नवीनता /Novelty	द्रावे /Claims:	ਗ਼ੱ /Yes
		odiodi /Noveity	ਰ਼ਾਰੇ /Claims: 1-10	नहीं /No
1.		andread = / Inventive step	द्रावे /Claims:	ਗ਼ੱ /Yes
1.		and court of a / inventive steb	ਰ਼ਾਰੇ /Claims: 1-10	नहीं /No
		औद्योगिक उपयोगिता /Industrial	ਰ਼ਾਰੇ /Claims: 1-10	ਗ਼ੱ /Yes
		Applicability	द्रावे /Claims:	नहीं /No
	धारा 3 के अधीन पेटेंट-अयोग्य	यता (यदि हाँ, खंड 3(क-त) /Non-	द्रावे /Claims: 1-10	ਗ਼ੱ /Yes
2.	patentability u/s 3		gia / Olaino. 1 10	k,m
	(if yes, specify section	3(a-p))	दावे /Claims:	नहीं /No
	[धारा 10(5) व 10(4) (ग)] के अधीन दावे /Claims [u/s 10(5) & 10(4) (c)]	स्पष्टता/ संक्षिप्तता /Clarity /	द्रावे /Claims:	ਗ਼ੱ /Yes
		Conciseness	ਰ਼ਾਰੇ /Claims: 1-10	नहीं /No
3.		परिभाषिकता /Definitive	द्रावे /Claims:	ਗ਼ੱ /Yes
II I			ਰ਼ਾਰੇ /Claims: 1-10	नहीं /No
		क्षेत् /Scope	द्रावे /Claims:	ਗ਼ੱ /Yes
		हातू / Scope	द्रावे /Claims: 1-10	नहीं /No

#### भाग –II विस्तृत तकनीकी रिपोर्ट

PART-II: DETAILED TECHNICAL REPORT

क. उद्धरित दस्तावेजों की सूची /A.List of documents cited:

(क) पेटेंट राहित्य / (a). Patent Literature :

क्. सं. / Sl.no	दस्तावेज़ों का विवरण /Details of documents	पूकाशन तिथि(दिज/माह/वर्ष) / Publication date	उद्घरित दस्तावेज़ का प्रासंगिक विवरण (पृष्ठ व अनुच्छेद संख्या) / Relevant description (page and paragraph no.) of cited document	उद्घरित दस्तावेज़ के प्रासंगिक दावे / Relevant claims of cited document	अभिकथित आविष्कार के दावे /Claims of alleged invention
-----------------------	---	--	--	--	---



П					Î		
	1	US20120265779A1	18/10/2012	whole document		1-10	

#### (ख) गैर-पेटेंट साहित्य /(b).Non-patent literature

क्. सं. / Sl.no	दस्तावेज़ों का विवरण /Details of documents	पूकाशन तिथि(दिन/माह/वर्ष) /Publication date	उद्धरित दस्तावेज़ का प्रासंगिक विवरण (पृष्ठ व अनुच्छेद संख्या) /Relevant description (page and paragraph no.) of cited document	अभिकथित आविष्कार के द्रावे /Relevant claims of cited document	अभिकथित आविष्कार के ढावे /Claims of alleged invention
1	"Finding Similar Patents Through Semantic Query Expansion" By Pawan Sharma et. al.	21/08/2015	Pages 390-395		1-10

ख. अधिनियम के तहत आवश्यकताओं पर विस्तृत टिप्पणियां /B. Detailed observations on the requirements under the Act:

#### (1).नवीनता / NOVELTY:

(I) ऊपर उद्घरित दस्तावेज़ के संदर्भ (1-10) में दिये गए पूकटन के पूर्वानुमान को ध्यान में रखते हुए, निम्निटिखत कारणों से दावा(वों) (1-10) में नवीनता की कमी हैं /

Claim(s) (1-10) lack(s) novelty, being anticipated in view of disclosure in the document cited above under reference for the following reasons:

Subject matter of claims as claimed in instant application does not constitute an invention under section 2(1)(j) of the Patents Act, 1970 (as amended) because it does not involve Novelty in view of following documents:

D1: US20120265779A1 (18/10/2012)

D2: "Finding Similar Patents Through Semantic Query Expansion" By Pawan Sharma et. al. (21/08/2015)(Pages 390-395)

The subject matter of D1 discloses a computing device for recommending at least one relevant query at least partially based on an input query received from a user (see Abstract), the computing device comprising: one or more processors coupled with a memory, the memory storing instructions which when executed by the one or more processors causes the computing device to: receive the input query on a display of the computing device (see paragraphs [0012]-[0017] fig 1); compute, in response to the input query, an output recommending at least one relevant query selected from one or more queries in a dataset, wherein the output is computed based on a similarity between the input query and each of the one or more queries at an evaluated optimum value of a weight factor (L) to recommend the at least one relevant query to the user in response to the input query; and display, for selection by the user, the output on the at least one relevant query on the display of the computing device (see Abstract, paragraphs [0012]-[0020], [0026]-[0033],[0040]-[0062],[0071], fig. 1,2).

