Typed Tagless Final Interpreters

Stuart Terrett

May 11, 2020

preamble

{-# LANGUAGE GADTs #-} module *TTFI* where

typed

The object language is typed

typed

The object language is *typed*add (int 2) (bool 3)

typed

The object language is *typed* add (int 2) (bool 3)

will not compile

tagless

The object language terms are not wrapped in a sum type with type tags

tagless

The object language terms are not wrapped in a sum type with type tags

```
data Term =
TInt Int
| TBool Bool
```

tagless

The object language terms are not wrapped in a sum type with type tags

```
data Term =
TInt Int
| TBool Bool
```

The object language is "tight": if it compiles, it cannot get stuck

```
 addU :: Term \rightarrow Term \rightarrow Term \\ addU (TInt x) (TInt y) = TInt \$x + y \\ addU \_\_ = error "make illegal states unrepresentable"
```

final

Terms of the object language are represented as expressions in the metalanguage

final

Terms of the object language are represented as expressions in the metalanguage

```
twoPlusTwo :: (AddSym repr) \Rightarrow repr Int twoPlusTwo = add (int 2) (int 2)
```

final

Terms of the object language are represented as expressions in the metalanguage

```
twoPlusTwo :: (AddSym repr) \Rightarrow repr Int twoPlusTwo = add (int 2) (int 2)
```

Not abstract syntax

```
data AST =
   Lit Term
   | AddU AST AST
   | AndU AST AST

twoPlusTwo' :: AST
twoPlusTwo' = AddU (Lit (TInt 2)) (Lit (TInt 2))
```

interpreter

The syntax is a typeclass

class AddSym repr where

 $int :: Int \rightarrow repr Int$

add :: repr Int \rightarrow repr Int \rightarrow repr Int

typed tagless

The tagless-typed-ness comes from

 $\mathit{repr} :: * \to *$

typed tagless

The tagless-typed-ness comes from

```
repr :: * \rightarrow *
```

Which is why the above example won't typecheck

```
int 2:: repr Int
bool 3:: repr Bool
add :: repr Int \rightarrow repr Int \rightarrow repr Int
```

interpreter

The semantics are an instance of the typeclass

newtype
$$R$$
 $a = R$ { $unR :: a$ }
instance $AddSym$ R where
 $int = R$
 add $(R x) (R y) = R $ x + y$

extensible

Adding interpretations

```
newtype S a = S \{unS :: Int \rightarrow String\}
instance AddSym S where
int = S \circ const \circ show
add (S \times) (S \ y) = S \ \lambda c \rightarrow
"(" <> \times c <> " + " <> y \ c <> ")"
```

extensible

Adding operations

```
class MultSym\ repr\ where
mul:: repr\ Int 	o repr\ Int 	o repr\ Int
instance MultSym\ R\ where
mul\ (R\ x)\ (R\ y) = R\ x * y
instance MultSym\ S\ where
mul\ (S\ x)\ (S\ y) = S\ \lambda c 	o
"("<> x c<>" * "<> y c<>")"
```

adding booleans

class BoolSym repr where

 $bool :: Bool \rightarrow repr Bool$

 $\textit{Ite} :: \textit{repr Int} \rightarrow \textit{repr Int} \rightarrow \textit{repr Bool}$

when :: repr Bool \rightarrow repr a \rightarrow repr a \rightarrow repr a

adding booleans

instance $BoolSym\ R$ where bool=R $Ite\ (R\ x)\ (R\ y)=R\ x\leqslant y$ when $(R\ b)\ (R\ t)\ (R\ f)=R\ if\ b$ then t else f

adding booleans

instance BoolSym R where

$$bool = R$$

 $lte(R x)(R y) = R \$ x \le y$
 $when(R b)(R t)(R f) = R \$ if b then t else f$

instance BoolSym S where

bool =
$$S \circ const \circ show$$

Ite $(S \times) (S \times) = S \times \lambda c \rightarrow$
"(" <> $\times c <>$ " <= " <> $\times c <>$ ")"
when $(S \cdot b) (S \cdot t) (S \cdot f) = S \times \lambda c \rightarrow$
"if " <> $\times b \cdot c$
<> "\nthen " <> $\times t \cdot c$
<> "\n else" <> $\times t \cdot c$

adding lambda abstraction

class LamSym repr where

$$lam :: (repr \ a \rightarrow repr \ b) \rightarrow repr \ (a \rightarrow b)$$

 $app :: repr \ (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow repr \ a \rightarrow repr \ b$

adding lambda abstraction

instance $LamSym\ R$ where $lam\ f = R\ unR \circ f \circ R$ $app\ (R\ f)\ (R\ a) = R\ f\ a$

adding lambda abstraction

instance
$$LamSym\ R$$
 where $lam\ f = R$ \$ $unR \circ f \circ R$ app $(R\ f)\ (R\ a) = R$ \$ f a

higher-order abstract syntax

Notice that the metalanguage (Haskell) maintains the variable bindings

adding recursion!!

class FixSym repr where fix :: (repr $a \rightarrow repr \ a$) $\rightarrow repr \ a$

adding recursion!!

