Structure connectivity and substructure connectivity of the augmented cube

Shuangxiang Kan[1], Jianxi Fan[1*], Guijuan Wang[1],Baolei Cheng[1], Xi Wang[2], Jing-ya Zhou[1],
[1]School of Computer Science and Technology, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, China
[2]School of Software and Services Outsourcing, Suzhou Institute of Industrial Technology, Suzhou 215004, China
[*]Corresponding author: jxfan@suda.edu.cn

Abstract

The augmented cube, denoted by AQ_n, is an important variant of the hypercube. It retains many favorable properties of the hypercube and possesses several embeddable properties that the hypercube and its other variations do not possess. Connectivity is one of the most important indicators used to evaluate a network's fault tolerance performance. Structure and substructure connectivity are the two novel generalization of the connectivity, which provide a new way to evaluate fault-tolerant ability of a network. In this paper, the structure connectivity and substructure connectivity of the augmented cube for $H \in \{K_{1,M}, P_L, C_N\}$ is investigated, where $1 \leq M \leq 6, 1 \leq L \leq 2n-1$ and $3 \leq N \leq 2n-1$.

Keywords: structure connectivity; substructure connectivity; fault-tolerant ability; augmented cube; interconnection network

1 Introduction

Fault-tolerant ability is a very important aspect for evaluating the performance of an interconnection network. An interconnection network with good fault tolerant ability can run well and achieve ideal results even if some parts of the network fail or are damaged. Therefore, we hope the fault-tolerant ability of an interconnection network can be assessed by some indicators. Connectivity is one of the most important indicators we use to evaluate a network's fault-tolerant ability. A graph G with n vertices, after removing any $k-1$ vertices ($1 \leq k < n$), the resulting subgraph is still connected. After removing some k vertices, the graph G becomes a disconnected graph or a trivial graph. Then G is a k-connected graph, and k is called the *connectivity* of graph G, denoted by $\kappa(G)$. Generally, the larger the connectivity of a graph, the more stable the network it represents. Although the connectivity can correctly reflect the fault-tolerant performance of the system, it has an obvious drawback. That is, it assumes that all vertices adjacent to the same vertex will become faulty at the same time, and the probability of this case happening in real environment is very low. Hence, it does not accurately reflect the robust performance of large-scale networks. The conditional connectivity proposed by Harary [1] overcomes this shortcoming by attaching some requirements to each component when the entire network becomes disconnected due to failure of some vertices. Then, Fàbrega et al. [12] proposed the concept of g-extra connectivity. Given a



Figure 1: $K_{1,M}, P_L$ and C_N

graph G and a non-negative integer g, if there is a set of vertices in the graph G such that the graph G is disconnected after the vertex set is deleted and the number of vertices of each component is greater than g, then we call it a vertex cut. The minimum cardinality of all vertex cuts is referred to as the *g-extra connectivity* of graph G. g-extra connectivity is a generalization of the superconnectivity. The *superconnectivity* of a graph G actually corresponds to $\kappa_1(G)$ [12,23]. More information on conditional connectivity can be found in [4–11, 13, 15, 19–22] .

However, both the connectivity and the improved conditional connectivity discussed above are based on the assumption that a single vertex failure is an independent event. Under such connectivities, when any vertex in the network fails, there is no effect on the vertices that are directly connected to this vertex. However, in fact, when a vertex in the network becomes faulty, the probability of vertices around this vertex will becoming faulty is greatly increased, which may form a faulty structure centered on this faulty node. Therefore, Lin et al. [2] proposed the concept of structure connectivity $\kappa(Q_n, H)$ and sub-structure connectivity $\kappa^s(Q_n, H)$ of the hypercube Q_n in [2] for $H \in \{K_1, K_{1,1}, K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, C_4\}$. They actually generalized the faulty element from a single faulty vertex to a faulty structure (substructure). More results on structure and substructure connectivity can be found in [14, 16–18, 24].

The augmented cube, proposed by Choudum and Sunitha [3], as an important variant of the hypercube, not only retains many of the superior properties of the hypercube, but also has many properties that are not available in hypercubes and other variants [25, 26]. For example, the connectivity of the augmented cube is $2n-1$, which is almost twice that of a hypercube, This means that the fault tolerance ability of the augmented cube is somewhat higher than that of the hypercube. In this paper, we focus on the structure and substructure connectivity of augmented cube. We establish H-structure and H-substructure connectivity of AQ_n for $H \in \{K_{1,M}, P_L, C_N\}$(shown in Figure 1), respectively, where $1 \leq M \leq 6, 1 \leq L \leq 2n-1$, and $3 \leq N \leq 2n-1$.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, the definition of the augmented cube and some useful properties of it are presented. Then, Section 3 presents the main results on $\kappa(AQ_n, H)$ and $\kappa^s(AQ_n, H)$ of augmented cube for each $H \in \{K_{1,M}, P_L, C_N\}$ in this paper. Conclusions are presented in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

In order to better study the nature of the interconnection network, we generally model the interconnection network as an undirected graph, where each vertex in the graph represents a server, and each edge in the graph represents a communication link connecting two servers. A graph can be defined as a binary group: $G = (V(G), E(G))$, where: (1) $V(G)$ is a finite and nonempty set of vertices. (2) $E(G)$ is a finite set of edges connecting two different vertices (vertex pairs) in $V(G)$. We use $N(u)$ to denote all vertices adjacent to the same vertex u for $u \in V(G)$.

Letting $F(G)$ be a set of elements and each element is a vertex subset of graph G, we define $V(F(G)) = \cup_{\alpha \in F(G)} \alpha$. If $G - V(F(G))$ is a disconnected graph or a trivial graph, then $F(G)$ is called a *structure cut* of graph G. Let H be a connected subgraph of graph G. If the induced subgraph of each element in $F(G)$ is isomorphic to a spanning supergraph of H, then $F(G)$ is an *H-structure cut* of graph G. The *H-structure connectivity* of graph G, denoted by $\kappa(G, H)$, is defined as the minimum cardinality (number of elements) of all H-structure cuts of graph G. If the induced subgraph of each element in $F(G)$ is isomorphic to a spanning supergraph of a connected subgraph of H, then $F(G)$ is called an *H-substructure cut* of graph G. The *H-substructure connectivity* of graph G, denoted by $\kappa^s(G, H)$, is defined as the minimum cardinality of all H-substructure cuts of graph G. The complete graph with n vertices is denoted by K_n and K_1 is just an isolated vertex. Thus, K_1-structure connectivity and K_1-substructure connectivity are exactly the traditional connectivity.

If the set of vertices of a graph G can be divided into two mutually disjoint subsets X and Y, where $|X| = m$, $|Y| = n$, such that any vertex in X has a unique edge with each vertex in Y and there is no edge has two vertices in the same subset. Then G is called a complete bipartite graph, denoted by $K_{m,n}$. For any n, $K_{1,n}$ is called a star. A *path* $P_k = \langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k \rangle$ is a finite non-empty sequence with different vertices such that $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E(G)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and the length of P_k is $k - 1$. A *cycle* $C_k = \langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k \rangle$ for $k \geq 3$ is a path where $(v_1, v_k) \in E(G)$.

In the following, we shall introduce the definition of the augmented cube and some properties of augmented cube.

Definition 1. *[3] Let an integer $n \geq 1$, an n-dimensional augmented cube AQ_n consists of 2^n vertices, each vertex in AQ_n is labeled by a unique n-bit binary string $u_n u_{n-1} \dots u_2 u_1$. The augmented cube AQ_1 is a complete graph K_2 with two vertices 0 and 1. For $n > 1$, n-dimensional augmented cube AQ_n can be constructed from two copies of $(n-1)$-dimensional augmented cube AQ_{n-1}, denoted by AQ^0_{n-1} and AQ^1_{n-1}, and adding $2 \times 2^{n-1}$ edges between them. A vertex $u = \{0a_{n-1} \dots a_2 a_1 \mid a_i = 0 \text{ or } 1, 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ of AQ^0_{n-1} is adjacent to a vertex $v = \{1b_{n-1} \dots b_2 b_1 \mid b_i = 0 \text{ or } 1, 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ of AQ^1_{n-1} if and only if, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, either (1) $a_i = b_i$, in this case, (u, v) is called a hypercube edge, or (2) $a_i = \bar{b}_i$, in this case, (u, v) is called a complement edge.*

For any vertex $u = a_n a_{n-1} \dots a_1$ in augmented cube. we use u^i (respectively, $\bar{u}^i$) to denote the binary string $a_n \dots a_{i+1} \bar{a}_i a_{i-1} \dots a_1$ (respectively, $a_n \dots a_{i+1} \bar{a}_i \bar{a}_{i-1} \dots \bar{a}_1$). It is clear that $u^1 = \bar{u}^1$, we may mix these two notations whenever it is convenient. For example, if $u = 011001$, then $u^1 = \bar{u}^1 = 011000$, $u^4 = 010001$, $\bar{u}^4 = 010110$, $(u^4)^2 = 010011$, $(\bar{u}^4)^3 = 010010$, $(\overline{u^4})^2 = 010010$ and $(\overline{\bar{u}^4})^2 = 010101$.

