

Assignment 4 - Q5

Rishabh Shah, Shriram SB, Anmol Mishra
CS663

October 15, 2018

Exercise 5. Consider a set of N vectors $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ each in R^d with average vector \bar{x} . We have seen in class that the direction e such that $\sum_{i=1}^N \|x_i - \bar{x} - (e \cdot (x_i - \bar{x}))e\|^2$ is minimized, is obtained by maximizing $e^T C e$, where C is the covariance matrix of the vectors in X . This vector e is the eigenvector of matrix C with the highest eigenvalue. Prove that the direction f perpendicular to e for which $f^T C f$ is maximized, is the eigenvector of C with the second highest eigenvalue. For simplicity, assume that all non-zero eigenvalues of C are distinct and that $\text{rank}(C) > 2$.

Proof. We try to minimize the error of approximation J:

$$\begin{aligned} J(e_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \|(x_i - \bar{x}) - (e_1 \cdot (x_i - \bar{x}))e_1 - (e_2 \cdot (x_i - \bar{x}))e_2\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \|x_i - \bar{x}\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \|a_i e_1\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \|b_i e_2\|^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^N a_i e_1^T (x_i - \bar{x}) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^N b_i e_2^T (x_i - \bar{x}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \|x_i - \bar{x}\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N b_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^N b_i^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \|x_i - \bar{x}\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N b_i^2 \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^N (e_2 \cdot (x_i - \bar{x}))^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \|x_i - \bar{x}\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^2 \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^N (e_2^T (x_i - \bar{x})) (x_i - \bar{x})^T e_2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \|x_i - \bar{x}\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^2 \\ &= -e_2^T S e_2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \|x_i - \bar{x}\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

Minimizing J with respect to e_2 is equivalent to maximizing $e_2^T S e_2$. We use the method of Lagrange Multipliers with the constraints, $e_2^T e_2 = 1$ and $e_2^T e_1 = 0$.

$$\tilde{J}(e_2) = e_2^T S e_2 - \lambda(e_2^T e_2 - 1) - \gamma(e_2^T e_1)$$

Taking derivative of \tilde{J} w.r.t e_2 and setting it to 0, we get
 $S e_2 - \lambda e_2 - \gamma e_1 = 0$

Since e_2 is a vector in the space perpendicular to e_1 , it can be expressed as $e_2 = \sum_{i=2}^N \mu_i v_i$ where v_i are the eigenvectors of S.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=2}^N \mu_i S v_i - \lambda e_2 - \gamma e_1 &= 0 \\ \sum_{i=2}^N \mu_i \lambda_i v_i - \lambda e_2 - \gamma e_1 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying with e_1^T , we get $\gamma e_1^T e_1 = 0$. (Since $v_i^T v_j = 0 \ \forall i \neq j$)
 Since e_1 is not zero vector, γ is zero.

Thus, $S e_2 = \lambda e_2$, so e_2 is an eigenvector of S.

As, $e_2^T S e_2 = \lambda$ and we wish to maximize $e_2^T S e_2$ under the constraint $e_2^T e_1 = 0$, we would choose e_2 to be the eigenvector corresponding to $\mu_2 = 1$ and $\mu_i = 0 \ \forall i \neq 2$. Thus, e_2 is the eigenvector corresponding to the second largest eigenvalue of S.

Hence proved. □