

Spring Framework: Dependency Injection & IoC - Complete Notes

Table of Contents

1. [Dependency Injection \(DI\)](#)
 2. [Inversion of Control \(IoC\)](#)
 3. [Configuration Approaches](#)
 4. [Types of Injection](#)
-

1. Dependency Injection (DI)

What is Dependency Injection?

First Principle: A dependency is something an object needs to function. Injection means providing that dependency from outside rather than creating it inside.

Think of it like this: If you're making coffee, the coffee maker is dependent on electricity. You don't generate electricity inside the coffee maker - it gets injected from outside through a power cord.

Without Dependency Injection (The Problem)

```
java

// Tight coupling - BAD
public class Car {
    private Engine engine;

    public Car() {
        this.engine = new PetrolEngine(); // Car creates its own engine
    }

    public void start() {
        engine.ignite();
    }
}
```

Line-by-Line Explanation:

- `public class Car {` - We define a Car class
- `private Engine engine;` - Car needs an Engine to function (this is the dependency)
- `public Car() {` - The constructor is called when creating a new Car
- `this.engine = new PetrolEngine();` - **HERE'S THE PROBLEM:** The Car class itself creates a PetrolEngine object using the `new` keyword. This creates tight coupling.

Why is this bad?

1. **Inflexible:** Car is hardcoded to use only PetrolEngine. What if you want an ElectricEngine? You must change the Car class code.
2. **Hard to Test:** You cannot test Car with a fake/mock engine. You're stuck with PetrolEngine.
3. **Tight Coupling:** Car knows too much about Engine's implementation. If PetrolEngine's constructor changes (e.g., needs fuel type parameter), you must change Car.
4. **Violates Single Responsibility:** Car is responsible for both its own behavior AND creating its Engine.

Real-World Analogy: It's like your phone manufacturing its own battery internally. If the battery dies, you can't replace it. If you want a better battery, you must redesign the entire phone.

With Dependency Injection (The Solution)

```
java
```

```

// Loose coupling - GOOD
public class Car {
    private Engine engine;

    // Dependency is injected from outside
    public Car(Engine engine) {
        this.engine = engine;
    }

    public void start() {
        engine.ignite();
    }
}

// Interface
interface Engine {
    void ignite();
}

// Implementations
class PetrolEngine implements Engine {
    public void ignite() {
        System.out.println("Petrol engine started");
    }
}

class ElectricEngine implements Engine {
    public void ignite() {
        System.out.println("Electric engine started");
    }
}

// Usage
Engine petrolEngine = new PetrolEngine();
Car car1 = new Car(petrolEngine); // Inject petrol engine

Engine electricEngine = new ElectricEngine();
Car car2 = new Car(electricEngine); // Inject electric engine

```

Detailed Line-by-Line Explanation:

Car Class:

```
java
```

```
public class Car {  
    private Engine engine;
```

- `(private Engine engine;)` - Car still has an Engine dependency, but notice it's the interface type `Engine`, not a concrete class. This is **dependency on abstraction**, not implementation.

```
java
```

```
public Car(Engine engine) {  
    this.engine = engine;  
}
```

- `(public Car(Engine engine))` - The constructor now accepts an Engine as a parameter. Car doesn't create the Engine - someone else provides it.
- `(this.engine = engine;)` - We simply store the provided engine. Car doesn't care if it's Petrol, Electric, or Nuclear - as long as it implements Engine interface.

Why is this better?

The Car class is now:

- **Flexible**: Works with any Engine implementation
- **Testable**: You can inject a MockEngine for testing
- **Loosely Coupled**: Car knows nothing about PetrolEngine or ElectricEngine specifics
- **Single Responsibility**: Car only handles car behavior, not engine creation

Engine Interface:

```
java
```

```
interface Engine {  
    void ignite();  
}
```

- `(interface Engine)` - This defines a contract. Any class implementing Engine MUST provide an `(ignite())` method.

- `(void ignite();)` - This is an abstract method (no implementation). It says "every engine must be able to ignite, but HOW it ignites is up to the specific engine type."

Why do we need this interface?

Because Car needs to work with multiple engine types. The interface provides:

1. **Polymorphism**: Car can accept any Engine implementation
 2. **Abstraction**: Car doesn't need to know implementation details
 3. **Contract**: Guarantees that whatever engine we inject will have an `(ignite())` method
-

PetrolEngine Implementation:

```
java

class PetrolEngine implements Engine {
    public void ignite() {
        System.out.println("Petrol engine started");
    }
}
```

- `(implements Engine)` - This tells Java that PetrolEngine is a type of Engine and must implement all interface methods
 - `(public void ignite()` - Here we provide the ACTUAL implementation. A petrol engine ignites by combustion (simulated by printing a message)
-

ElectricEngine Implementation:

```
java

class ElectricEngine implements Engine {
    public void ignite() {
        System.out.println("Electric engine started");
    }
}
```

- Same structure as PetrolEngine, but different implementation

- This demonstrates the power of interfaces: both engines implement the same contract but behave differently
-

Usage (Dependency Injection in Action):

```
java  
  
Engine petrolEngine = new PetrolEngine();  
Car car1 = new Car(petrolEngine);
```

- `new PetrolEngine()` - We create the engine object OUTSIDE the Car class
- `new Car(petrolEngine)` - We **inject** the engine into the Car through its constructor
- The Car receives the dependency from outside rather than creating it

```
java  
  
Engine electricEngine = new ElectricEngine();  
Car car2 = new Car(electricEngine);
```

- Same Car class, different engine! No changes needed to Car.
- This is the beauty of DI: we can switch implementations without modifying the dependent class.

Real-World Analogy: Your phone has a removable battery. The phone (Car) doesn't create the battery (Engine) - you insert it from outside. Want a better battery? Just swap it. The phone doesn't need to change.

2. Inversion of Control (IoC)

What is IoC?

First Principle: Instead of your code controlling the flow and creating objects, you hand over that control to a framework (Spring Container).

Traditional programming: **You are the boss.** You create objects, manage their lifecycle, call methods.

IoC: **The framework is the boss.** You tell it what you need, and it manages everything.