The definition of augmented cube above is recursive. As with hypercube or other graphs, augmented cube also has several definitions. An alternative definition of AQ_n is as follows:

Definition 2. *[3] An n-dimensional augmented cube with $n \geq 1$ contains 2^n vertices, each labeled by a unique n-bit binary string $u_n u_{n-1} \ldots u_2 u_1$. There is an edge between two vertices $a = a_n a_{n-1} \ldots a_2 a_1$ and $b = b_n b_{n-1} \ldots b_2 b_1$ if and only if, there exists an integer k, $1 \leq k \leq n$ (1) $a_k = \bar{b}_k$ and $a_i = b_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $i \neq k$, or (2) $a_i = \bar{b}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $a_i = b_i$ for $k+1 \leq i \leq n$.*



Figure 2: Augmented cubes AQ_1,AQ_2 and AQ_3 of dimension 1, 2, and 3

The augmented cubes AQ_1, AQ_2, and AQ_3 are shown in Figure 2.
Then, we give some properties of AQ_n

Theorem 1. *[3] $\kappa(AQ_1) = 1, \kappa(AQ_2) = 3, \kappa(AQ_3) = 4$, and for $n \geq 4$, $\kappa(AQ_n) = 2n - 1$.*

According to Theorem 1, we have the following result.

Theorem 2. *For $n \geq 4$, $\kappa(AQ_n, K_1) = \kappa^s(AQ_n, K_1) = 2n - 1$.*

Lemma 1. *[23] For $n \geq 6$, $\kappa_1(AQ_n) = 4n - 8$.*

Property 1. *[23] If (u, u^i) is a hypercube edge of dimension i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$, then*

$$N_{AQ_n}(u) \cap N_{AQ_n}(u^i) = \begin{cases} \{\bar{u}^i, \bar{u}^{i-1}\} & 2 \leq i \leq n, \\ \{\bar{u}^2, u^2\} & i = 1. \end{cases}$$

That is, u and u^i have exactly two common neighbors in AQ_n and $|N_{AQ_n}(\{u, u^i\})| = 4n - 6$.

Property 2. *[23] If $(u,\bar{u}^i)$ is a complement edge of dimension i $(2 \leq i \leq n)$,then*

$$N_{AQ_n}(u) \cap N_{AQ_n}(\bar{u}^i) = \begin{cases} \{\bar{u}^{i-1}, u^i, u^{i+1}, \bar{u}^{i+1}\} & 2 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ \{\bar{u}^{n-1}, u^n\} & i = n. \end{cases}$$

That is, u and $\bar{u}^i$ have exactly four common neighbors in AQ_n for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $|N_{AQ_n}(\{u, \bar{u}^i\})| = 4n - 8$. Similarly, u and $\bar{u}^n$ have exactly two common neighbors in AQ_n and $|N_{AQ_n}(\{u, \bar{u}^n\})| = 4n - 6$.

Property 3. *[23] Any two vertices in AQ_n have at most four common neighbors for $n \geq 3$.*

According to the definition 1 , we can easily obtain the following properties of augmented cube.

Property 4. *If* (u, u^i) *and* (u, u^j) *are two hypercube edges of dimensions* i *and* j $(1 \leq i \neq j \leq n)$*. Without loss of generality, we set* $i < j$*, then*

$$N_{AQ_n}(u^i) \cap N_{AQ_n}(u^j) = \begin{cases} \{u, (u^i)^j, \bar{u}^i, (\bar{u}^j)^i\} & j = i+1 \text{ and } i > 1, \\ \{u, (u^i)^j\} & j > i+1, \\ \{u, (u^1)^2\} & i = 1 \text{ and } j = 2. \end{cases}$$

Property 5. *If* $(u, \bar{u}^i)$ *and* $(u, \bar{u}^j)$ *are two complement edges of dimensions* i *and* j $(1 \leq i \neq j \leq n)$*. Without loss of generality, we set* $i < j$*, then*

$$N_{AQ_n}(\bar{u}^i) \cap N_{AQ_n}(\bar{u}^j) = \begin{cases} \{u, \bar{u}^{i+1}, (u^j)^{j-1}, (\bar{u}^j)^{j-1}\} & j = i+2, \\ \{u, (\overline{\bar{u}^j})^i\} & j = i+1 \quad \text{or} \quad j > i+2. \end{cases}$$

Property 6. *If* (u, u^i) *is a hypercube edge of dimension* i *and* $(u, \bar{u}^j)$ *is a complement edge of dimension* j $(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$*, then*

$$N_{AQ_n}(u^i) \cap N_{AQ_n}(\bar{u}^j) = \begin{cases} \{u, (u^i)^{i-1}, (\bar{u}^i)^{i-1}, \bar{u}^{i-1}\} & i = j \text{ and } i > 1, \\ \{u, \bar{u}^i, (\bar{u}^j)^{i+1}, (u^i)^{i+1}\} & i = j+1 \text{ and } i < n, \\ \{u, \bar{u}^i\} & i = n \text{ and } j = n-1, \\ \{u, (u^i)^{i-1}, (\bar{u}^i)^{i-1}, \bar{u}^{i-1}\} & i = j+2, \\ \{u, (\bar{u}^j)^i\} & |i-j| > 2, \\ \{u, (u^j)^i, (\bar{u}^j)^i, \bar{u}^i\} & j = i+1 \text{ and } i > 1, \\ \{u, (u)^2\} & i = 1 \text{ and } j = 2, \\ \{u, (\bar{u}^j)^i\} & j = i+2 \text{ and } i > 1, \\ \{u, \bar{u}^2, (\bar{u}^3)^1, (u^1)^3\} & i = 1 \text{ and } j = 3. \end{cases}$$

3 H-structure connectivity and H-substructure connectivity

In this section, we study the H-structure connectivity and H-substructure connectivity of AQ_n for each $H \in \{K_{1,M}, P_L, C_N\}$, where $1 \leq M \leq 6$, $1 \leq L \leq 2n-1$, and $3 \leq N \leq 2n-1$. Let u be an arbitrary vertex in AQ_n. In order to make the representation of our proof more convenient, we introduce a set of tokens $v^{[1]}, v^{[2]}, \ldots, v^{[2n-1]}$, where $v^{[1]}, v^{[2]}, \ldots, v^{[2n-1]}$ and all the adjacent vertices of u: $u^1, u^2, \bar{u}^2, \ldots, u^n, \bar{u}^n$ form a one-to-one correspondence. The correspondence of u^j and $v^{[i]}$ is: (1) if i is even, then $j = \frac{i}{2} + 1$ and $u^j = v^{[i]}$. (2) if i is odd, then $j = \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor + 1$ and $\bar{u}^j = v^{[i]}$. The definition of $(v^{[i]})^l$ and $(\overline{v^{[i]}})^l$ is the same as that of u^l and $\bar{u}^l$. For example, if $u = 000000$ is a vertex of AQ_6, then $u^4 = v^{[6]} = 001000$, $\bar{u}^5 = v^{[9]} = 011111, (v^{[6]})^2 = 001010$ and $(\overline{v^{[9]}})^3 = 011000$. In this paper, we may mix these two notations whenever it is convenient.

3.1 $\kappa(AQ_n, K_{1,M})$ and $\kappa^s(AQ_n, K_{1,M})$

According to the definition of AQ_n, Property 1 and Property 2, if u is an arbitrary vertex of AQ_n and $(u, \bar{u}^i)$ is a complement edge of dimension i $(2 \leq i \leq n-1)$, then $N_{AQ_n}(u) \cap N_{AQ_n}(\bar{u}^i) =$

$\{\bar{u}^{i-1}, u^i, u^{i+1}, \bar{u}^{i+1}\}$. The subgraph induced by $\{\bar{u}^i, \bar{u}^{i-1}, u^i, u^{i+1}, \bar{u}^{i+1}\}$ $(2 \leq i \leq n-1)$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,4}$. If $(u, \bar{u}^n)$ is a complement edge of dimension n, then $N_{AQ_n}(u) \cap N_{AQ_n}(\bar{u}^n) = \{\bar{u}^{n-1}, u^n\}$ and the subgraph induced by $\{\bar{u}^n, \bar{u}^{n-1}, u^n\}$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,2}$. Similarly, if (u, u^i) is a hypercube edge of dimension i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$, then $N_{AQ_n}(u) \cap N_{AQ_n}(u^i) = \{\bar{u}^i, \bar{u}^{i-1}\}(2 \leq i \leq n)$ and $N_{AQ_n}(u) \cap N_{AQ_n}(u^1) = \{u^2, \bar{u}^2\}$. The subgraph induced by $\{u^i, \bar{u}^i, \bar{u}^{i-1}\}(2 \leq i \leq n)$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,2}$.

Here, we will discuss $\kappa(AQ_n, K_{1,M})$ and $\kappa^s(AQ_n, K_{1,M})$ for the cases of $1 \leq M \leq 3$ and $4 \leq M \leq 6$.

