Lead Scoring Case Study

Shuchi Singh Manish Singh Shriya Chintawar

Problem Statement

- An Education company named X Education sells online courses to industrial professionals.
- Once they receive the leads, sales team will start making calls, writing emails. Throughout the process, some leads will convert and some may not.
- They get a lot of leads but their lead conversion rate is very poor. For ex. If they receive 100 leads in a day, only 30 of them are converted.
- If they want more leads to be converted, they should start focusing more on communicating with potential leads rather than making calls to everyone.

Business Goal

- The company wants to know most promising leads.
- For this they want to build model which will identify the hot leads.

Problem Approach


- First we will start with data cleaning by checking missing values and handle duplicate data, dropping columns, handling outliers.
- Dummy variable creation, Feature scaling
- Test-train split, Correlations
- Model Building
- Model Evaluations
- Conclusion

Understanding Data

- There are total no of 37 rows and 9240 columns.
- 16 columns have been dropped.


Lead Conversion and Lead Source


- First graph indicates the Lead conversion from lead Origin who are converted and non-converted.
- Second graph indicates the Lead conversion from lead Source who are converted and non-converted.



Total Visits, Total Time Spent on Website, Page Views


- Here we can see in first graph the Total Visits, Total Time Spent on Website, Page Views per visit which are converted and not converted.
- In second graph Lead conversion who has mail, converted and vice versa.





Correlation

 Here we can see the correlation between the variables.





Model Evaluation

- Splitting the data into train and test data sets in ratio of 70:30.
- Using RFE for feature selection.
- Running RFE with 15 variables.
- Building model by removing the variable whose p-value is greater than 0.05 and VIF is greater than 5.
- Prediction on test data set.
- Overall accuracy is around 77%

Model Evaluation


- 0.38 is the tradeoff between precision and recall.
- We can say that 38% is the probability for the lead to be hot lead.





ROC Curve

• Calling ROC curve function for plotting TP and FP.



Observations

Train Data

• Sensitivity: 76.36

• Specificity: 78.84

• Precision : 68.97

Recall: 76.36

• Accuracy: 77.89

Test Data

• Sensitivity: 77.08

• Specificity: 77.58

• Precision : 69.18

• Recall: 77.08

• Accuracy: 77.38

Conclusion

- The accuracy we got from test data is 77% approximately and therefore we can consider it as accurate.
- High recall score than precision score is a sign of good model.
- Leads who spent more time on website is more likely to convert.
- People spending higher than average time can be hot leads, so targeting them can be helpful in conversions.
- When the current occupation is working professional the company has high chance to get a potential buyer which will buy the course.
- Maximum lead conversion happened from Landing Page Submission.
- We can conclude that model is in stable state.