Traditional Control Flow (Without IoC)

```
java

// You control everything
public class Application {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        // You create objects
        Engine engine = new PetrolEngine();
        Car car = new Car(engine);

        // You control the flow
        car.start();
    }
}
```

Line-by-Line Explanation:

```
java

public static void main(String[] args) {
```

- This is the entry point of our Java application. Execution starts here.

```
java

Engine engine = new PetrolEngine();
```

- **YOU** decide to create a PetrolEngine
- **YOU** use the `new` keyword to instantiate it
- **YOU** manage when it's created and store the reference in `engine` variable

```
java

Car car = new Car(engine);
```

- **YOU** create the Car object
- **YOU** manually inject the engine dependency
- **YOU** are responsible for wiring objects together

```
java
```

```
car.start();
```

- **YOU** call the method to start the car
- **YOU** control the entire flow of execution

The Problem: You're doing everything manually:

- Creating objects (what if Car needs 10 dependencies?)
- Managing dependencies (what order to create them?)
- Controlling lifecycle (when to create/destroy?)
- Wiring everything together (error-prone)

As your application grows, this becomes unmanageable. Imagine creating 50+ objects with complex dependencies by hand!

Inversion of Control Flow (With IoC)

```
java
```

```

// Spring controls object creation and lifecycle
@Configuration
public class AppConfig {
    @Bean
    public Engine engine() {
        return new PetrolEngine();
    }

    @Bean
    public Car car() {
        return new Car(engine()); // Spring manages this
    }
}

public class Application {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        ApplicationContext context =
            new AnnotationConfigApplicationContext(AppConfig.class);

        // Spring creates and injects dependencies
        Car car = context.getBean(Car.class);
        car.start();
    }
}

```

Detailed Line-by-Line Explanation:

Configuration Class:

```

java

@Configuration
public class AppConfig {

```

- `@Configuration` - This annotation tells Spring: "This class contains bean definitions and configuration"
- Spring will scan this class and process the methods marked with `@Bean`
- Think of this as a recipe book where you define how objects should be created

```

java

```

```
@Bean  
public Engine engine() {  
    return new PetrolEngine();  
}
```

- `[@Bean]` - This annotation tells Spring: "This method produces an object that should be managed by the Spring container"
- `(public Engine engine())` - The method name `engine()` becomes the bean name (identifier)
- `(return new PetrolEngine());` - This is how the object is created, but **YOU don't call this method**. Spring calls it when needed.
- Spring will store this PetrolEngine object in its container and manage its lifecycle

Why is this powerful?

- Spring calls this method exactly once (by default) - singleton pattern
- Spring manages when to create the object
- Other beans can reference this bean by name or type

```
java  
  
@Bean  
public Car car() {  
    return new Car(engine());  
}
```

- Another `[@Bean]` method defining how to create a Car
- `(engine())` - We call the `engine()` method here. Spring is smart enough to:
 1. See that `engine()` is also a `[@Bean]` method
 2. Reuse the existing Engine bean instead of creating a new one
 3. Inject that Engine into the Car
- This is **dependency injection happening at configuration level**

Application Class:

```
java
```

```
public static void main(String[] args) {
```

- Entry point of the application

```
java
```

```
ApplicationContext context =  
    new AnnotationConfigApplicationContext(AppConfig.class);
```

- `ApplicationContext` - This is the Spring IoC container. It's like a box that holds all your objects (beans).
- `new AnnotationConfigApplicationContext(AppConfig.class)` - We create the Spring container and tell it to read configuration from `AppConfig.class`

What happens behind the scenes?

1. Spring scans `AppConfig` class
2. Finds methods annotated with `@Bean`
3. Calls `engine()` method → creates PetrolEngine → stores in container
4. Calls `car()` method → injects Engine → creates Car → stores in container
5. Now the container has both objects ready to use

```
java
```

```
Car car = context.getBean(Car.class);
```

- `getBean(Car.class)` - We ask Spring: "Give me the Car object"
- Spring looks in its container, finds the Car bean, and returns it
- **We didn't create it** - Spring did that for us when it started up
- The Car is already fully configured with its Engine dependency injected

```
java
```

```
car.start();
```

- Now we use the Car normally
- The Engine is already injected and ready

The Key Difference:

Without IoC:

You → create Engine → create Car → inject Engine → call methods

With IoC:

You → tell Spring what you need

Spring → creates everything → manages dependencies → gives you ready objects

You → just use the objects

Real-World Analogy:

Without IoC (Traditional): You're building a house. You must:

- Order bricks yourself
- Hire plumbers yourself
- Coordinate electricians yourself
- Assemble everything yourself
- Make sure everything is done in the right order

With IoC (Spring): You hire a general contractor. You tell them:

- "I need a house with these specifications"
- The contractor handles all the details
- You just move into the finished house

The **control is inverted** - from you to the contractor (Spring).

3. Configuration Approaches

Spring offers three ways to configure beans and dependencies. Each approach tells Spring **HOW** to create and wire objects.

3.1 XML Configuration

Use Case: Legacy applications, external configuration without recompilation

Why XML existed: Before Java 5 (released 2004), Java didn't have annotations. XML was the only way to configure Spring externally without changing code.

```
xml

<!-- applicationContext.xml -->
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<beans xmlns="http://www.springframework.org/schema/beans"
       xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
       xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.springframework.org/schema/beans
                           http://www.springframework.org/schema/beans/spring-beans.xsd">

    <!-- Define beans -->
    <bean id="petrolEngine" class="com.example.PetrolEngine"/>

    <bean id="electricEngine" class="com.example.ElectricEngine"/>

    <!-- Constructor injection -->
    <bean id="car" class="com.example.Car">
        <constructor-arg ref="petrolEngine"/>
    </bean>

    <!-- Setter injection -->
    <bean id="driver" class="com.example.Driver">
        <property name="name" value="John"/>
        <property name="car" ref="car"/>
    </bean>
</beans>
```

Detailed Line-by-Line Explanation:

```
xml

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
```

- Standard XML declaration
- Tells parser: "This is XML version 1.0, encoded in UTF-8"
- Must be the first line of any XML file

xml

```
<beans xmlns="http://www.springframework.org/schema/beans"
       xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
       xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.springframework.org/schema/beans
                           http://www.springframework.org/schema/beans/spring-beans.xsd">
```

- `<beans>` - Root element. Everything inside is Spring configuration
- `(xmlns)` (XML namespace) - Defines which tags are allowed. Prevents conflicts if multiple libraries use similar tag names
- `(xsi:schemaLocation)` - Points to the schema definition file. This file defines the rules for what's valid XML (like a grammar book)

Why do we need this boilerplate?