3.1.1 $1 \leq M \leq 3$

Lemma 2. *For $n \geq 4$, $\kappa(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) \leq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{1+M} \rceil$ and $\kappa^s(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) \leq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{1+M} \rceil$.*



(a) A $K_{1,1}$-structure-cut in AQ_5



(b) A $K_{1,2}$-structure-cut in AQ_6

Figure 3: A $K_{1,1}$-structure-cut in AQ_5 and a $K_{1,2}$-structure-cut in AQ_6

Proof. Let u be an arbitrary vertex in AQ_n. In the following, we distinguish cases for the values of M and n mod 3 (or 4)

Case 1. $M = 1$. We set

$S_1 = \{\{v^{[(M+1)i+1]}, v^{[(M+1)i+2]}\} \mid 0 \leq i < \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{M+1} \rfloor\}$ and $S_2 = \{\{v^{[2n-1]}, (v^{[2n-1]})^1\}\}$.

Case 2. $M = 2$.

Case 2.1. n mod $3 = 0$. We set

$S_1 = \{\{v^{[(M+1)i+1]}, v^{[(M+1)i+2]}, v^{[(M+1)i+3]}\} \mid 0 \leq i < \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{M+1} \rfloor\}$.

Case 2.2. n mod $3 = 1$. We set

$S_1 = \{\{v^{[(M+1)i+1]}, v^{[(M+1)i+2]}, v^{[(M+1)i+3]}\} \mid 0 \leq i < \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{M+1} \rfloor\}$ and
$S_2 = \{\{v^{[2n-1]}, (v^{[2n-1]})^1, (v^{[2n-1]})^2\}\}$.

Case 2.3. n mod $3 = 2$. We set

$S_1 = \{\{v^{[(M+1)i+1]}, v^{[(M+1)i+2]}, v^{[(M+1)i+3]}\} \mid 0 \leq i < \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{M+1} \rfloor\}$ and
$S_2 = \{\{v^{[2n-2]}, v^{[2n-1]}, (v^{[2n-1]})^1\}\}$.

Case 3. $M = 3$.

Case 3.1. $n \bmod 4 = 1$. We set

$S_1 = \{\{v^{[(M+1)i+1]}, v^{[(M+1)i+2]}, v^{[(M+1)i+3]}, v^{[(M+1)i+4]}\} \mid 0 \leq i < \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{M+1} \rfloor\}$ and
$S_2 = \{\{v^{[2n-1]}, (v^{[2n-1]})^1, (v^{[2n-1]})^2, (v^{[2n-1]})^3\}\}$.

Case 3.2. $n \bmod 4 = 3$. We set

$S_1 = \{\{v^{[(M+1)i+1]}, v^{[(M+1)i+2]}, v^{[(M+1)i+3]}, v^{[(M+1)i+4]}\} \mid 0 \leq i < \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{M+1} \rfloor\}$ and
$S_2 = \{\{v^{[2n-3]}, v^{[2n-2]}, v^{[2n-1]}, (v^{[2n-1]})^1\}\}$.

Suppose that $S = S_1$ when $M = 2$ and $n \bmod 3 = 0$ ($S = S_1 \cup S_2$, otherwise). Clearly, if $M = 1$, the induced subgraph of each element in $S_1 \cup S_2$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,1}$; If $M = 2$, vertex $v^{[(M+1)i+2]}$ is adjacent to vertices $v^{[(M+1)i+1]}$ and $v^{[(M+1)i+3]}$ for $0 \leq i < \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{M+1} \rfloor$, vertex $v^{[2n-1]}$ is adjacent to vertices $v^{[2n-2]}$, $(v^{[2n-1]})^1$ and $(v^{[2n-1]})^2$. Therefore, the subgraph induced by each element in S is isomorphic to $K_{1,2}$; If $M = 3$, vertex $v^{[(M+1)i+3]}$ is adjacent to vertices $v^{[(M+1)i+1]}$, $v^{[(M+1)i+2]}$ and $v^{[(M+1)i+4]}$ for $0 \leq i < \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{M+1} \rfloor$, vertex $v^{[2n-1]}$ is adjacent to vertices $v^{[2n-3]}, v^{[2n-2]}, (v^{[2n-1]})^1$, $(v^{[2n-1]})^2$, and $(v^{[2n-1]})^3$. Thus, the subgraph induced by each element in S is isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$. It is obvious that $|S| = \lceil \frac{2n-1}{1+M} \rceil$. Since $AQ_n - S$ is disconnected and one component of it is $\{u\}$, $\kappa(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) \leq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{1+M} \rceil$ and $\kappa^s(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) \leq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{1+M} \rceil$. Figure 3 shows a $K_{1,1}$-structure-cut in AQ_5 and a $K_{1,2}$-structure-cut in AQ_6. □

Lemma 3. *For $n \geq 4$, $\kappa^s(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) \geq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{1+M} \rceil$.*

Proof. Let F_n^* be a set of connected subgraphs in AQ_n, every element in the set is isomorphic to $K_{1,M}$ with $|F_n^*| \leq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{1+M} \rceil - 1$. Hence $|V(F_n^*)| \leq (1+M) \times (\lceil \frac{2n-1}{1+M} \rceil - 1) < 2n-1$. Since $\kappa(AQ_n) = 2n-1$, $AQ_n - F_n^*$ is connected. The lemma holds. □

Since $\kappa(Q_n, K_{1,M}) \geq \kappa^s(Q_n, K_{1,M})$, $\kappa(Q_n, K_{1,M}) \geq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{1+M} \rceil$. By Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *For $n \geq 4$, $\kappa(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) = \lceil \frac{2n-1}{1+M} \rceil$ and $\kappa^s(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) = \lceil \frac{2n-1}{1+M} \rceil$.*

3.1.2 $4 \leq M \leq 6$

Lemma 4. *For $n \geq 6$, $\kappa(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) \leq \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$ and $\kappa^s(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) \leq \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$.*

Proof. Let u be an arbitrary vertex in AQ_n. In the following, we distinguish cases for the values of M and n.

Case 1. $M = 4$.

Case 1.1. n is odd. We set

$S_1 = \{\{v^{[1]}, v^{[2]}, v^{[3]}, v^{[4]}, v^{[5]}\}\}$ and
$S_2 = \{\{v^{[5+4i+1]}, v^{[5+4i+2]}, v^{[5+4i+3]}, v^{[5+4i+4]}, (v^{[5+4i+2]})^1\} \mid 0 \leq i < \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 1\}$.

Case 1.2. n is even. We set

$S_1 = \{\{v^{[1]}, v^{[2]}, v^{[3]}, v^{[4]}, v^{[5]}\}\}$,
$S_2 = \{\{v^{[5+4i+1]}, v^{[5+4i+2]}, v^{[5+4i+3]}, v^{[5+4i+4]}, (v^{[5+4i+2]})^1\} \mid 0 \leq i < \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 1\}$, and
$S_3 = \{\{v^{[2n-2]}, v^{[2n-1]}, (v^{[2n-1]})^1, (v^{[2n-1]})^2, (v^{[2n-1]})^3\}\}$.

Case 2. $M = 5$.
Case 2.1. n is odd. We set

$S_1 = \{\{v^{[1]}, v^{[2]}, v^{[3]}, v^{[4]}, v^{[5]}, (v^{[3]})^n\}\}$ and
$S_2 = \{\{v^{[5+4i+1]}, v^{[5+4i+2]}, v^{[5+4i+3]}, v^{[5+4i+4]}, (v^{[5+4i+2]})^1, (v^{[5+4i+2]})^2\} \mid 0 \leq i < \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 1\}$.

Case 2.2. n is even. We set

$S_1 = \{\{v^{[1]}, v^{[2]}, v^{[3]}, v^{[4]}, v^{[5]}, (v^{[3]})^n\}\}$,
$S_2 = \{\{v^{[5+4i+1]}, v^{[5+4i+2]}, v^{[5+4i+3]}, v^{[5+4i+4]}, (v^{[5+4i+2]})^1, (v^{[5+4i+2]})^2\} \mid 0 \leq i < \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 1\}$, and
$S_3 = \{\{v^{[2n-2]}, v^{[2n-1]}, (v^{[2n-1]})^1, (v^{[2n-1]})^2, (v^{[2n-1]})^3, (v^{[2n-1]})^4\}\}$.

Case 3. $M = 6$.
Case 3.1. n is odd. We set

$S_1 = \{\{v^{[1]}, v^{[2]}, v^{[3]}, v^{[4]}, v^{[5]}, (v^{[3]})^n, (\overline{v^{[3]}})^n\}\}$ and
$S_2 = \{\{v^{[5+4i+1]}, v^{[5+4i+2]}, v^{[5+4i+3]}, v^{[5+4i+4]}, (v^{[5+4i+2]})^1, (v^{[5+4i+2]})^2, (v^{[5+4i+2]})^3\} \mid 0 \leq i < \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 1\}$.

Case 3.2. n is even. We set

$S_1 = \{\{v^{[1]}, v^{[2]}, v^{[3]}, v^{[4]}, v^{[5]}, (v^{[3]})^n, (\overline{v^{[3]}})^n\}\}$,
$S_2 = \{\{v^{[5+4i+1]}, v^{[5+4i+2]}, v^{[5+4i+3]}, v^{[5+4i+4]}, (v^{[5+4i+2]})^1, (v^{[5+4i+2]})^2, (v^{[5+4i+2]})^3\} \mid 0 \leq i < \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 1\}$, and
$S_3 = \{\{v^{[2n-2]}, v^{[2n-1]}, (v^{[2n-1]})^1, (v^{[2n-1]})^2, (v^{[2n-1]})^3, (v^{[2n-1]})^4, (v^{[2n-1]})^5\}\}$.

