

CS771- Assignment 2

Shubham Jain - 13683

1)

Standard formulation

Batch number 0 as the test data the accuracy is: 0.8454

Batch number 1 as the test data the accuracy is: 0.8687

Batch number 2 as the test data the accuracy is: 0.8618

Batch number 3 as the test data the accuracy is: 0.8636

Batch number 4 as the test data the accuracy is: 0.8653

Hinge Loss

Batch number 0 as the test data the accuracy is: 0.9674

Batch number 1 as the test data the accuracy is: 0.9983

Batch number 2 as the test data the accuracy is: 0.9845

Batch number 3 as the test data the accuracy is: 0.9896

Batch number 4 as the test data the accuracy is: 0.9827

Standard formulation Average: 0.8609

Hinge Loss Average: 0.9845

2)

One versers One

Batch number 0 Accuracy: 0.76412

Batch number 1 Accuracy: 0.81807

Batch number 2 Accuracy: 0.71904

Batch number 3 Accuracy: 0.77078

Batch number 4 Accuracy: 0.67296

One versers Rest

Batch number 0 Accuracy: 0.76101

Batch number 1 Accuracy: 0.80490

Batch number 2 Accuracy: 0.71512

Batch number 3 Accuracy: 0.76804

Batch number 4 Accuracy: 0.65349

One versers One Average: 0.7489

One versers Rest Average: 0.7405

Assignment - 2, Shubham Sain 13683.

Q3) (a) K_1 is a kernel on X

$$K(x, z) = e^{K_1(x, z)}$$

$$= 1 + K_1(x, z) + K_1^2(x, z) + K_1^3(x, z) + \dots$$

Now, $K_1(x, z)$ is a kernel

$1 + K_1(x, z)$ is a kernel

$K_1^2(x, z)$ is a kernel

$\Rightarrow 1 + K_1(x, z) + K_1^2(x, z)$ is a kernel

similarly can be shown that $e^{K_1(x, z)}$ is a kernel.

(b) $K(x, z) = e^{(\|x\|^2 + \|z\|^2)} \cdot \left(\frac{x^T z}{\|x\| \|z\|} \right)$

Let $K_2 = e^{\|x\|^2 + \|z\|^2} \Rightarrow e^{\|x\|^2} \cdot e^{\|z\|^2}$

$\Rightarrow f(x) \cdot f(z)$

$\Rightarrow K_2$ is a kernel. (taking $f(x)$ & $f(z)$ common is the way)

Now, $K_3 = \frac{x^T z}{\|x\|^2 \|z\|^2}$

Gram matrix \Rightarrow

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1^T x_1}{\|x_1\|^2 \|x_1\|^2} & \frac{x_1^T x_2}{\|x_1\|^2 \|x_2\|^2} \\ \frac{x_2^T x_1}{\|x_2\|^2 \|x_1\|^2} & \frac{x_2^T x_2}{\|x_2\|^2 \|x_2\|^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\|x_1\|^2} & & \\ & \frac{1}{\|x_2\|^2} & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \frac{1}{\|x_n\|^2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1^T x_1}{\|x_1\|^2} & \frac{x_2^T x_1}{\|x_2\|^2} & \dots \\ \frac{x_1^T x_1}{\|x_1\|^2} & & \\ \vdots & & \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\|x_1\|^2} & & \\ & \frac{1}{\|x_2\|^2} & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \frac{1}{\|x_n\|^2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1^T x_1 & x_2^T x_1 & \dots \\ x_1^T x_1 & & \\ \vdots & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\|x_1\|^2} & & \\ & \frac{1}{\|x_2\|^2} & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \frac{1}{\|x_n\|^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

K'

$$\Rightarrow W^T K_3 W \Rightarrow \left(W^T \text{diag} \left(\frac{1}{\|x_1\|^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{\|x_n\|^2} \right) \right)^T K' \left(W^T \text{diag} \left(\frac{1}{\|x_1\|^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{\|x_n\|^2} \right) \right)^T$$