- XML parsers need to validate the structure
- Ensures you don't make typos (e.g., `<ben>` instead of `<bean>`)
- IDEs can provide auto-completion based on the schema

Defining Simple Beans:

xml

```
<bean id="petrolEngine" class="com.example.PetrolEngine"/>
```

- `<bean>` - Tells Spring: "Create an object and manage it"
- `[id="petrolEngine"]` - The unique identifier for this bean. Think of it as a variable name. You'll use this to reference the bean elsewhere
- `[class="com.example.PetrolEngine"]` - The fully qualified class name. Spring uses reflection to call `[new PetrolEngine()]` behind the scenes
- `[/]` - Self-closing tag because this bean has no dependencies

What Spring does:

1. Uses reflection to load the `[PetrolEngine]` class
2. Calls the default constructor: `[new PetrolEngine()]`

3. Stores the object in the container with id "petrolEngine"

```
xml
```

```
<bean id="electricEngine" class="com.example.ElectricEngine"/>
```

- Same concept - creates an ElectricEngine bean
 - Now Spring's container has two Engine objects
-

Constructor Injection in XML:

```
xml
```

```
<bean id="car" class="com.example.Car">
  <constructor-arg ref="petrolEngine"/>
</bean>
```

- `<bean id="car" ...>` - Define a Car bean
- `<constructor-arg>` - This tells Spring: "Pass this as an argument to the constructor"
- `[ref="petrolEngine"]` - Don't pass a new object, pass a REFERENCE to the existing "petrolEngine" bean

What Spring does:

1. Looks up the "petrolEngine" bean in the container
2. Calls `[new Car(petrolEngine)]` - passing the existing Engine object
3. Stores the Car in the container

Equivalent Java code Spring executes:

```
java
```

```
Engine petrolEngine = container.get("petrolEngine");
Car car = new Car(petrolEngine);
```

Setter Injection in XML:

```
xml
```

```
<bean id="driver" class="com.example.Driver">
    <property name="name" value="John"/>
    <property name="car" ref="car"/>
</bean>
```

- `<bean id="driver"...>` - Create a Driver bean
- `<property name="name" value="John"/>`:
 - `name="name"` - Refers to the setter method name. Spring will call `setName("John")`
 - `value="John"` - A literal string value (not a bean reference)
- `<property name="car" ref="car"/>`:
 - `name="car"` - Spring will call `setCar(...)`
 - `ref="car"` - Pass a reference to the existing "car" bean

What Spring does:

1. Calls `new Driver()` (default constructor)
2. Calls `driver.setName("John")`
3. Looks up the "car" bean
4. Calls `driver.setCar(car)`

Equivalent Java code:

```
java

Driver driver = new Driver();
driver.setName("John");
Car car = container.get("car");
driver.setCar(car);
```

Loading XML Configuration:

```
java
```

```

public class Application {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        ApplicationContext context =
            new ClassPathXmlApplicationContext("applicationContext.xml");

        Car car = context.getBean("car", Car.class);
        car.start();
    }
}

```

Line-by-Line:

```

java

ApplicationContext context =
    new ClassPathXmlApplicationContext("applicationContext.xml");

```

- `ClassPathXmlApplicationContext` - A specific implementation of `ApplicationContext` that reads XML files
- `"applicationContext.xml"` - The XML file name. Spring looks for it in the classpath (usually `src/main/resources`)
- When this executes, Spring:
 1. Reads the XML file
 2. Creates all beans in dependency order (Engine first, then Car, then Driver)
 3. Injects dependencies as specified
 4. Stores everything in the context

```

java

Car car = context.getBean("car", Car.class);

```

- `getBean("car", Car.class)` - Get the bean with id "car" and cast it to Car type
- The second parameter `Car.class` provides type safety (no manual casting needed)

Pros of XML Configuration:

- Configuration separate from code (can change without recompilation)
- No code modification needed to change wiring
- Good for legacy systems

Cons:

- Verbose (lots of boilerplate)
 - No compile-time checking (typos only caught at runtime)
 - Refactoring is hard (if you rename a class, XML won't update automatically)
 - Not modern (Java annotations are preferred now)
-

3.2 Java-Based Configuration

Use Case: Modern applications, type-safe configuration, better refactoring support

Why Java Config is better: Introduced in Spring 3.0, it provides compile-time checking and better IDE support.

java

```

@Configuration
public class AppConfig {

    @Bean
    public Engine petrolEngine() {
        return new PetrolEngine();
    }

    @Bean
    public Engine electricEngine() {
        return new ElectricEngine();
    }

    @Bean
    public Car car() {
        return new Car(petrolEngine());
    }

    @Bean
    public Driver driver(Car car) {
        Driver driver = new Driver();
        driver.setName("John");
        driver.setCar(car);
        return driver;
    }

    @Bean
    @Profile("production")
    public DataSource productionDataSource() {
        HikariConfig config = new HikariConfig();
        config.setJdbcUrl("jdbc:postgresql://prod-db:5432/myapp");
        config.setUsername("prod_user");
        config.setPassword("prod_password");
        return new HikariDataSource(config);
    }
}

```

Detailed Line-by-Line Explanation:

java

```
@Configuration  
public class AppConfig {
```

- `@Configuration` - This annotation is CRITICAL. It tells Spring:
 - "This class contains bean definitions"
 - "Scan this class and execute `@Bean` methods"
 - "Apply special processing to `@Bean` methods"
 - Without `@Configuration`, `@Bean` methods would just be regular methods
 - `AppConfig` is just a regular Java class, but Spring treats it specially
-

Simple Bean Definition:

```
java  
  
@Bean  
public Engine petrolEngine() {  
    return new PetrolEngine();  
}
```

- `@Bean` - Tells Spring: "The object returned by this method should be a Spring bean"
- `public Engine petrolEngine()`:
 - `Engine` - The return type. Spring registers this bean as type Engine
 - `petrolEngine()` - The method name becomes the bean name (like `id="petrolEngine"` in XML)
- `return new PetrolEngine();` - This is how the bean is created

What Spring does:

1. Detects this method is annotated with `@Bean`
2. Calls the method: `Engine bean = appConfig.petrolEngine()`
3. Stores the result in the container with name "petrolEngine" and type Engine
4. By default, the method is called ONLY ONCE (singleton scope)

Why is this better than XML?