The subject matter of cited document D1 discloses all the features of claim 1, therefore claim 1 is not novel in view of D1.



Independent claims 9 and 10 contain the same subject matter as claim 1. Therefore, the objection with respect to novelty also applies mutatis mutandis to these claims also.

Dependent claims 2-8 define implementation details of the features claimed in independent claims and do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, involve novelty because they are either disclosed or implied by D1.

The subject matter of D2 discloses about finding similar patents by expanding the user query semantically. It investigates how the patent retrieval system can be improved by using words which have the same expression i.e. semantically similar. Semantic expansion of a query can provide high quality results and reduce the complex search process. Expansion technique semantically increases the number of words in a query which gives a better quality of retrieval result. The longer the query abstract, the higher the result accuracy rate. Semantic – based similarity measures is using knowledge sources to measure similarity based on the content of the words rather than frequency of occurrence. (see whole document)

The subject matter of D2 also discloses same subject matter as of claimed invention. Therefore claimed invention is not novel in view of D2 also.

#### (2).आविष्कारी कदम / INVENTIVE STEP:

(I) ऊपर उद्धरित दस्तावेज़(जों) के संदर्भ में स्पष्ट अध्यापन(नों) को ध्यान में रखते हुए, निम्नितिखित कारणों से दावा(वों) (1-10) में आविष्कारी कदम की कमी हैं

Claim(s) (1-10) lack(s) inventive step, being obvious in view of teaching (s) of cited document(s) above under reference for the following reasons:

It should furthermore be noted that even if the applicant intended to argue novelty of claimed invention based on minor differences between the features of said claim and those disclosed in prior- art documents, the subject-matter of said claim would not involve an inventive step, having regard to the disclosure of cited documents D1-D2 and the general knowledge of a person skilled in the art.

#### (3).पेटेंट अयोग्यता /NON PATENTABILITY:

(I) निम्नितियत कारणों से धारा 3 के खंड (k,m )के प्रावधान के तहत दावा(वे) (1-10) सांविधिक रूप से पेटेंट योग्य नहीं हैं / Claim(s) (1-10) are statutorily non-patentable under the provision of clause (k,m) of Section 3 for the following reasons:

- Without prejudice to objection U/S 2(1) (j), the apparatus and system mentioned in claims 1-9 have no
  inventive constructional feature. It is comprised of conventional systems. The different conventional systems
  are processors, storage devices, servers, computer networks. These systems as such do not fall into the
  definition of a system. It is not evinced if said claims define any technical features or technical advance
  thereof, and apparently attract provisions U/S 3 (k) of the Act. Hence, said claims are not allowed.
- 2. Claim 10 recite various method steps without disclosing what apparatus/structural component carried out said steps. In absence any structural limitations, the subject matter of these claims is mere scheme or mental act and hence falls within scope of clause (m) of section (3) of the Patents Act, 1970 (as amended). Therefore invention claimed in said claim is not patentable.
- 3. As worded the method claimed in claim 10 appears to be a mere algorithm implemented through software. In other words the claimed method is a mere software application/product and hence not patentable u/s 3(K) of

# INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INDIA

### THE PATENT OFFICE

Patents Act, 1970.

#### (4).पूकटन की दक्षता /SUFFICIENCY OF DISCLOSURE:

#### (5).क्षेत् /SCOPE:

(I) दावा(वे) 1-10 आविष्कार के उस क्षेत्र जिस के लिए संरक्षण का दावा किया गया है उसे निम्नलिखित कारणों से परिभाषित नहीं करता(ते) हैं. Claim(s) 1-10 does/do not define the scope of invention for which the protection is claimed for the following reasons:

- 1. In view of the plurality of the independent set of claims, the nature and scope of the alleged invention are not clearly understood. The subject matter for which protection is sought may be different to that defined by the claims, thereby resulting in a lack of clarity of the claims when the description is used to interpret the claims. The claims should be re-drafted to make them sufficiently definitive and Inventive features should be brought out clearly and clearly define the scope of the invention, in accordance with section 10 (4) (c) of The Patents Act 1970 as amended by the Patents (Amendment) Act 2005. During revision and re-drafting, care should be taken not to add any subject matter, which extends beyond the scope of the application as originally filed here your attention is also drawn towards section 59 of the patent act.
- 2. Although claims 1(device claim) and 9 (system claim) have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. Hence, they do not provide alternative solutions to a common particular problem which could not appropriately have been covered by a single claim. Consequently, claims 1 and 9 are not allowable.