Obviously, the subgraph induced by the element in S_1 is isomorphic to $K_{1,M}$. For $0 \leq i < \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 1$, vertex $v^{[5+4i+2]}$ is adjacent to vertices $v^{[5+4i+j]}$ with $j = 1, 3$ or 4 and $(v^{[5+4i+2]})^p$ for $1 \leq p \leq 1 + M - 4$. Thus, the subgraph induced by each element in S_2 is isomorphic to $K_{1,M}$. Vertex $v^{[2n-1]}$ is adjacent to vertices $v^{[2n-2]}$ and $(v^{([2n-1]})^q$ for $1 \leq q \leq 1 + M - (2n - 2 - 4 \times \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor)$. Therefore, the subgraph induced by the element in S_3 is isomorphic to $K_{1,M}$. Suppose that $S = S_1 \cup S_2$ when n is odd($S = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$, otherwise). Note that $|S| = \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$. Since $AQ_n - S$ is disconnected and one component of it is $\{u\}$, $\kappa(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) \leq \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$ and $\kappa^s(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) \leq \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$ with $4 \leq M \leq 6$. Figure 4 shows a $K_{1,5}$-structure-cut of AQ_6. □

Lemma 5. *Let F_n be a $K_{1,M}$-substructure set of AQ_n. If there exists an isolated vertex in $AQ_n - V(F_n)$, then $|F_n| \geq \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$.*

Proof. Let u be an any vertex in AQ_n. We set $W = \{x \mid (x, u)$ is a hypercube edge$\}$ and $Z = \{y \mid (y, u)$ is a complement edge$\}$. Clearly, $|W| = n$ and $|Z| = n-1$. By Property 2 and Property 3, each element in F_n contains at most five distinct vertices in $N(u)$, namely, $\bar{u}^i, \bar{u}^{i-1}, u^i, u^{i+1}$, and $\bar{u}^{i+1}$ with $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. Since $\{(\bar{u}^i, \bar{u}^{i-1}), (\bar{u}^i, u^i), (\bar{u}^i, u^{i+1}), (\bar{u}^i, \bar{u}^{i+1})\} \subseteq E(AQ_n)$, the subgraph induced by $\{\bar{u}^i, \bar{u}^{i-1}, u^i, u^{i+1}, \bar{u}^{i+1}\}$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,4}$. We set $B = \{b_i \mid b_i \in F_n$ and $\{\bar{u}^{i-1}, u^i, \bar{u}^i, u^{i+1}, \bar{u}^{i+1}\} \subseteq V(b_i) \cap N(u)\}$. Since each $V(b_i)$ contains three vertices in Z

and two vertices in W, $|B| < \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{5} \rfloor$. In the following, we distinguish cases for the value of $|B|$.

Case 1. $|B| = 0$. Since each element in F_n contains at most four distinct vertices in $N(u)$, $|F_n| \geq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{4} \rceil$.

Case 2. $|B| = 1$. Suppose that $\{\bar{u}^{i-1}, u^i, \bar{u}^i, u^{i+1}, \bar{u}^{i+1}\} \subseteq V(b_i)$ with $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. Since each element in $F_n - B$ contains at most four distinct vertices in $N(u) - V(B)$, $|F_n| \geq 1 + \lceil \frac{2n-6}{4} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$.

Case 3. $|B| = 2$. Suppose that $\{\bar{u}^{i-1}, u^i, \bar{u}^i, u^{i+1}, \bar{u}^{i+1}\} \subseteq V(b_i), \{\bar{u}^{j-1}, u^j, \bar{u}^j, u^{j+1}, \bar{u}^{j+1}\} \subseteq V(b_j)$ with $2 \leq i, j \leq n-1$, and $|i-j| \geq 3$. Without loss of generality, we set $j > i$.

Case 3.1. $j - i = 3$. Then $\{\bar{u}^{j-1}, u^j, \bar{u}^j, u^{j+1}, \bar{u}^{j+1}\} = \{\bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}, \bar{u}^{i+3}, u^{i+4}, \bar{u}^{i+4}\}$. Suppose that $w_1, w_2 \in N(u) - V(B) - \{u^{i+2}\}$ and $w_1 \neq w_2$. By Properties 4, 5 and 6, $N(u^{i+2}) \cap N(w_1) \cap N(w_2) = \emptyset$. In addition, vertex u^{i+2} is not adjacent to the vertices in $N(u) - V(B)$. Therefore, there is an element $a \in F_n - B$ such that $u^{i+2} \in V(a)$ and $V(a) \cap \{N(u) - V(B)\} \leq 2$. Since each element in $F_n - B - \{a\}$ contains at most four distinct vertices in $N(u) - V(B) - V(a)$, $|F_n| \geq 2 + 1 + \lceil \frac{2n-13}{4} \rceil = \lceil \frac{2n-1}{4} \rceil$.

Case 3.2. $j - i = 4$. Then $\{\bar{u}^{j-1}, u^j, \bar{u}^j, u^{j+1}, \bar{u}^{j+1}\} = \{\bar{u}^{i+3}, u^{i+4}, \bar{u}^{i+4}, u^{i+5}, \bar{u}^{i+5}\}$. In the following, we distinguish cases for the number of elements containing three vertices $u^{i+2}, \bar{u}^{i+2}$ and u^{i+3} in $F_n - B$. We use S to denote the number of elements further deal with the following cases.

Case 3.2.1. $S = 3$. Then there are three distinct elements in $N(u) - V(B)$ that contain one of three vertices $u^{i+2}, \bar{u}^{i+2}$ and u^{i+3}, respectively. Suppose that $w_1, w_2 \in N(u) - V(B) - \{u^{i+2}, \bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\}$ and $w_1 \neq w_2$. By Properties 4, 5 and 6, $N(u^{i+2}) \cap N(w_1) \cap N(w_2) = \emptyset$. In addition, vertex u^{i+2} is not adjacent to the vertices in $N(u) - V(B) - \{\bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\}$. Therefore, there is an element $a_1 \in F_n - B$ such that $u^{i+2} \in V(a_1)$ and $V(a_1) \cap \{N(u) - V(B) - \{\bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\}\} \leq 2$. For the cases of vertices $\bar{u}^{i+2}$ and u^{i+3}, the discussions are similar to that of vertex u^{i+2} and we set $\bar{u}^{i+2} \in a_2$, $u^{i+3} \in a_3$. Since each element in $F_n - B - \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ contains at most four distinct vertices in $N(u) - V(B) - V(a_1) - V(a_2) - V(a_3)$, $|F_n| \geq 2 + 3 + \lceil \frac{2n-17}{4} \rceil = \lceil \frac{2n+3}{4} \rceil$.

Case 3.2.2. $S = 2$. Then there are two distinct elements in $N(u) - V(B)$, one of which contains one vertex in $u^{i+2}, \bar{u}^{i+2}$ and u^{i+3} and another element contains the other two vertices. We assume that a_1 contains one vertex in $u^{i+2}, \bar{u}^{i+2}$ and u^{i+3} and a_2 contains the other two vertices.

Case 3.2.2.1. $u^{i+2} \in V(a_1)$ and $\{\bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\} \subseteq V(a_2)$. Similar to the discussion of Case 3.2.1, we have $V(a_1) \cap \{N(u) - V(B) - \{\bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\}\} \leq 2$. Since $N(\bar{u}^{i+2}) \cap N(u^{i+3}) - \{u, \bar{u}^{i+3}\} = \{(\bar{u}^{i+2})^{i+4}, (u^{i+3})^{i+4}\}$, each element in $\{(\bar{u}^{i+2})^{i+4}, (u^{i+3})^{i+4}\}$ is not adjacent to the vertices in $N(u) - V(B) - \{u^{i+2}, \bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\}$ and each element in $\{\bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\}$ is not adjacent to the vertices in $N(u) - V(B) - \{u^{i+2}\}$, $V(a_2) \cap \{N(u) - V(B) - \{u^{i+2}\}\} = 2$ and $\{\bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\} \subseteq V(a_2)$. Since each element in $F_n - B - a_1 - a_2$ contains at most four distinct vertices in $N(u) - V(B) - V(a_1) - V(a_2)$, $|F_n| \geq 2 + 1 + 1 + \lceil \frac{2n-15}{4} \rceil = \lceil \frac{2n+1}{4} \rceil$. For the case of vertices $u^{i+3} \in V(a_1)$ and $\{\bar{u}^{i+2}\ u^{i+2}\} \subseteq V(a_2)$, the discussion is similar.