instance $FixSym\ R$ where $fix\ f=R\ fx\ (unR\circ f\circ R)$ where $fx\ g=g\ (fx\ g)$

adding recursion!!

```
instance FixSym\ R where

fix\ f = R\ fx\ (unR\circ f\circ R)

where fx\ g = g\ (fx\ g)
```

```
instance FixSym\ S where fix\ f=S\ \ \lambda c \to \  let self= "self" <> show c in "(fix " <> self <> ".\n" <> (unS\circ f\circ S\ \ const\ self) (c+1)<> ")"
```

simply typed lambda calculus with integer and boolean literals

```
class (AddSym repr
  , MultSym repr
  , BoolSym repr
  , LamSym repr
  , FixSym repr
  \Rightarrow Symantics repr
instance Symantics R
instance Symantics S
eval :: R \ a \rightarrow a
eval = unR
pprint :: S \ a \rightarrow String
pprint e = unS e 0
```

factorial

```
factorial :: (Symantics repr) \Rightarrow repr (Int \rightarrow Int)
factorial = fix (\lambdaself \rightarrow lam (\lambdan \rightarrow
when (Ite n (int 0))
(int 1)
(mul n (self 'app' (add n (int (-1))))))

(hint: now's a good time to stack ghci src/TTFI.hs)
```

contrast: typed tagless initial encoding

```
data Syml h a where
   INT :: Int \rightarrow SymI \ h \ Int
   Add :: Syml h Int \rightarrow Syml h Int \rightarrow Syml h Int
   Mul :: Syml h Int \rightarrow Syml h Int \rightarrow Syml h Int
   BOOL :: Bool \rightarrow SymI \ h \ Bool
   Lte :: SymI h Int \rightarrow SymI h Int \rightarrow SymI h Bool
   When :: Syml h Bool \rightarrow Syml h a \rightarrow Syml h a \rightarrow Syml h a
   Var :: h \ a \rightarrow Svml \ h \ a
   Lam :: (Syml h a \rightarrow Syml h b) \rightarrow Syml h (a \rightarrow b)
   App :: Syml h (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow Syml h a \rightarrow Syml h b
   Fix :: (Syml h a \rightarrow Syml h a) \rightarrow Syml h a
```

h is the evaluation context (eg R or S etc)

Like the final encoding, this is well typed (if it compiles, it won't get stuck) and there are no "type tags".

Like the final encoding, this is well typed (if it compiles, it won't get stuck) and there are no "type tags".

This requires GADTs so that the result of pattern-matching on different branches of a sum type can have different types

initial interpreter

Here we evaluate in R

```
evall :: Svml R a \rightarrow a
evall (INT i) = i
evall (Add \times y) = (evall \times) + (evall y)
evall (Mul \times y) = (evall \times) * (evall y)
evall (BOOL\ b) = b
evall (Lte x y) = (evall x) \leq (evall y)
evall (When b t f) = if (evall b) then (evall t) else (evall f)
evall (Var x) = unR x
evall (Lam f) = evall \circ f \circ Var \circ R
evall (App f a) = (evall f) (evall a)
evall(Fix f) = evall(fx f)
  where f \times g = g (f \times g)
```

expression problem

Adding interpreters to ${\tt SymI}$ is straightforward

```
pprintI :: Sym S a \rightarrow String
pprintI = ...
```

expression problem

Adding interpreters to SymI is straightforward

```
pprintl :: Sym S a \rightarrow String

pprintl = ...
```

But adding new operations isn't possible

expression problem

Adding interpreters to SymI is straightforward

```
pprintl :: Sym S a \rightarrow String
pprintl = ...
```

But adding new operations isn't possible

Because of the contravariant SymI in Lam, SymI is not representable as the fixpoint of a functor, and so we can't use the coproduct-of-functors representation.

bijection

We can show the final and initial embeddings are equivalent by establishing a bijection

final to initial

```
instance AddSym (Sym1 h) where
  int = INT
  add = Add
instance MultSym (Syml h) where
  mul = Mul
instance BoolSym (Syml h) where
  bool = BOOL
  Ite = Lte
  when = When
instance LamSym (Syml h) where
  lam = 1 am
  app = App
instance FixSym (Sym1 h) where
  fix = Fix
instance Symantics (Sym1 h)
fToI :: SymI h a \rightarrow SymI h a
fTol = id
```



initial to final

```
iToF :: (Symantics repr) \Rightarrow Sym1 repr a \rightarrow repr a
iToF(INT x) = int x
iToF (Add \times y) = add (iToF \times) (iToF y)
iToF (Mul \times y) = mul (iToF \times) (iToF y)
iToF(BOOL b) = bool b
iToF (Lte x y) = Ite (iToF x) (iToF y)
iToF (When b t f) = when (iToF b) (iToF t) (iToF f)
iToF (Var a) = a
iToF (Lam f) = lam (\lambda x \rightarrow iToF (f (Var x)))
iToF (App f a) = app (iToF f) (iToF a)
iToF (Fix f) = fix (\lambda self \rightarrow iToF (f (Var self)))
```

end

Check out Oleg's writeups at

- http://okmij.org/ftp/tagless-final/index.html
- http://okmij.org/ftp/tagless-final/course/index.html

This presentation and some code snippets at https://github.com/shterrett/ttfi