Tutorial 3 Instrumental Variables - Due on 10.05.2022 20:00

Empirical Banking and Finance Konrad Adler

Summer, 2022

Formal Requirements

- NEW: Please upload one file per group on eCampus
- Two students per group
- Indicate both student IDs on your solution
- Do not write your name on your solution
- Format .pdf or .doc file, no log or txt files please
- Only one file, add your .do file at the end of your solution
- No late submissions will be accepted

Exercise

This exercise¹ follows [Levine et al., 2000] using an instrumental variable based on [Porta et al., 1998] to study the relationship between finance and growth. We use the original data as in the previous tutorial. The data can be found on Rafael La Porta's Webpage.

- 1. Motivating the use of Instrumental Variables (IV)
 - a) In a cross-country regression with average *gdpgrowth* from 1960-1995 on the LHS and *private_credit_1960* on the RHS mention two distinct economic mechanisms why the estimated coefficient might *not* represent the causal effect of "finance" on subsequent gdp growth.
 - b) Take one of the two mechanisms from a) and use the omitted variable bias formula to determine the direction of the bias introduced. Explain your reasoning.
 - c) How could an instrumental variable approach solve the issue of causality?
- 2. Regression 1: OLS
 - a) Run a regression of gdpgrowth on private_credit_1960

¹Thanks to Ulrich Schüwer

b) Very briefly comment on the coefficient of *private_credit_1960* and provide a 95% confidence interval for the coefficient.

3. The Instruments

We now use the national legal origin ([Porta et al., 1998]) of a country as an instrument for its financial development

- a) Discuss whether a country's legal origin might or not satisfy the exclusion restriction? Provide two arguments/mechanisms in favor, and two arguing against the assumption.
- b) Compute average *private_credit_1960* for each group of legal origin. There are several ways to do this. The variable *legor* might be useful. Comment your results.
- c) Can we learn anything from the answer to the previous question b) about whether legal origin is a good or a bad instrument?

4. Regression 2: IV with one instrument

- a) Use French legal origin *legor_fr* as an instrument for *private_credit_1960*. Compute an IV estimate of the impact of *private_credit_1960* on *gdpgrowth* using the Wald estimator. Explain what your are doing at each step of the calculation.
- b) Run a Two-Stage-Least-Squares (2SLS) version of Regression 1 using French legal origin *legor_fr* as an instrument for *private_credit_1960*.
- c) Compare the Wald estimate to the 2SLS estimate.
- d) Compare the coefficient on *private_credit_1960* to the one in the OLS regression. How does the difference between IV and OLS coefficient compare to your answer in question 1 b)?
- e) Provide a 95% confidence interval for the coefficient.
- f) Is the model underidentified, exactly identified or overidentified?
- g) Test whether $legor_fr$ is a valid instrument. Provide H_0 , H_A , the test statistic, its distribution and the result of the test.

5. Regression 2: IV with several instruments

- a) Run an 2SLS version of Regression 1 using four out of five legal origin dummies as instruments for *private_credit_1960*
- b) Why cannot all legal origin dummies be included?
- c) Compare the coefficient on *private_credit_1960* to the one in question 4 b). Provid a brief comment.
- d) Provide a 95% confidence interval for the coefficient.
- e) Is the model underidentified, exactly identified or overidentified?
- f) Formally test whether the instruments are valid. Provide H_0 , H_A , the test statistic, its distribution and the result of the test.
- g) Is the formal test for the exogeneity of instruments useful in this setting?

- h) Test whether $private_credit_1960$ is endogenous. Provide H_0 , H_A , the test statistic, its distribution and the result of the test. Why does this matter from an econometric point of view? In your answer, refer to results in previous question(s).
- 6. Regression 3: IV with several instruments and several endogenous variables
 - a) Run an IV version of Regression 1 using four out of five legal origin dummies as instruments for *private_credit_1960*, but now, add *public_banks_1970* as an additional endogenous dependent variable.

References

[Levine et al., 2000] Levine, R., Loayza, N., and Beck, T. (2000). Financial intermediation and growth: Causality and causes. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 46(1):31–77.

[Porta et al., 1998] Porta, R. L., de Silanes, F. L., Shleifer, A., and Vishny, R. W. (1998). Law and Finance. *Journal of Political Economy*, 106(6):1113–1155.