Case 3.2.2.2. $\bar{u}^{i+2} \in V(a_1)$ and $\{u^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\} \subseteq V(a_2)$. Similar to the discussion of Case 3.2.1,



Figure 4: A $K_{1,5}$-structure-cut in AQ_6

$V(a_1)\cap\{N(u)-V(B)-\{u^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\}\} \leq 2$. Since $N(u^{i+2})\cap N(u^{i+3})-\{u, \bar{u}^{i+2}\} = \{(u^{i+2})^{i+3}, (\bar{u}^{i+3})^{i+2}\}$, each element in $\{(u^{i+2})^{i+3}, (\bar{u}^{i+3})^{i+2}\}$ is not adjacent to the vertices in $N(u) - V(B) - \{\bar{u}^{i+2}\}$ and $(u^{i+2}, u^{i+3}) \notin E(AQ_n)$, $V(a_2) \cap \{N(u) - V(B) - \{\bar{u}^{i+2}\}\} = 2$ and $\{u^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\} \subseteq V(a_2)$. Since each element in $F_n - B - \{a_1, a_2\}$ contains at most four distinct vertices in $N(u) - V(B) - V(a_1) - V(a_2)$, $|F_n| \geq 2 + 1 + 1 + \lceil \frac{2n-15}{4} \rceil = \lceil \frac{2n+1}{4} \rceil$.

Case 3.2.3. $S = 1$. According to the discussions of Case 3.2.1 and Case 3.2.2, there is an element $a \in F_n - B$ such that $V(a) \cap \{N(u) - V(B)\} = 3$ and $\{u^{i+2}, \bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\} \subseteq V(a)$. Since each element in $F_n - B - \{a\}$ contains at most four distinct vertices in $N(u) - V(B) - V(a)$, $|F_n| \geq 2 + 1 + \lceil \frac{2n-14}{4} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$.

Case 3.3. $j - i \geq 5$. We set $U_{ij} = \{u^{i+2}, \bar{u}^{i+2}, \ldots, u^{j-1}\}$. In the following, we will calculate the number of elements containing U_{ij} in $F_n - B$. Since $5 \leq |U_{ij}| \leq 2n - 11$ and each element contains at most four distinct vertices of $N(u) - V(B)$ in $F_n - B$, we will distinguish cases for the value of $|U_{ij}| \bmod 4$.

Case 3.3.1. $|U_{ij}| \bmod 4 = 1$. Similar to the discussion in Case 3.1, we have $|F_n| \geq 2 + 1 + \lceil \frac{2n-13}{4} \rceil = \lceil \frac{2n-1}{4} \rceil$.

Case 3.3.2. $|U_{ij}| \bmod 4 = 3$. Similar to the discussion in Case 3.2 we have $|F_n| \geq 2 + 1 + \lceil \frac{2n-14}{4} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$.

Case 4. $|B| \geq 3$. If $b_i, b_j \in B$ and there is no $b_k \in B$ with $i < k < j$, we set $U_{ij} = \{u^{i+2}, \bar{u}^{i+2}, \ldots, u^{j-1}\}$. According to the discussion of Case 3, if $|U_{ij}| \bmod 4 = 3$, then the value of F_n will be the smallest. Thus, $|F_n| \geq |B| + (|B| - 1) + \lceil \frac{2n-1-5\times|B|-3\times(|B|-1)}{4} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$.

In summary, the lemma holds. □

Lemma 6. *For $n \geq 6$, $\kappa(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) \geq \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$ and $\kappa^s(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) \geq \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$.*

Proof. We will prove this lemma by contradiction. Let F_n^* be a $K_{1,M}$-substructure set of AQ_n and $|F_n^*| \leq \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil - 1$. If $AQ_n - V(F_n^*)$ is disconnected, then we let R be the smallest component of $AQ_n - V(F_n^*)$. Note that $|V(F_n^*)| \leq (1+M) \times (\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil - 1) \leq 7 \times (\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil - 1)$. By Lemma 1, we have $7 \times (\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil - 1) \leq 4n - 8$ for $n \geq 6$. Hence $|V(R)| = 1$. Furthermore, we assume that vertex $u \in V(R)$. By Lemma 5, $|N(u) \cap V(F_n^*)| \leq 2n-2 < 2n-1$, which means that there exists at least one neighbor of u in $AQ_n - V(F_n^*)$. Therefore, we have $|V(R)| \geq 2$, a contradiction. Thus, $AQ_n - V(F_n^*)$ is connected. The lemma holds. □

Combining Lemma 4, we have $\kappa^s(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) = \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$. Since $\kappa(Q_n, K_{1,M}) \geq \kappa^s(Q_n, K_{1,M})$, $\kappa(Q_n, K_{1,M}) \geq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{1+M} \rceil$. By Lemma 4 and Lemma 6, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *For $n \geq 6$, $\kappa(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) = \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$ and $\kappa^s(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) = \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$.*

3.2 $\kappa(AQ_n, P_L)$ and $\kappa^s(AQ_n, P_L)$

Let u be an arbitrary vertex in AQ_n, according to the definition of AQ_n, $(\bar{u}^{i-1}, u^i) \in E(AQ)$ and $(u^i, \bar{u}^i) \in E(AQ)$ $(2 \leq i \leq n)$. Thus $\langle u^1, u^2, \bar{u}^2, \ldots, u^n, \bar{u}^n \rangle$ can form a path with length of $2n-2$.

Since $P_2(P_3)$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,1}(K_{1,2})$ and we have given $\kappa(AQ_n, K_{1,1})(\kappa(AQ_n, K_{1,2}))$ and $\kappa^s(AQ_n, K_{1,1})(\kappa^s(AQ_n, K_{1,2}))$ in section 3.1, we assume $L \geq 4$ in the following.

Lemma 7. *For $n \geq 3$, $\kappa(AQ_n, P_L) \leq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{L} \rceil$ and $\kappa^s(AQ_n, P_L) \leq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{L} \rceil$.*

Proof. Let u be an arbitrary vertex in AQ_n. We set

$$S_1 = \{\{v^{[i\times L+1)]}, v^{[i\times L+2]}, \ldots, v^{[i\times L+L]}\} \mid 0 \leq i < \lfloor \tfrac{2n-1}{L} \rfloor\}.$$

If $(2n-1) \bmod L = 0$, then we set $S_2 = \emptyset$. Otherwise, according to the values of L and $\lceil \frac{2n-1}{L} \rceil \times L - 2n + 1$, we will divide into the following cases,

Case 1. L is odd and $L \leq 2n-3$.

Case 1.1. $\lceil \frac{2n-1}{L} \rceil \times L - 2n + 1$ is even. We set

$$S_2 = \{\{v^{[\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{L} \rfloor \times L+1]}, \ldots, v^{[2n-1]}, (v^{[2n-1]})^1, (v^{[2n-1]})^2, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^2, (v^{[2n-1]})^3, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^3, \ldots, (v^{[2n-1]})^{\frac{\lceil \frac{2n-1}{L} \rceil \times L - 2n+1}{2}+1}\}\}.$$

Case 1.2. $\lceil \frac{2n-1}{L} \rceil \times L - 2n + 1$ is odd. We set

$$S_2 = \{\{v^{[\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{L} \rfloor \times L+1]}, \ldots, v^{[2n-1]}, (v^{[2n-1]})^1, (v^{[2n-1]})^2, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^2, (v^{[2n-1]})^3, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^3, \ldots, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^{\frac{\lceil \frac{2n-1}{L} \rceil \times L - 2n}{2}+1}\}\}.$$

Case 2. L is even and $L \leq 2n-4$. We set

$$S_2 = \{\{v^{[\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{L} \rfloor \times L+1]}, \ldots, v^{[2n-1]}, (v^{[2n-1]})^1, (v^{[2n-1]})^2, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^2, (v^{[2n-1]})^3, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^3, \ldots, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^{\frac{\lceil \frac{2n-1}{L} \rceil \times L - 2n}{2}+1}\}\}.$$

Case 3. $L = 2n-2$. We set

$S_2 = \{\{v^{[2n-1]}, (v^{[2n-1]})^1, (v^{[2n-1]})^2, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^2, (v^{[2n-1]})^3, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^3, \dots, (v^{[2n-1]})^{n-1}, ((v^{[2n-1]})^{n-1})^1\}\}$.

Suppose that $S = S_1$ when $(2n-1) \bmod L = 0$ ($S = S_1 \cup S_2$, otherwise). Obviously, the subgraph induced by each element in S is isomorphic to P_L and $|S| = \lceil \frac{2n-1}{L} \rceil$. Since $AQ_n - S$ is disconnected and one component of it is $\{u\}$. $\kappa(AQ_n, P_L) \leq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{L} \rceil$ and $\kappa^s(AQ_n, P_L) \leq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{L} \rceil$. □

Lemma 8. *For $n \geq 3$,$\kappa^s(AQ_n, P_L) \geq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{L} \rceil$.*

Proof. Let F_n^* be a set of connected subgraphs in AQ_n, every element in the set is isomorphic to a connected subgraph of P_L and $|F_n^*| \leq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{L} \rceil - 1$. Thus $|V(F_n^*)| \leq L \times (\lceil \frac{2n-1}{L} \rceil - 1) < 2n-1$. Since $\kappa(AQ_n) = 2n-1$, $AQ_n - F_n^*$ is connected. Hence, the lemma holds. □

By Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *For $n \geq 3$, $\kappa(AQ_n, P_L) = \kappa^s(AQ_n, P_L) = \lceil \frac{2n-1}{L} \rceil$.*

3.3 $\kappa(AQ_n, C_N)$ and $\kappa^s(AQ_n, C_N)$

At first, we discuss $\kappa^s(AQ_n, C_N)$. Then we discuss $\kappa(AQ_n, C_N)$.