$\Rightarrow Z^T K' Z$

Now since inner product are kernels

$\Rightarrow K_3$ is a kernel

Then $K_2 \times K_3$ is also a kernel.

$$(c) = K(x, z) = \sum_{i=1}^d \min(|x_i|, |z_i|) \text{ is a kernel}$$

Let x_1 & x_2 be two points, we will show this a kernel by giving a ϕ function. Let D be the value that can normalize all x_i so that $D x_i$ is an integer. we will just convert this ~~x_i to $D x_i$~~ $D x_i$ to $D x_i$ binary vector

which is just $\frac{1}{D} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & \end{bmatrix}$

Also we take the absolute value of $x_{pk} \forall k$

$$\frac{1}{D} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

$\frac{1}{D} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & & \end{bmatrix}$

Now, $\phi(x_1) \cdot \phi(x_2) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{D} \times \sum_k \text{time minimum number of ones in } |x_{1k}|, |x_{2k}|$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{D} \sum_k \min(D|x_{1k}|, D|x_{2k}|)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{D} \sum_k \min(|x_{1k}|, |x_{2k}|)$$

hence we can see that this ϕ function serves for the kernel.
hence K is a kernel for rationals.

Q4)

$$\min_{w, \xi} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2$$

subject to $y_i - w^T x_i = \xi_i, \forall i = 1, \dots, n$
 $\|w\|_2 \leq B$

Let us take $\|w\|_2^2 \leq B^2$ to make thing easier

$$L'(\xi, w, \alpha, B) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 + \alpha (\|w\|_2^2 - B^2) + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j (y_j - w^T x_j - \xi_j)$$

For dual problem:- $\frac{\partial L'}{\partial \xi_i} = 0 \quad \forall i, \quad \frac{\partial L'}{\partial w} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\xi_i &= \beta_i = 0 \\ \beta_i &= 2\xi_i \end{aligned}$$

$$2\alpha w - \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j x_j = 0$$

$$w = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^n B_j x_j$$

then dual problem becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{B_i}{2}\right)^2 + \alpha \left(\frac{1}{4\alpha^2} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n B_j x_j \right\rangle - B^2 \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j \left(y_j - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x_i^T x_j - \frac{B_j}{2} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^2 + \frac{1}{4\alpha} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n B_j x_j \right\rangle - \frac{B^2}{2\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j y_j - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^n B_j^2$$

$$\max_{\alpha, B} \quad -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^2 - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n B_j x_j, \sum_{j=1}^n B_j x_j \right\rangle - \alpha B^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j y_j$$

$$\Rightarrow \min_{\alpha, B} \quad \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^2 + \frac{1}{4\alpha} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n B_j x_j, \sum_{j=1}^n B_j x_j \right\rangle + \alpha B^2 - \sum_{j=1}^n B_j y_j$$

Now, KKT conditions.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial B_j} \Big|_{B_j^*, \alpha^*, \omega^*, x^*, B^*} = 0$$

$$y_j - \omega^{*T} x_j - \xi_j^* = 0 \quad \forall j$$

$$\alpha^* \geq 0 \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha} \Big|_{\alpha^*, B^*} = 0$$

$$\alpha^* \left(\frac{1}{4\alpha^{*2}} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n B_j^* x_j, \sum_{j=1}^n B_j^* x_j \right\rangle - B^2 \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha^* = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha^* = \frac{1}{2B} \sqrt{\langle \xi_{B_i} x_i, \xi_{B_i} x_i \rangle}$$

$$(b) \quad \xi_i^* = y_i - w^{T*} x_i$$

Now, it is possible that $\xi_i^* \neq 0$ for all i 's & hence no x_i 's lie on the margin plane in that case there is no concept of support but it is possible for some x_i 's that ξ_i are zero & those can still be called as supports. Hence, it is possible to have support vector which are rare & in general there may be no support vectors.

(c) The basic disadvantage as compared to SVM is the sparsity that is lacking here. That it means it that in SVM the α_i 's were zero & hence that made it less computational expensive but here B_i 's are mostly non zero leading to high computation cost.