- Type-safe: If PetrolEngine doesn't exist, you get a compile error

- Refactoring: If you rename PetrolEngine, IDE updates this automatically
 - Debugging: You can set breakpoints in this method
-

Multiple Beans of Same Type:

```
java

@Bean
public Engine electricEngine() {
    return new ElectricEngine();
}
```

- We now have TWO beans of type Engine: petrolEngine and electricEngine
 - Spring differentiates them by name
 - If someone asks for Engine by type alone, Spring won't know which one to give (ambiguity)
 - To resolve: use `@Qualifier` or `@Primary` (we'll see this later)
-

Bean with Dependency (Method Call):

```
java

@Bean
public Car car() {
    return new Car(petrolEngine());
}
```

- `new Car(petrolEngine())` - We call the `petrolEngine()` method directly
- **IMPORTANT:** Even though we're calling `petrolEngine()` as a regular method, Spring intercepts this call!

What Spring does (magic behind the scenes):

1. You write: `petrolEngine()`
2. Spring intercepts and thinks: "Has `petrolEngine()` been called before?"
3. If YES: Return the cached instance (singleton)
4. If NO: Execute the method, cache the result, return it

5. This ensures the same Engine instance is used everywhere

This is called CGLIB proxying:

- Spring creates a subclass of AppConfig at runtime
- Overrides @Bean methods to add caching logic
- That's why `@Configuration` is required

Without `@Configuration`, `petrolEngine()` would create a NEW PetrolEngine every time it's called!

Bean with Method Parameter (Automatic Injection):

```
java

@Bean
public Driver driver(Car car) {
    Driver driver = new Driver();
    driver.setName("John");
    driver.setCar(car);
    return driver;
}
```

- `public Driver driver(Car car)` - Notice the parameter `Car car`
- **Spring automatically injects this parameter!**

What Spring does:

1. Sees the `driver()` method needs a Car parameter
2. Looks in the container for a bean of type Car
3. Finds the `car` bean from the `car()` method
4. Calls: `driver(carBean)` - passing the existing Car instance

This is method parameter injection - very clean and explicit about dependencies!

The bean creation steps:

```
java
```

```
Driver driver = new Driver(); // Create new Driver
driver.setName("John");      // Set property
driver.setCar(car);          // Inject dependency (car parameter)
return driver;               // Return to Spring to manage
```

Conditional Bean Creation with Profiles:

```
java

@Bean
@Profile("production")
public DataSource productionDataSource() {
    HikariConfig config = new HikariConfig();
    config.setJdbcUrl("jdbc:postgresql://prod-db:5432/myapp");
    config.setUsername("prod_user");
    config.setPassword("prod_password");
    return new HikariDataSource(config);
}
```

- `@Profile("production")` - This bean is ONLY created when the "production" profile is active
- Profiles let you have different configurations for different environments

What Spring does:

1. Checks active profiles (set via `spring.profiles.active=production`)
2. If "production" is active: Create this bean
3. If "production" is NOT active: Skip this bean entirely

Why is this useful?

```
java
```

```

@Bean
@Profile("production")
public DataSource productionDataSource() {
    // Real production database
    return new HikariDataSource(...);
}

@Bean
@Profile("development")
public DataSource devDataSource() {
    // Local H2 database for development
    return new EmbeddedDatabaseBuilder().build();
}

```

- Same method name or type
 - Different implementations based on environment
 - No need for if/else statements in your code!
-

Loading Java Configuration:

```

java

public class Application {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        ApplicationContext context =
            new AnnotationConfigApplicationContext(AppConfig.class);

        Car car = context.getBean(Car.class);
        Driver driver = context.getBean(Driver.class);
    }
}

```

Line-by-Line:

```

java

ApplicationContext context =
    new AnnotationConfigApplicationContext(AppConfig.class);

```

- `AnnotationConfigApplicationContext` - This implementation reads Java configuration classes (not XML)

- `AppConfig.class` - The configuration class to scan
- Spring will:
 1. Create an instance of `AppConfig`
 2. Scan for `@Bean` methods
 3. Execute them in dependency order
 4. Store all beans in the context

```
java
```

```
Car car = context.getBean(Car.class);
```

- `getBean(Car.class)` - Get bean by type (no need for bean name)
- Works because there's only ONE Car bean
- If there were multiple Car beans, this would throw an exception

Pros of Java Configuration:

- Type-safe (compile-time checking)
- Refactoring-friendly (IDE support)
- Can use Java logic (if statements, loops, etc.)
- Better debugging (can set breakpoints)
- Modern and recommended approach

Cons:

- Requires recompilation to change configuration
- Less separation of concerns (config mixed with code)

3.3 Annotation-Based Configuration

Use Case: Rapid development, minimal configuration, component scanning

Philosophy: Instead of defining beans in a central configuration file, annotate classes directly. Spring scans packages and auto-discovers beans.

java

```
@Component
public class PetrolEngine implements Engine {
    public void ignite() {
        System.out.println("Petrol engine started");
    }
}
```

```
@Component
public class Car {
    private Engine engine;
```

```
@Autowired
public Car(Engine engine) {
    this.engine = engine;
}
```

```
public void start() {
    engine.ignite();
}
}
```

```
@Service
public class CarService {
```

```
@Autowired
private Car car;

public void startCar() {
    car.start();
}
}
```

```
@Repository
public class CarRepository {
```

```
@Autowired
private DataSource dataSource;

public void saveCar(Car car) {
    // Database operations
}
}
```

```
@Controller  
public class CarController {  
  
    @Autowired  
    private CarService carService;  
  
    public void handleRequest() {  
        carService.startCar();  
    }  
}
```

Detailed Line-by-Line Explanation:

@Component - Basic Spring Bean:

```
java  
  
@Component  
public class PetrolEngine implements Engine {
```

- `[@Component]` - This is the most generic Spring stereotype annotation. It tells Spring:
 - "This class should be a Spring bean"
 - "Create an instance of this class and manage it"
 - "Scan and register this during component scanning"
- `(public class PetrolEngine)` - A regular class, but now Spring-managed

What Spring does:

1. During startup, scans packages for `[@Component]` classes
2. Finds PetrolEngine
3. Calls `(new PetrolEngine())` using reflection
4. Registers it as a bean with name "petrolEngine" (lowercase class name by default)

No explicit `@Bean` method needed! The annotation on the class itself is enough.