3.3.1 $\kappa^s(AQ_n, C_N)$ with $3 \leq N \leq 2n-1$

Since P_N is a connected subgraph of C_N, we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 9. *For $n \geq 3$, $\kappa^s(AQ_n, C_N) \leq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{N} \rceil$.*

Lemma 10. *For $n \geq 3$, $\kappa^s(AQ_n, C_N) \geq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{N} \rceil$.*

Proof. Let F_n^* be a set of connected subgraphs in AQ_n, every element in the set is isomorphic to a connected subgraph of C_N with $|F_n^*| \leq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{N} \rceil - 1$. Thus $V(F_n^*) \leq N \times (\lceil \frac{2n-1}{N} \rceil - 1) < 2n-1$. Since $\kappa(AQ_n) = 2n-1$, $AQ_n - F_n^*$ is connected. Hence, the lemma holds. □

By Lemma 9 and Lemma 10, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *For $n \geq 3$, $\kappa^s(AQ_n, C_N) = \lceil \frac{2n-1}{N} \rceil$.*

Now, we discuss $\kappa(AQ_n, C_3)$ and $\kappa(AQ_n, C_N)$ with $4 \leq N \leq 2n-1$.

3.3.2 $\kappa(AQ_n, C_3)$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 11. *For $n \geq 6$, $\kappa(AQ_n, C_3) \leq n - 1$.*

Proof. Let u be an arbitrary vertex in AQ_n. We set

$S_1 = \{\{u^1, u^2, \bar{u}^2\}\}$ and $S_2 = \{\{u^i, \bar{u}^i, (u^i)^{i-1}\} \mid 3 \leq i \leq n\}$.

Obviously, the subgraph induced by the element in S_1 is isomorphic to C_3. For $3 \leq i \leq n$, $\{\{u^i, \bar{u}^i\}, \{\bar{u}^i, (u^i)^{i-1}\}, \{(u^i)^{i-1}, u^i\}\} \subseteq E(AQ_n)$. Thus, the subgraph induced by each element in $S_1 \cup S_2$ is isomorphic to C_3 and $|S_1 \cup S_2| = n - 1$. Since $AQ_n - (S_1 \cup S_2)$ is disconnected and one component of it is $\{u\}$, $\kappa(AQ_n, C_3) \leq n - 1$. $\square$

Lemma 12. *Let F_n be a C_3-structure set of AQ_n. If there exists an isolated vertex in $AQ_n - V(F_n)$, then $|F_n| \geq n - 1$.*

Proof. Let u be an any vertex in AQ_n. We set $W = \{x \mid (x, u)$ is a hypercube edge$\}$ and $Z = \{y \mid (y, u)$ is a complement edge$\}$. Clearly, $|W| = n$ and $|Z| = n - 1$. By Property 1 and Property 2, each element in F_n contains at most three distinct vertices in $N(u)$, namely, $\bar{u}^i, u^{i+1}$ and $\bar{u}^{i+1}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $\{(\bar{u}^i, u^{i+1}), (\bar{u}^i, \bar{u}^{i+1}), (u^{i+1}, \bar{u}^{i+1})\} \subseteq E(AQ_n)$. Thus, the subgraph induced by $\{\bar{u}^i, u^{i+1}, \bar{u}^{i+1}\}$ is isomorphic to C_3. We set $B = \{b_i \mid b_i \in F_n$ and $\{\bar{u}^i, u^{i+1}, \bar{u}^{i+1}\} \subseteq V(b_i) \cap N(u)\}$. Since each $V(b_i)$ contains two vertices in Z and one vertices in W, $|B| < \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{3} \rfloor$. In the following, we distinguish cases for the value of $|B|$.

Case 1. $|B| = 0$. Since each element in F_n contains at most two distinct vertices in $N(u)$, $|F_n| \geq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{2} \rceil$.

Case 2. $|B| = 1$. Suppose that $\{\bar{u}^i, u^{i+1}, \bar{u}^{i+1}\} \subseteq V(b_i)$ with $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Since each element in $F_n - B$ contains at most two distinct vertices in $N(u) - V(B)$, $|F_n| \geq 1 + \lceil \frac{2n-4}{2} \rceil = n - 1$.

Case 3. $|B| = 2$. Suppose that $\{\bar{u}^i, u^{i+1}, \bar{u}^{i+1}\} \subseteq V(b_i), \{\bar{u}^j, u^{j+1}, \bar{u}^{j+1}\} \subseteq V(b_j)$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq n - 1$, and $|i - j| \geq 2$. Without loss of generality, we set $j > i$.

Case 3.1. $j - i = 2$. Then $\{\bar{u}^j, u^{j+1}, \bar{u}^{j+1}\} = \{\bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}, \bar{u}^{i+3}\}$. According to the definition of AQ_n, vertex u^{i+2} is not adjacent to the vertices in $N(u) - V(B)$. As a result, there is an element $a \in F_n - B$ such that $u^{i+2} \in V(a)$ and $V(a) \cap \{N(u) - V(B)\} = 1$. Since the element in $F - B - \{a\}$ contains at most two distinct vertices in $N(u) - V(B) - V(a)$, $|F_n| \geq 2 + 1 + \lceil \frac{2n-8}{2} \rceil = n - 1$.

Case 3.2. $j - i = 3$. Then $\{\bar{u}^j, u^{j+1}, \bar{u}^{j+1}\} = \{\bar{u}^{i+3}, u^{i+4}, \bar{u}^{i+4}\}$. In the following, we distinguish cases for the number of elements containing three vertices $u^{i+2}, \bar{u}^{i+2}$ and u^{i+3} in $F_n - B$. We use S denote the number of elements further deal with the following cases.

Case 3.2.1. $S = 3$. Then there are three distinct elements in $N(u) - V(B)$ that contain one of three vertices $u^{i+2}, \bar{u}^{i+2}$ and u^{i+3}, respectively. By the definition of AQ_n, vertex u^{i+2} is not adjacent to the vertices in $N(u) - V(B) - \{\bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\}$. Then there is an element $a_1 \in F_n - B$

such that $u^{i+2} \in V(a_1)$ and $V(a_1) \cap \{N(u) - V(B) - \{\bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\}\} = 1$. For the cases of vertices $\bar{u}^{i+2}$ and u^{i+3}, the discussions are similar to that of vertex u^{i+2} and we set $\bar{u}^{i+2} \in a_2$, $u^{i+3} \in a_3$. Since each element in $F_n - B - \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ contains at most two distinct vertices in $N(u) - V(B) - V(a_1) - V(a_2) - V(a_3)$, $|F_n| \geq 2 + 3 + \lceil \frac{2n-10}{2} \rceil = n$.

Case 3.2.2. $S = 2$. Then there are two distinct elements in $N(u) - V(B)$, one of which contains one vertex in $u^{i+2}, \bar{u}^{i+2}$ and u^{i+3}, and the other contains the other two vertices. We assume that a_1 contains one vertex in $u^{i+2}, \bar{u}^{i+2}$ and u^{i+3} and a_2 contains the other two vertices.

Case 3.2.2.1. $u^{i+2} \in V(a_1)$ and $\{\bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\} \subseteq V(a_2)$. Similar to the discussion of Case 3.2.1, we have $V(a_1) \cap \{N(u) - V(B) - \{\bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\}\} = 1$. Vertex $\bar{u}^{i+2}$ or u^{i+3} is not adjacent to the vertices in $N(u) - V(B) - \{u^{i+2}\}$ and $\{\bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\} \in E(AQ_n)$. Then $\{\bar{u}^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\} \subseteq a_2$ and $V(a_2) \cap \{N(u) - V(B) - \{u^{i+2}\}\} = 2$. Since the element in $F_n - B - \{a_1, a_2\}$ contains at most two distinct vertices in $N(u) - V(B) - V(a_1) - V(a_2)$, $|F_n| \geq 2 + 1 + 1 + \lceil \frac{2n-10}{2} \rceil = n - 1$. For the case of vertices $u^{i+3} \in V(a_1)$ and $\{\bar{u}^{i+2}\ u^{i+2}\} \subseteq V(a_2)$, the discussion is similar.

Case 3.2.2.2. $\bar{u}^{i+2} \in V(a_1)$ and $\{u^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\} \subseteq V(a_2)$. Since $\{u^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\} \notin E(AQ_n)$, this situation does not exist.

Case 3.2.3. $S = 1$. Since $\{u^{i+2}, u^{i+3}\} \notin E(AQ_n)$, this situation does not exist.

Case 3.3. $j - i \geq 4$. We set $U_{ij} = \{u^{i+2}, \bar{u}^{i+2}, \ldots, u^{j-1}\}$. We will calculate the number of elements containing U_{ij} in $F_n - B$. Clearly, $5 \leq |U| \leq 2n - 7$. Since each element contains at most two distinct vertices of $N(u) - V(B)$ in $F_n - B$ and U mod $2 = 1$, similar to the discussion in Case 3.2.1, we have $|F_n| \geq 2 + 1 + \lceil \frac{2n-8}{2} \rceil = n - 1$.

