The following table summarises the improvements made as per the comments of reviewers.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Sr No. | Reviewer Comment | Improvement Done |
| 1 | The results are not significant enough. More comprehensive evaluations are needed. Authors should present the experimental **results** and its corresponding analysis in **detail** supported by **graphical and tabular** data. | * Added accuracy comparison graph for different models * Added theory on the same * Updated accuray table with precision, recall and F1 score * Added theory on the significance of the results |
| 2 | **The research paper should be written in perspective of third person**. Words such as ‘**I**’ ‘**we**’ ‘**our**’ etc. needs to be avoided. | Altered first person sentences to third person wherever applicable |
| 3 | The **Experimental analysis** in the paper is **minimal**. Authors should present the research with detailed analysis. | Updated the experimental analysis table, the represented values are a subset of the complete dataset. After the updation the variety of datasets used for analysis are visible to the reader |
| 4 | The **presentation** of the paper needs improvement. | * Improved the alignment of tables * Shifted tables for better visual appeal * Added figures in result section |