@Autowired - Constructor Injection:

```
java
```

```

@Component
public class Car {
    private Engine engine;

    @Autowired
    public Car(Engine engine) {
        this.engine = engine;
    }
}

```

- `@Component` - Car is a Spring bean
- `@Autowired` on constructor - Tells Spring: "Inject dependencies through this constructor"

What Spring does:

1. Sees Car needs an Engine parameter
2. Looks in the container for a bean of type Engine
3. Finds PetrolEngine (because PetrolEngine implements Engine)
4. Calls `new Car(petrolEngine)` - passing the found bean
5. Registers the Car bean

Note: In Spring 4.3+, if there's only ONE constructor, `@Autowired` is optional! Spring automatically uses it.

Stereotype Annotations - Specialized `@Component`:

`@Service` - Business Logic Layer:

```

java

@Service
public class CarService {

    @Autowired
    private Car car;
}

```

- `@Service` - Technically identical to `@Component`, but with semantic meaning
- Indicates: "This class contains business logic"
- Spring treats it the same as `@Component`, but:

- Developers know this is a service layer class
- Future Spring versions might add service-specific features
- Better code organization and clarity
- `@Autowired private Car car;` - **Field injection**
 - Spring directly injects into the field using reflection
 - No constructor or setter needed
 - We'll discuss why this is problematic later

What Spring does:

1. Creates CarService: `(new CarService())`
 2. Sees `@Autowired` on `car` field
 3. Uses reflection to set: `carService.car = carBean`
 4. Field is private but Spring bypasses access control
-

`@Repository` - Data Access Layer:

```
java

@Repository
public class CarRepository {

    @Autowired
    private DataSource dataSource;
```

- `@Repository` - Specialized `@Component` for data access classes
- **Additional feature:** Spring adds automatic exception translation
 - Database exceptions (`SQLException`) are translated to Spring's `DataAccessException`
 - Makes exception handling consistent across different databases

Why the different name?

- Follows the DAO (Data Access Object) pattern
- Clearly separates concerns: Repository = talks to database
- Exception translation: Spring wraps `SQLException` into `DataAccessException`

@Controller - Presentation Layer:

```
java

@Controller
public class CarController {

    @Autowired
    private CarService carService;

    public void handleRequest() {
        carService.startCar();
    }
}
```

- `@Controller` - Specialized `@Component` for web controllers
- Used in Spring MVC for handling HTTP requests
- Spring adds additional processing for request mapping and response handling

Architecture Flow:

```
@Controller → @Service → @Repository → Database
(Web Layer) → (Business) → (Data Access) → (Storage)
```

Each annotation helps organize code into layers!

Enabling Component Scanning:

```
java

@Configuration
@ComponentScan(basePackages = "com.example")
public class AppConfig {
    // No need to manually define beans
    // Spring scans and registers @Component classes
}
```

Line-by-Line:

```
java
```

```
@Configuration
```

- Still needed! Marks this as a configuration class

```
java
```

```
@ComponentScan(basePackages = "com.example")
```

- `@ComponentScan` - Tells Spring: "Scan these packages for annotated classes"
- `basePackages = "com.example"` - The root package to scan
- Spring will recursively scan:
 - `com.example`
 - `com.example.controllers`
 - `com.example.services`
 - `com.example.repositories`
 - All subpackages...

What Spring does:

1. Scans `com.example` package
2. Finds all classes with `@Component`, `@Service`, `@Repository`, `@Controller`
3. Creates instances of each
4. Processes `@Autowired` annotations to inject dependencies
5. Registers all beans in the container

Empty configuration class?

- Yes! Because all beans are defined by annotations on classes
- The config class just triggers component scanning

Comparison of Annotations:

Annotation	Purpose	Special Feature
@Component	Generic bean	None
@Service	Business logic	None (semantic only)
@Repository	Data access	Exception translation
@Controller	Web requests	Request mapping support

All four create Spring beans, but the specialized ones provide semantic meaning and may have extra features.

Configuration Comparison

Let's see the SAME bean configuration in all three approaches:

Scenario: Car needs Engine, CarService needs Car

XML Configuration:

```
xml

<bean id="petrolEngine" class="com.example.PetrolEngine"/>
<bean id="car" class="com.example.Car">
    <constructor-arg ref="petrolEngine"/>
</bean>
<bean id="carService" class="com.example.CarService">
    <property name="car" ref="car"/>
</bean>
```

- 7 lines
- Verbose XML tags
- No compile-time checking

Java Configuration:

```
java
```

```

@Configuration
public class AppConfig {
    @Bean
    public Engine petrolEngine() {
        return new PetrolEngine();
    }

    @Bean
    public Car car() {
        return new Car(petrolEngine());
    }

    @Bean
    public CarService carService(Car car) {
        CarService service = new CarService();
        service.setCar(car);
        return service;
    }
}

```

- 13 lines
- Type-safe
- Centralized
- Full control

Annotation Configuration:

java

```

@Component
public class PetrolEngine implements Engine { }

@Component
public class Car {
    @Autowired
    public Car(Engine engine) { }
}

@Service
public class CarService {
    @Autowired
    private Car car;
}

@Configuration
@ComponentScan(basePackages = "com.example")
public class AppConfig { }

```

- 6 lines of actual code (if we count annotations)
 - Decentralized (annotations on each class)
 - Minimal configuration
 - Less control over wiring
-

4. Types of Injection

Spring supports three ways to inject dependencies. Each has specific use cases.