#### (6).स्पष्टता एवं संक्षिप्तता /CLARITY AND CONCISENESS:

(I) दावा(वे) 1-10 के संबंध में स्पष्ट रूप से परीभाषित नहीं हैं. Claim(s) 1-10 are not clearly worded in respect of:

- 1. The vague and imprecise term 'spirit' in the description in the paragraphs [0023] and [0027] implies that the subject-matter for which protection is sought may be different to that defined by the claims, thereby resulting in a lack of clarity of the claims when the description is used to interpret the claims. Such statement should therefore be amended to remove this inconsistency.
- 2. Principal claim should sufficiently define, characterize and clearly bring out the inventive step.
- 3. The "at least one" terms used in various claims have no well-recognized meaning and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear.

#### (७).परिभाषिकता /DEFINITIVENESS:

(I) दावा(वे)1-10 निम्नतिस्वित कारणों से आविष्कार को पर्याप्त रूप से परीभाषित नहीं करता(ते) हैं Claim(s) 1-10 do not sufficiently define the invention for the reasons as follows:

The complete specification shall fully and particularly describe the invention and its operation or use and the
method by which it is to be performed and disclose the best method of performing the invention wrt the
illustrations provided. A detailed description of all illustrations shall be provided which in turn provide a clear
support for the claims.



2. Claims do not sufficiently define the invention. The inventive features should be incorporated in the principal claim and the corresponding subsidiary claims shall be made dependent upon the principal claim so as to make the invention sufficiently definitive.

#### भाग – III: औपचारिक आवश्यकताएँ /PART-III: FORMAL REQUIREMENTS

आपत्तियां /Objections	टिप्पणी /Remarks
Statement & Under Taking (Form 3 Details)	Updated annexure to form 3 should be filed.
Power of Attorney (Whether GPA, SPA, Stamped, requisite fee etc.)	A fresh power of authority or an attested copy of the GPA (clearly indicating the present patent application number ) should be submitted.
	1.If any amendment is necessitated in the complete specification then it is required to clearly identify (submission of marked copy) the amendments carried out and to indicate the portion (page no and line no) of the complete specification as filed on which these amendments are based on. Further the pages wherever amendments are carried out need to be freshly typed on white pages and to be filed in duplicate.
	2. Details regarding the search and/or examination report including claims of the application allowed, as referred to in Rule 12(3) of the Patent Rules in respect of same or substantially the same invention filed in all countries outside India, along with appropriate translation where applicable, should be submitted within a period of Six months from the date of receipt of this communication as provided under section 8(2) of the Indian Patents Act.
Other Deficiencies	3. Details regarding application for Patents which may be filed outside India from time to time for the same or substantially the same invention should be furnished within Six months from the date of filing of the said application under clause(b) of subsection (1) of section 8 and rule 12(1) of Indian Patent Act.
	4. In case the applicant decides to amend the claims subsequent to this report, the same shall be drafted afresh to include the technical advancement over the prior art as required u/s 2(1)j of the Patent's Act. Please indicate in the response communication the support for the claims in the original specification, as required u/s 10(4) of the Act.  5. Application number in form 3 and form 5 is not mentioned. Fresh Form 3 and Form 5 should be submitted.

भाग-IV: रिकॉर्ड मे दस्तावेज़ /PART-IV: DOCUMENTS ON RECORD

निम्नितिखित दस्तावेज़ों के आधार पर यह परीक्षण रिपोर्ट तैयार की गयी हैं

The examination report has been prepared based on the following documents:

कार्यसूची तिथि | कार्यसूची संख्या /Dockot



/ Docket Date	Number	पूर्विष्टि संख्या विवरण /Entry Number Description
05 Jun 2020	35234	1-New Application For Patent With Provisional /Complete Specification
05 Jun 2020	35234	12-Request For Early Publication - Form 9
10 Jun 2020	1 358711	CONVERSION OF REQUEST FOR EXAMINATION FILED UNDER RULE 24 B FOR EXPEDITED EXAMINATION FORM 18A
17 Jun 2020	37056	45-Form Of Authorisation Of Patent Agent - Form 26
17 Jun 2020	37056	Proof of Right

िलयंतूक का नाम /Name of the Controller: Vikas Gupta

नियंत्रक स्थान /Controller Location: Delhi

टिप्पणी: परीक्षण रिपोर्ट का उत्तर दाखिल करने की अंतिम तिथि / Note: Last date for filing response to the Examination Report: 06/02/2021