# Verification and validation: Quick reference

vishvAs vAsuki

December 12, 2009

Mainly from Alan Cline's handouts.

# Part I Notation

 $S, S_i, S_i; S_j$ : Pieces of code.

# Part II Themes

#### 1 Validation

Are we trying to make the right thing? Are we solving the right equation?

### 2 Verification

Have we made what we were trying to make? Are there errors in our implementation of the model?

### Part III

# Reasoning about programs

Also see distributed computing ref.

#### 3 Correctness

#### 3.1 Assertion

Assertion p: Assume that p is true.

In practice, good programmers use special statements to check if assertions are true; eg: Java.

#### 3.2 Correctness

S is correct wrt precondition p and postcondition q if: starting with p true, run S, get q.

#### 3.3 Partial correctness

 $\{p\}$  S  $\{q\}$ . S is correct wrt p and q if: starting with p true, if ye run S, ye get q if S terminates. This is useful notation for proving correctness of program segments.

#### 3.3.1 Axioms

[Hoare] F indicates set of empty set of states (unreachable); so:  $\forall q, S :: FS\{q\}; \{p\}SF \implies \neg p$ : if S results in unreachable state, initial state itself must have been unreachable.

$$\{p_1\} S_1 \{p_2\} \land \{p_2\} S_2 \{p_3\} \implies \{p_1\} S_1; S_2 \{p_3\}.$$

# 4 Verification with forward chaining

#### 4.1 Picking invariants

During verification, select invariant weak enough to remain true before and after loop is executed, also strong enough to lead to the required postcondition: necessary to ensure postcondition even if loop not entered.

#### 4.2 Translate program into hoare triples

If S = if cond then  $S_1$  else  $S_2$ :  $(\{p \land cond\} S_1 \{q\}) \land (\{p \land \neg cond\} S_2 \{q\})$ . Iteration: S = while cond do S',  $q = (p \land \neg cond)$ :  $(\{p \land cond\} S \{p\})$ : p is the loop invariant; cond is loop variant. p can be false during loop execution, but returns to true in the end.

Assignment:  $\{p(x)\}\ x := E\{p(E)\}.$ 

## 5 Verification with preconditions

Aka back substitution. This is backward chaining.

# 5.1 Weakest preconditions for program S, postcondition q

p = wp(S,q). Weakest assertion p:  $\{p\} S \{q\}$ . For any r : if  $\{r\} S \{q\} \land S$  terminates;  $r \implies wp(S,q)$ . Converse is true.

So, use this if you want to show that  $\{r\}$  S  $\{q\}$  (like  $\{r\}$  x := 5  $\{x \ge 5\}$ ): take q, substitute the effects of S in q, thence get wp(S, q); show  $r \implies wp(S,q)$ !  $wp(S_1; S_2, q) = wp(S_1, wp(S_2, q))$ . wp(if cond then S; q) =  $(cond \implies wp(S,q)) \land (\neg cond \implies q)$ .

wp(if cond then S; q) =  $(cond \implies wp(S,q)) \wedge wp(x := E, q(x)) = E$  is defined, q(E) true.