4.1 Constructor Injection ★ (Recommended)

Mechanism: Dependencies provided through constructor parameters

```
java
```

```

public class Car {
    private final Engine engine;
    private final Transmission transmission;

    // Dependencies injected via constructor
    public Car(Engine engine, Transmission transmission) {
        this.engine = engine;
        this.transmission = transmission;
    }

    public void start() {
        engine.ignite();
        transmission.engage();
    }
}

```

Detailed Explanation:

java

```
private final Engine engine;
```

- **[private]** - Encapsulation: only Car can access its engine
- **[final]** - **CRITICAL**: Once set, it cannot be changed
 - Immutability: Car's engine cannot be swapped after construction
 - Thread-safe: No need for synchronization
 - Clear intent: This is a required, permanent dependency

Why final is important:

java

```

// Without final
private Engine engine;

public void someMethod() {
    this.engine = null; // Oops! Bug introduced
}

// With final
private final Engine engine;

public void someMethod() {
    this.engine = null; // COMPILER ERROR! Cannot reassign final variable
}

```

java

```
public Car(Engine engine, Transmission transmission) {
```

- Constructor with TWO dependencies
- Parameters explicitly show what Car needs to function
- Anyone reading this code immediately knows Car's dependencies

What this achieves:

1. **Explicit dependencies:** Clear what's required
2. **Compile-time safety:** Cannot create Car without dependencies
3. **Complete initialization:** Car is fully ready after construction
4. **Immutability:** Dependencies cannot change

XML Configuration for Constructor Injection:

xml

```

<bean id="engine" class="com.example.PetrolEngine"/>
<bean id="transmission" class="com.example.ManualTransmission"/>

<bean id="car" class="com.example.Car">
    <constructor-arg ref="engine"/>
    <constructor-arg ref="transmission"/>
</bean>

```

Line-by-Line:

xml

```
<constructor-arg ref="engine"/>
```

- `<constructor-arg>` - Pass this to the constructor
- `(ref="engine")` - Pass the bean with id "engine"
- Order matters! First `<constructor-arg>` → first constructor parameter

What if parameters are in wrong order?

xml

```

<!-- Wrong order -->
<constructor-arg ref="transmission"/>
<constructor-arg ref="engine"/>

```

- Spring will try: `(new Car(transmission, engine))`
- **Compile error!** Types don't match
- XML config fails at startup, not compile time

Better approach with index:

xml

```

<constructor-arg index="0" ref="engine"/>
<constructor-arg index="1" ref="transmission"/>

```

- Explicitly specify which parameter
- Less error-prone if constructor has multiple params of same type

Java Configuration for Constructor Injection:

```
java

@Bean
public Engine engine() {
    return new PetrolEngine();
}

@Bean
public Transmission transmission() {
    return new ManualTransmission();
}

@Bean
public Car car(Engine engine, Transmission transmission) {
    return new Car(engine, transmission);
}
```

Line-by-Line:

```
java

public Car car(Engine engine, Transmission transmission) {
```

- Method parameters define dependencies
- Spring automatically injects them by type

What Spring does:

1. Sees `car()` method needs Engine and Transmission
2. Looks for beans of these types
3. Calls: `car(engineBean, transmissionBean)`

Clean and type-safe! If Engine bean doesn't exist, you get a compile error (if using proper IDE) or startup error.

Annotation Configuration for Constructor Injection:

```
java

@Component
public class Car {
    private final Engine engine;

    @Autowired // Optional in Spring 4.3+ if only one constructor
    public Car(Engine engine) {
        this.engine = engine;
    }
}
```

Line-by-Line:

```
java

@Autowired
```

- Tells Spring: "Inject dependencies through this constructor"
- Spring 4.3+: If there's only ONE constructor, this is optional

Why is `@Autowired` optional for single constructors?

- Spring's philosophy: "If there's only one way to create the object, I'll use it"
- No ambiguity, so no annotation needed
- Less boilerplate code

Multiple constructors (requires `@Autowired`):

```
java
```

```

@Component
public class Car {
    private Engine engine;

    public Car() {
        // Default constructor
    }

    @Autowired // Required! Tells Spring which constructor to use
    public Car(Engine engine) {
        this.engine = engine;
    }
}

```

When to Use Constructor Injection:

Required dependencies (cannot be null)

```

java

public class Car {
    private final Engine engine; // MUST have engine

    public Car(Engine engine) {
        if (engine == null) {
            throw new IllegalArgumentException("Engine required!");
        }
        this.engine = engine;
    }
}

```

- Car cannot exist without an Engine
- Constructor enforces this rule
- Fail fast if dependency is missing

Immutable objects (use final fields)

```
java
```

```
public class Car {  
    private final Engine engine; // Cannot be changed  
  
    public Car(Engine engine) {  
        this.engine = engine;  
    }  
    // No setter for engine - immutable!  
}
```

- Thread-safe by design
- No unexpected changes to dependencies

Better testability (easy to pass mocks)

```
java  
  
@Test  
public void testCar() {  
    // Easy to create mock  
    Engine mockEngine = mock(Engine.class);  
  
    // Inject through constructor  
    Car car = new Car(mockEngine);  
  
    // Test  
    car.start();  
    verify(mockEngine).ignite();  
}
```

- No Spring context needed in tests
- Simple, fast unit tests
- No reflection magic

Circular dependency detection (fails fast)

```
java
```

```
// Class A
public class A {
    public A(B b) { }
}
```

```
// Class B
public class B {
    public B(A a) { }
}
```

- A needs B, B needs A
 - Constructor injection: Spring detects this at startup and throws exception
 - Better than runtime errors!
-

Pros of Constructor Injection:

1. Ensures complete initialization

```
java
Car car = new Car(engine); // Car is fully ready
car.start(); // Safe to use immediately
```

2. Supports immutability

```
java
private final Engine engine; // Cannot change after construction
```

3. Clear dependency requirements

```
java
public Car(Engine e, Transmission t, Wheels w) {
    // Looking at constructor, I know Car needs 3 things
}
```

4. Prevents partially constructed objects

```
java

// Impossible with constructor injection:
Car car = new Car();
car.setEngine(null); // Oops, forgot to set engine!
car.start(); // NullPointerException!
```

5. Fail-fast behavior

- If dependency is missing, application won't start
 - Better than discovering bugs at runtime
-

Cons of Constructor Injection:

1. Many dependencies lead to large constructors

```
java

public Car(Engine e, Transmission t, Wheels w,
           Brakes b, Seats s, Steering st,
           Dashboard d, Lights l, Battery bat) {
    // Constructor with 9 parameters - hard to read!
}
```

- **Solution:** This is actually a code smell! Your class is doing too much
- **Refactor:** Split into smaller classes