Case 4. $|B| \geq 3$. If $b_i, b_j \in B$ and there is no $b_k \in B$ with $i < k < j$, we set $U_{ij} = \{u^{i+2}, \bar{u}^{i+2}, \ldots, u^{j-1}\}$. According to the discussion of Case 3, the minimum number of elements that contain all vertices of U_{ij} in $F_n - B$ is $\lceil \frac{|U_{ij}|}{2} \rceil$. Thus, $|F_n| \geq |B| + (|B| - 1) + \lceil \frac{2n-1-3\times|B|-(|B|-1)}{2} \rceil = n - 1$.

In summary, the lemma holds.

□

Lemma 13. *For $n \geq 6$, $\kappa(AQ_n, C_3) \geq n - 1$.*

Proof. We will prove this lemma by contradiction. Let F_n^* be a C_3-structure set of AQ_n and $|F_n^*| \leq n - 2$. If $AQ_n - V(F_n^*)$ is disconnected, then we let R be the smallest component of $AQ_n - V(F_n^*)$. Note that $|V(F_n^*)| \leq 3 \times (n-2) = 3n - 6$. By Lemma 1, we have $3n - 6 \leq 4n - 8$ for $n \geq 6$. Hence $|V(R)| = 1$. Furthermore, we assume that vertex $u \in V(R)$. By Lemma 12, $|N(u) \cap V(F_n^*)| \leq 2n - 2 < 2n - 1$, which means that there exists at least one neighbor of u in $AQ_n - V(F_n^*)$. Therefore, we have $|V(R)| \geq 2$, a contradiction. Thus, $AQ_n - V(F_n^*)$ is connected. The lemma holds. □

By Lemma 11 and Lemma 13, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 7. *For $n \geq 6$, $\kappa(AQ_n, C_3) = n - 1$.*

3.3.3 $\kappa(AQ_n, C_N)$ with $4 \le N \le 2n-1$

Lemma 14. *For $n \ge 6$, $\kappa(AQ_n, C_N) \le \lceil \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rceil$.*

Proof. Let u be an arbitrary vertex in AQ_n. According to the parity of N, we will discuss the following two cases.

Case 1. N is odd. We set

$$S_1 = \{\{v^{[(N-1)i+1]}, v^{[(N-1)i+2]}, \ldots, v^{[(i+1)(N-1)]}, (\overline{v^{[(i+1)(N-1)]}})^{\lfloor \frac{(N-1)i+1}{2} \rfloor + 1}\} \mid 0 \le i < \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor\}.$$

Case 1.1. $(2n-1) \bmod (N-1) = 1$.

Case 1.1.1. $N \le 2n-3$. We set

$$S_2 = \{\{v^{[2n-1]}, (v^{[2n-1]})^1, (v^{[2n-1]})^2, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^2, \ldots, (v^{[2n-1]})^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor}, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor}, (v^{[2n-1]})^{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil}\}\}.$$

Case 1.1.2. $N = 2n-1$. We set

$$S_2 = \{\{v^{[2n-1]}, (v^{[2n-1]})^1, (v^{[2n-1]})^2, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^2, \ldots, (v^{[2n-1]})^{n-3}, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^{n-3}, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^{n-2},$$
$$((\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^{n-2})^{n-4}, (\overline{(\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^{n-2}})^{n-4}, ((\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^{n-2})^{n-3}, (\overline{(\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^{n-2}})^{n-3}\}\}.$$

Case 1.2. $(2n-1) \bmod (N-1) = 3$. We set

$$S_2 = \{\{v^{[2n-1]}, v^{[2n-3]}, v^{[2n-2]}, (v^{[2n-2]})^{n-1-\frac{N-3}{2}}, (\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{n-1-\frac{N-3}{2}}, (v^{[2n-2]})^{n-\frac{N-3}{2}}, \ldots, (v^{[2n-2]})^{n-2},$$
$$(\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{n-2}\}\}.$$

Case 1.3. $(2n-1) \bmod (N-1) > 3$. We set

$$S_2 = \{\{v^{[\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1]}, v^{[\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+2]}, \ldots, v^{[2n-3]}, v^{[2n-1]}, v^{[2n-2]},$$
$$(v^{[2n-2]})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor + 2 - \frac{N-(2n-1-\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1))}{2}}, (\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor + 2 - \frac{N-(2n-1-\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1))}{2}},$$
$$(v^{[2n-2]})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor + 3 - \frac{N-(2n-1-\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1))}{2}}, (\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor + 3 - \frac{N-(2n-1-\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1))}{2}},$$
$$\ldots, (\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor + 1}\}\}.$$

Case 2. N is even. We set

$$S_1 = \begin{cases} \{\{v^{[3i+1]}, v^{[3i+3]}, v^{[3i+2]}, (v^{[3i+1]})^{\lfloor \frac{3i+1}{2} \rfloor + 3}\} \mid 0 \le i < \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor \text{ and } i \bmod 2 = 0 \text{ and } N = 4\}, \\ \{\{v^{[(N-1)i+1]}, v^{[(N-1)i+2]}, \ldots, v^{[(i+1)(N-1)]}, (\overline{v^{[(i+1)(N-1)]}})^{\lfloor \frac{(N-1)i+1}{2} \rfloor + 1}\} \mid 0 \le i < \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor \text{ and} \\ i \bmod 2 = 0 \text{ and } N \ne 4\}. \end{cases}$$

$$S_2 = \{\{v^{[(N-1)i+1]}, v^{[(N-1)i+2]}, \ldots, v^{[(i+1)(N-1)]}, (v^{[(i+1)(N-1)]})^{\lfloor \frac{(N-1)i+1}{2} \rfloor + 1}\} \mid 0 \le i < \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor \text{ and } i \bmod 2 = 1\}.$$

If $(2n-1) \bmod (N-1) = 0$, then $S_3 = \emptyset$; otherwise, we will discuss the following several cases,

Case 2.1. $(2n-1) \bmod (N-1) = 1$. We set

$$S_3 = \{\{v^{[2n-1]}, (v^{[2n-1]})^1, (v^{[2n-1]})^2, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^2, (v^{[2n-1]})^3, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^3, \ldots, (v^{[2n-1]})^{\frac{N}{2}}, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^{\frac{N}{2}}\}\}.$$

Case 2.2. $(2n-1) \bmod (N-1) = 2$.

Case 2.2.1. $N < n$. We set

$$S_3 = \{\{v^{[2n-1]}, v^{[2n-2]}, (v^{[2n-2]})^{n-1}, ((v^{[2n-2]})^{n-1})^{n-2}, \ldots, (((v^{[2n-2]})^{n-1}) \ldots)^{n-(N-2)}\}\}.$$

Case 2.2.2. $N = 2n-2$. We set

$$S_3 = \{\{v^{[2n-1]}, v^{[2n-2]}, (v^{[2n-2]})^1, (v^{[2n-2]})^2, (\overline{(v^{[2n-2]}})^2, (v^{[2n-2]})^3, (\overline{(v^{[2n-2]}})^3, \ldots, (v^{[2n-2]})^{n-2}, (\overline{(v^{[2n-2]}})^{n-2}, (v^{[2n-2]})^{n-1}\}\}.$$

Case 2.3. $(2n-1) \bmod (N-1) = 3$. We set

$$S_3 = \{\{v^{[2n-2)]}, v^{[2n-3]}, v^{[2n-1]}, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^{2+n-N}, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^{3+n-N}, \ldots, (\overline{v^{[2n-1]}})^{n-2}\}\}.$$

Case 2.4. $(2n-1) \bmod (N-1) = 4$. We set

Case 2.4.1. $N < n$.

$$S_3 = \{\{v^{[2n-4]}, v^{[2n-3]}, v^{[2n-1]}, v^{[2n-2]}, (v^{[2n-2)]})^{4+n-N}, (v^{[2n-2]})^{5+n-N}, \ldots, (v^{[2n-2]})^{n-1}\}\}.$$

Case 2.4.2. $N = 2n-4$. We set

$$S_3 = \{\{v^{[2n-4]}, v^{[2n-3]}, v^{[2n-1]}, v^{[2n-2]}, (\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^3, (v^{[2n-2]})^4, (\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^4, (v^{[2n-2]})^5, (\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^5, \ldots, (\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{n-2}, (v^{[2n-2]})^{n-1}\}\}.$$

Case 2.5. $(2n-1) \bmod (N-1)$ is odd(except 1 and 3). We set

$$S_3 = \{\{v^{[\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1]}, v^{[\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+2]}, \ldots, v^{[2n-3]}, v^{[2n-1]}, v^{[2n-2]}, (\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor - N + 2n - \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}, (\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor - N + 2n - \lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+2}, \ldots, (\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor + 1}\}\}.$$

Case 2.6. $(2n-1) \bmod (N-1)$ is even(except 0, 2 and 4).

Case 2.6.1. $N < n$. We set

$S_3 = \{\{v^{[\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1]}, v^{[\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+2]}, \ldots, v^{[2n-3]}, v^{[2n-1]}, v^{[2n-2]},$
$(\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor +1}, (\overline{(\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor +1}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor -N+2n-\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1},$
$(\overline{(\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor +1}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor -N+2n-\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+2}, \ldots,$
$(\overline{(\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor +1}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor}\}\}.$

Case 2.6.2. $n \leq N \leq 2n-6$.

