# DESIGNING MENTAL HEALTH TECHNOLOGIES THAT SUPPORT THE SOCIAL ECOSYSTEM OF COLLEGE STUDENTS

CHI 2020 Paper CHI 2020, April 25–30, 2020, Honolulu, HI, USA



01. Introduction	05. Conclusion
02. Methodology	06. Strengths of Paper
03. Key Findings	07. Weaknesses of Paper
04. Design Implications	08. Possible Future Work

#### Introduction

#### Limitation 1

Mental health problems rising among college students

#### Limitation 2

College counseling centers struggling to meet demand

#### Limitation 3

Digital mental health tools can expand reach of services

#### Method

Conducted co-design workshops and interviews with students and staff

3

19 participants in individual interviews

30 participants in co-design workshops

4

Activities focused on identifying needs/preferences for digital mental health tools

## Key Findings

Rich social ecosystem and peer influence in college life

Desired digital tools to connect with known and unknown peers

Known peers influence concepts of mental health and help-seeking

4

Non-peers like faculty also play supporting roles

#### Design Implications

Address lack of alone time and need for privacy

Link tools to in-person activities and campus resources

Enable student-to-student mental health communication

4

Emphasize general well-being over mental health branding

#### Conclusion

Social roles and peer influence essential in college mental health

Must account for this context in designing and implementing digital tools

Opportunities to improve acceptability and effectiveness of tools

## Strengths of Paper

- The study provided valuable insights into the social context of college student mental health and the role of peer influence in shaping attitudes towards mental health and help-seeking.
- The research paper identifies opportunities to improve the acceptability and effectiveness of digital mental health tools for college students, which can inform the design and implementation of future tools.
- The study used a mixed-methods approach, including interviews, focus groups, and design activities, to gather data from multiple perspectives and provide a comprehensive understanding of the needs and preferences of college students and counselling centre staff.

## Weakness of Paper

- The study was conducted at a single university, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other contexts.
- The study did not include a quantitative data analysis, which may limit the ability to draw statistically significant conclusions.
- The study did not include a longitudinal follow-up to assess the effectiveness of the digital mental health tools developed based on the findings.

#### Possible Future Work

Perspective of students from rural areas

Solving problems for different stakeholders:

Longitudinal follow-up:

## MORE OBSERVATIONS

# THANK YOU