2. Circular dependencies fail

```
java

// A needs B, B needs A - won't work!
```

- **Solution:** Redesign your architecture to avoid circular dependencies
 - **Alternative:** Use setter injection for one of them (but this is a band-aid)
-

4.2 Setter Injection

Mechanism: Dependencies provided through setter methods

```
java

public class Car {
    private Engine engine;
    private GPS gps; // Optional dependency

    // Required dependency
    public void setEngine(Engine engine) {
        this.engine = engine;
    }

    // Optional dependency
    public void setGps(GPS gps) {
        this.gps = gps;
    }

    public void start() {
        engine.ignite();
        if (gps != null) {
            gps.findRoute();
        }
    }
}
```

Detailed Explanation:

```
java

private Engine engine;
```

- NOT final (unlike constructor injection)
- Can be changed after object creation
- Might be null initially

```
java
```

```
public void setEngine(Engine engine) {  
    this.engine = engine;  
}
```

- Standard JavaBean setter pattern: `setXXX`
- Spring recognizes this naming convention
- Called AFTER object construction

Object lifecycle with setter injection:

```
java  
  
// 1. Object created  
Car car = new Car(); // engine is null here!  
  
// 2. Dependency injected  
car.setEngine(engine); // Now engine is set  
  
// 3. Object ready to use  
car.start();
```

The problem: Between step 1 and 2, Car is in an incomplete state!

```
java  
  
private GPS gps; // Optional dependency  
  
public void setGps(GPS gps) {  
    this.gps = gps;  
}  
  
public void start() {  
    if (gps != null) { // Must check for null!  
        gps.findRoute();  
    }  
}
```

Key point: With setter injection, dependencies might be null

- Must add null checks

- Optional dependencies are acceptable
 - Required dependencies are risky
-

XML Configuration for Setter Injection:

```
xml

<bean id="engine" class="com.example.PetrolEngine"/>
<bean id="gps" class="com.example.GPS"/>

<bean id="car" class="com.example.Car">
    <property name="engine" ref="engine"/>
    <property name="gps" ref="gps"/>
</bean>
```

Line-by-Line:

```
xml

<property name="engine" ref="engine"/>
```

- `<property>` - Use setter injection (not constructor)
- `[name="engine"]` - Calls `setEngine()` method
 - Spring converts "engine" → "setEngine"
 - First letter capitalized, "set" prefix added
- `[ref="engine"]` - Pass the bean with id "engine"

What Spring does:

```
java

Car car = new Car();           // 1. Create object
car.setEngine(engineBean);     // 2. Call setter with bean
car.setGps(gpsBean);          // 3. Call another setter
```

Java Configuration for Setter Injection:

```
java

@Bean
public Car car(Engine engine) {
    Car car = new Car();
    car.setEngine(engine);      // Explicit setter call
    car.setGps(new GPS());     // Optional dependency
    return car;
}
```

Line-by-Line:

```
java
Car car = new Car();
```

- Create Car using default (no-arg) constructor
- Car is created in incomplete state

```
java
car.setEngine(engine);
```

- Manually call setter to inject dependency
- More verbose than constructor injection
- But gives you control over the process

Why use this approach?

```
java
```

```

@Bean
public Car car(Engine engine) {
    Car car = new Car();
    car.setEngine(engine);

    // Can add logic here!
    if (productionMode) {
        car.setGps(new PremiumGPS());
    } else {
        car.setGps(new BasicGPS());
    }

    return car;
}

```

- Conditional dependency injection
 - More flexibility in bean creation
-

Annotation Configuration for Setter Injection:

```

java

@Component
public class Car {
    private Engine engine;

    @Autowired
    public void setEngine(Engine engine) {
        this.engine = engine;
    }

    @Autowired(required = false) // Optional dependency
    public void setGps(GPS gps) {
        this.gps = gps;
    }
}

```

Line-by-Line:

```

java

```

```
@Autowired  
public void setEngine(Engine engine) {
```

- `@Autowired` on setter method
- Spring calls this method after creating the Car object
- Passes the Engine bean as parameter

```
java
```

```
@Autowired(required = false)  
public void setGps(GPS gps) {
```

- `required = false` - **CRITICAL** parameter
- Tells Spring: "If GPS bean doesn't exist, skip this setter"
- Without this, missing GPS bean would cause application to fail at startup

What required=false means:

```
java
```

```
// GPS bean exists  
@Autowired(required = false)  
public void setGps(GPS gps) {  
    // Spring calls this with GPS bean  
}  
  
// GPS bean doesn't exist  
@Autowired(required = false)  
public void setGps(GPS gps) {  
    // Spring skips this method - NOT called  
    // gps field remains null  
}  
  
// Without required=false and GPS missing:  
// Application startup FAILS with exception
```

When to Use Setter Injection:

Optional dependencies (can be null)

```
java

@Autowired(required = false)
public void setGps(GPS gps) {
    this.gps = gps; // Might be null - that's OK!
}

public void navigate() {
    if (gps != null) { // Must check!
        gps.findRoute();
    } else {
        System.out.println("Manual navigation");
    }
}
```

Dependencies that may change after object creation

```
java

@Component
public class Car {
    private Engine engine;

    @Autowired
    public void setEngine(Engine engine) {
        this.engine = engine;
    }

    // Can swap engine later
    public void upgradeEngine(Engine newEngine) {
        this.engine = newEngine;
    }
}
```

- Not possible with constructor injection (`final`) prevents this)
- Useful for hot-swapping components

Circular dependencies (Spring can handle via setters)

```
java
```

```

@Component
public class A {
    private B b;

    @Autowired
    public void setB(B b) { this.b = b; }

}

@Component
public class B {
    private A a;

    @Autowired
    public void setA(A a) { this.a = a; }

}

```

How Spring handles this:

1. Create A: `A a = new A()` (b is null)
2. Create B: `B b = new B()` (a is null)
3. Call `a.setB(b)` (now A has reference to B)
4. Call `b.setA(a)` (now B has reference to A)
5. Circular dependency resolved!

With constructor injection, this would fail:

- To create A, need B
- To create B, need A
- Chicken and egg problem!