Case 2.6.2.1. $n = N-1$. We set

$S_3 = \{\{v^{[\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1]}, v^{[\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+2]}, \ldots, v^{[2n-3]}, v^{[2n-1]}, v^{[2n-2]}, (\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor +1},$
$(v^{[2n-2]})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor +1}\}\}.$

Case 2.6.2.2. $n \neq N-1$. We set

$S_3 = \{\{v^{[\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1]}, v^{[\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+2]}, \ldots, v^{[2n-3]}, v^{[2n-1]}, v^{[2n-2]},$
$(\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor +1},$
$((\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor +1})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor -N+n+1}, (\overline{(\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor +1}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor -N+n+1},$
$((\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor +1})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor -N+n+2}, (\overline{(\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor +1}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor -N+n+2},$
$\ldots, ((\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor +1})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor -1}, (\overline{(\overline{v^{[2n-2]}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor +1}})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor -1},$
$(v^{[2n-2]})^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rfloor (N-1)+1}{2} \rfloor +1}\}\}.$

We set $S = S_1 \cup S_2$ when N is odd or $(2n-1) \bmod (N-1) = 0$ ($S = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$, otherwise). According to the definition of AQ_n, the subgraph induced by each element in S is isomorphic to C_N and $|S| = \lceil \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rceil$. Since $AQ_n - S$ is disconnected and one component of it is $\{u\}$, $\kappa(AQ_n, C_N) \leq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rceil$. Figure 5 shows a C_5-structure-cut in AQ_6. $\square$

Lemma 15. *Let F_n be a C_N-structure set of AQ_n. If there exists an isolated vertex in $AQ_n - V(F_n)$, then $|F_n| \geq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rceil$.*

Proof. Let u be an any vertex in AQ_n. According to the definition of AQ_n, Property 1 and Property 2, any N neighbors of u cannot form a C_N and each element in F contains at most $N-1$ distinct vertices in $N(u)$. Thus, $|F_n| \geq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rceil$. $\square$

Lemma 16. *For $n \geq 5$, $\kappa(AQ_n, C_N) \geq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rceil$.*

Proof. We will prove this lemma by contradiction. Let F_n^* be a C_N-structure set of AQ_n and $|F_n|^* \leq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rceil - 1$. If $AQ_n - V(F_n^*)$ is disconnected, then we let R be the smallest component of $AQ_n - V(F_n^*)$. Not that $|V(F_n^*)| \leq N \times (\lceil \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rceil - 1)$. By Lemma 1, we have $N \times (\lceil \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rceil - 1) \leq 4n-8$ for $n \geq 6$. Hence $|V(R)| = 1$. Furthermore, we assume that vertex $u \in V(R)$. By Lemma 15,



Figure 5: A C_5-structure-cut in AQ_6

$|N(u) \cap V(F_n^*)| \leq 2n-2 < 2n-1$, which means that there exists at least one neighbor of u in $AQ_n - V(F_n^*)$. Therefore, we have $|V(R)| \geq 2$, a contradiction. Thus, $AQ_n - V(F_n^*)$ is connected. The lemma holds.

□

By Lemma 14 and Lemma 16, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 8. *For $n \geq 5$, $\kappa(AQ_n, C_N) = \lceil \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rceil$.*

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we study the H-structure and H-substructure connectivity of augmented cube. The results are summarized as follows.

$$\kappa(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) = \kappa^s(AQ_n, K_{1,M}) = \begin{cases} 2n-1 & K_{1,M} = K_1, \\ \lceil \frac{2n-1}{1+M} \rceil & 1 \leq M \leq 3, \\ \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil & 4 \leq M \leq 6, \end{cases}$$

$$\kappa(AQ_n, P_L) = \kappa^s(AQ_n, P_L) = \lceil \frac{2n-1}{L} \rceil \qquad 1 \leq L \leq 2n-1,$$

$$\kappa^s(AQ_n, C_N) = \lceil \frac{2n-1}{N} \rceil \qquad 3 \leq N \leq 2n-1,$$

$$\kappa(AQ_n, C_N) = \begin{cases} n-1 & N = 3, \\ \lceil \frac{2n-1}{N-1} \rceil & 4 \leq N \leq 2n-1. \end{cases}$$

We study $K_{1,M}$ when M is small ($1 \leq M \leq 6$) in this paper. In the case of ensuring reliable communication, the number of faulty nodes that can be tolerated in augmented cube is almost twice the traditional connectivity under ideal conditions. Then we will focus on $m \geq 7$, which will make the evaluation of fault tolerant ability of extended cubes more accurate.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 61572337, and No. 61602333) and the Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China (No. 18KJA520009).

References

[1] Harary F. (1983) Conditional connectivity. *Networks.*, 13, 347-357.

[2] Lin, C.-K., Zhang, L., Fan, J. and Wang, D. (2016) Structure connectivity and substructure connectivity of hypercube. *Theoretical Computer Science.*, 634, 97-107.

[3] Choudum, S, A. and Sunitha, V. (2002) Augmented Cubes. *Networks.*, 40, 71-84.

[4] Latifi, S., Hegde, M. and Naraghi-Pour, M. (1994) Conditional connectivity measures for large multiprocessor systems. *IEEE Transactions on Computers.*, 43, 218-222.

[5] Yang, W. and Meng, J. (2009) Extraconnectivity of hypercubes. *Applied Mathematics Letters.*, 22, 887-891.

[6] Hsieh, S, Y. and Chang, Y, H. (2012) Extraconnectivity of k-ary n-cube networks. *Theoretical Computer Science.*, 443, 63-69

[7] Yu, X., Huang, X. and Zhang, Z. (2013) A kind of conditional connectivity of Cayley graphs generated by unicyclic graphs. *Information Sciences.*, 243, 86-94.

[8] Chen, Y, C. and Tan, J, J, M. (2007) Restricted connectivity for three families of interconnection networks. *Applied Mathematics and Computation.*, 188, 1848-1855.

[9] Ning,W. (2017) The h-connectivity of exchanged crossed cube. *Theoretical Computer Science.*, 696, 65-68.

[10] Wan, M. and Zhang, Z. (2009) A kind of conditional vertex connectivity of star graphs. *Applied Mathematics Letters.*, 22, 264-267.

[11] Lin, L., Xu, L., Zhou, S. and Hsieh, S, Y. (2015) The extra, restricted connectivity and conditional diagnosability of split-star networks. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems.*, 27, 533-545.

[12] Fàbrega, J. and Fiol, M, A. (1996) On the extraconnectivity of graphs. *Discrete Mathematics.*, 155, 49-57.

[13] Yang, W. and Lin, H. (2013) Reliability evaluation of BC networks in terms of the extra vertex-and edge-connectivity. ÎEEE transactions on computers., 63, 2540-2548.

[14] Sabir, E. and Meng, J. (2018) Structure fault tolerance of hypercubes and folded hypercubes. *Theoretical Computer Science.*, 711, 44-55.

[15] Zhang, M., Meng, J., Yang, W. and Tian, Y. (2014) Reliability analysis of bijective connection networks in terms of the extra edge-connectivity. *Information Sciences.*, 279, 374-382.

[16] Lv, Y., Fan, J., Hsu, D, F. and Lin, C.-K. (2018) Structure connectivity and substructure connectivity of k-ary n-cube networks. *Information Sciences.*, 433, 115-124.

[17] Lü, H. and Wu, T. (2018) Structure and substructure connectivity of balanced hypercubes.

[18] Li, D., Hu, X. and Liu, H. (2019) Structure connectivity and substructure connectivity of twisted hypercubes. *Theoretical Computer Science.*.

[19] Wang, S. and Ma, X. (2018) The g-extra connectivity and diagnosability of crossed cubes. *Applied Mathematics and Computation.*, 336, 60-66.

[20] Boesch, F, T. (1986) Synthesis of reliable networks: a survey. *IEEE Transactions on Reliability.*, 35, 240-246.

[21] Wang, S. and Wang, M. (2018) The strong connectivity of bubble-sort star graphs. *The Computer Journal.*, 62, 715-729.

[22] Boesch, F. and Tindell, R. (1984) Circulants and their connectivities. *Journal of Graph Theory.*, 8, 487-499.

[23] Ma, M. and Liu, G. and Xu, J, M. (2008) The super connectivity of augmented cubes. *Information Processing Letters.*, 106, 59-63.

[24] Wang, G., Lin, C,-K., Cheng, B., Fan, J. and Fan, W. (2019) Structure Fault-Tolerance of the Generalized Hypercube. *The Computer Journal.*.

[25] Hsu, H, C., Chiang, L, C., Tan, J, J, M. and Hsu, L, H. (2005) Fault hamiltonicity of augmented cubes. *Parallel Computing.*, 31, 131-145.

[26] Ma, M., Liu, G. and Xu, J, M. (2007) Panconnectivity and edge-fault-tolerant pancyclicity of augmented cubes. *Parallel Computing*, 33, 36-42.