Adapting New Categories for Food Recognition with Deep Representation

Shuang Ao
Department of Computer Science
Western University
London, Ontario
Email: sao@uwo.ca

Charles X. Ling
Department of Computer Science
Western University
London, Ontario
Email: cling@csd.uwo.ca

Abstract—

I. Introduction

II. RELATED WORKS

The motivation of transfer knowledge between different domains is to apply the previous information from the source domain to the target one, assuming that there exists certain relationship, explicit or implicit, between the feature space of these two domains [1]. Technically, previous work can be concluded into solving the following three issues: what, how and when to transfer [2].

What to transfer. Previous work tried to answer this question from three different aspects: selecting transferable instances, learning transferable feature representations and transferable model parameters. Instance-based transfer learning assume that part of the instances in the source domain could be re-used to benefit the learning for the target domain. Lim et al. proposed a method of augmenting the training data by borrowing data from other classes for object detection [3]. Learning transferable features means to learn common feature that can alleviate the bias of data distribution in target domain. Recently, Long et al. proposed a method that can learn transferable features with deep neural network and showed some impressive results on the benchmarks [4]. Parameter transfer approach assumes that the parameters of the model for the source task can be transfered to the target task. Yang et al. proposed Adaptive SVMs by transferring parameters from the auxiliary classifier from trained from source domain[5]. On top of Yang's work, Ayatar et al proposed PMT-SVM that can determine the transfer regularizer according to the target data automatically [6]. Tommasi et al. proposed Multi-KT that can utilize the parameters from multiple source models for the target classes [2]. Kuzborskij et al. proposed a similar method to learn new categories by leveraging over the known source [7].

When and how to transfer. The question when to transfer arises when we want to know if the information acquired from previous task is relevant to the new one (i.e. in what situation, knowledge should not be transfered). In some extreme situation, where the source domain and target one are not relevant, brutal-force transferring the knowledge between them could even degrade the performance of the classifier in target domain. This is often referred to as negative transfer. How to transfer should be carefully designed to prevent inefficient and negative

transfer. Some previous work consists in using generative probabilistic method [8] [9] [10]. Bayesian learning methods can predict the target domain by combining the prior source distribution to generate a posterior distribution. Alternatively, some previous max margin methods show that it is possible to learn from a few examples by minimizing the Leave-One-Out (LOO) error for the training model [7] [11].

In this paper, we

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

REFERENCES

- S. J. Pan and Q. Yang, "A survey on transfer learning," Knowledge and Data Engineering, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 22, no. 10, pp. 1345– 1359, 2010.
- [2] T. Tommasi, F. Orabona, and B. Caputo, "Learning categories from few examples with multi model knowledge transfer," *Pattern Analysis* and *Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 928–941, 2014.
- [3] J. J. Lim, "Transfer learning by borrowing examples for multiclass object detection," Ph.D. dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2012
- [4] M. Long, Y. Cao, J. Wang, and M. Jordan, "Learning transferable features with deep adaptation networks," in *Proceedings of the 32nd International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2015, Lille, France*, 2015, pp. 97–105.
- [5] J. Yang, R. Yan, and A. G. Hauptmann, "Cross-domain video concept detection using adaptive syms," in *Proceedings of the 15th international* conference on Multimedia. ACM, 2007, pp. 188–197.
- [6] Y. Aytar and A. Zisserman, "Tabula rasa: Model transfer for object category detection," in *Computer Vision (ICCV)*, 2011 IEEE International Conference on. IEEE, 2011, pp. 2252–2259.
- [7] I. Kuzborskij, F. Orabona, and B. Caputo, "From n to n+1: Multiclass transfer incremental learning," in *Computer Vision and Pattern Recogni*tion (CVPR), 2013 IEEE Conference on. IEEE, 2013, pp. 3358–3365.
- [8] J. Davis and P. Domingos, "Deep transfer via second-order markov logic," in *Proceedings of the 26th annual international conference on machine learning*. ACM, 2009, pp. 217–224.
- [9] X. Wang, T.-K. Huang, and J. Schneider, "Active transfer learning under model shift," in *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML-14)*, 2014, pp. 1305–1313.
- [10] T. Zhou and D. Tao, "Multi-task copula by sparse graph regression," in Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining. ACM, 2014, pp. 771–780.
- [11] T. Tommasi, F. Orabona, and B. Caputo, "Safety in numbers: Learning categories from few examples with multi model knowledge transfer," in *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2010 IEEE Conference on. IEEE, 2010, pp. 3081–3088.