 **Large number of dependencies** (avoid constructor bloat)

java

```
// Constructor with 10 parameters - hard to read
public Car(Engine e, Trans t, Wheels w, Brakes b, ...) { }

// vs Setters - each dependency is clear
public void setEngine(Engine e) { }
public void setTransmission(Trans t) { }
public void setWheels(Wheels w) { }
```

Default values for some dependencies

```
java

@Component
public class Car {
    private GPS gps = new BasicGPS(); // Default

    @Autowired(required = false)
    public void setGps(GPS gps) {
        this.gps = gps; // Override default if premium GPS available
    }
}
```

Pros of Setter Injection:

1. Supports optional dependencies

```
java

@Autowired(required = false) // Won't fail if missing
```

2. Can change dependencies after construction

```
java

car.setEngine(newEngine); // Hot swap
```

3. Handles circular dependencies better

```
java
```

```
// A and B can reference each other
```

4. More readable with many dependencies

```
java
```

```
// 10 setters vs 10-parameter constructor
```

Cons of Setter Injection:

1. Object can be in partially initialized state

```
java
```

```
Car car = new Car(); // Created but engine is null!
car.start(); // NullPointerException if engine not set yet
```

2. Cannot use final fields

```
java
```

```
private final Engine engine; // IMPOSSIBLE with setter injection
//final requires initialization in constructor
```

3. Less clear which dependencies are required

```
java
```

```
// Looking at setters, which are required vs optional?
public void setEngine(Engine e) {} // Required?
public void setGps(GPS g) {} // Optional?
// Not clear without reading documentation!
```

4. Must remember to call all setters

```
java
```

```
Car car = new Car();
car.setEngine(engine);
// Forgot to set transmission!
car.start(); // Might fail later
```

4.3 Field Injection ⚠ (Generally Discouraged)

Mechanism: Dependencies injected directly into fields using reflection

```
java

@Component
public class Car {

    @Autowired
    private Engine engine;

    @Autowired(required = false)
    private GPS gps;

    // No constructor or setter needed!

    public void start() {
        engine.ignite();
    }
}
```

Detailed Explanation:

```
java

@Autowired
private Engine engine;
```

- `@Autowired` directly on the field
- `private` - Field is private, but Spring uses reflection to access it
- No constructor or setter needed
- Looks very clean and simple!

What Spring does:

```
java

// 1. Create object
Car car = new Car();

// 2. Use reflection to set private field
Field field = Car.class.getDeclaredField("engine");
field.setAccessible(true); // Bypass private access control
field.set(car, engineBean); // Directly set the field value
```

Reflection bypasses normal Java access control:

```
java

// Normal Java:
car.engine = engineBean; // COMPILER ERROR! engine is private

// Spring with reflection:
field.set(car, engineBean); // Works! Reflection ignores private
```

Why Field Injection Looks Appealing:

```
java
```

```
// Constructor injection - more code  
@Component  
public class Car {  
    private final Engine engine;  
  
    @Autowired  
    public Car(Engine engine) {  
        this.engine = engine;  
    }  
}
```



```
// Field injection - less code  
@Component  
public class Car {  
    @Autowired  
    private Engine engine;  
}
```

Fewer lines = must be better, right?

NO! Let's see why field injection is problematic...

Only Available With: Annotations (requires reflection)

Not possible in XML or Java Config because:

- XML/Java config create objects normally
 - Field injection requires reflection magic
 - Only annotation processing can inject into private fields
-

When People Use Field Injection:

⚠ Quick prototypes

```
java
```

```
// Fast to write, minimal boilerplate
@Autowired private Engine engine;
@Autowired private Trans transmission;
@Autowired private Wheels wheels;
```

⚠ Simple applications with few dependencies

```
java

// Small app, not concerned about testing
@Autowired private UserService userService;
```

⚠ When you understand the trade-offs

- Know it's harder to test
- Accept tight coupling to Spring
- Aware of limitations

But in professional/production code: Constructor injection is strongly preferred!

Why Field Injection is Problematic:

✗ Problem 1: Cannot use final fields

```
java

@Autowired
private final Engine engine; // COMPILER ERROR!
// final requires initialization at declaration or in constructor
```

- Loses immutability benefits
- Can't prevent accidental changes
- Less thread-safe

✗ Problem 2: Hard to test

```
java
```

```

// Unit test WITHOUT Spring
@Test
public void testCar() {
    Car car = new Car();

    // How do I inject engine???
    // car.engine = mockEngine; // PRIVATE! Can't access

    // Need reflection (ugly!)
    Field field = Car.class.getDeclaredField("engine");
    field.setAccessible(true);
    field.set(car, mockEngine);

    car.start();
}

// vs Constructor injection (clean!)
@Test
public void testCar() {
    Engine mockEngine = mock(Engine.class);
    Car car = new Car(mockEngine); // Simple!
    car.start();
}

```

Testing field injection requires:

- Spring test context (slower tests)
- Or reflection (ugly, brittle code)
- Or special testing frameworks

✗ Problem 3: Hides dependencies

java

```

// Constructor injection - dependencies are obvious
public Car(Engine e, Trans t, Wheels w, Brakes b) {
    // Looking at constructor, I see Car needs 4 things
}

// Field injection - dependencies are hidden
public class Car {
    @Autowired private Engine engine;
    @Autowired private Transmission transmission;
    @Autowired private Wheels wheels;
    @Autowired private Brakes brakes;

    // No visual cue about dependencies until you read entire class
}

```

Why this matters:

- Can't see dependencies at a glance
- Easy to add more and more dependencies
- Class grows without noticing (violates Single Responsibility Principle)

✗ Problem 4: NullPointerException if Spring context not loaded

```

java

@Component
public class Car {
    @Autowired
    private Engine engine;

    public void start() {
        engine.ignite(); // NullPointerException if not in Spring context!
    }
}

// Usage outside Spring:
Car car = new Car(); // Created without Spring
car.start(); // BOOM! engine is null

```

With constructor injection:

```
java
```

```
Car car = new Car(null); // Compiler or runtime error immediately!
// Fail fast!
```

✖ Problem 5: Tight coupling to Spring framework

```
java

// Field injection - requires Spring
@Autowired
private Engine engine; // Can't work without Spring

// Constructor injection - works anywhere
public Car(Engine engine) {
    this.engine = engine;
}

// Can use without Spring:
Engine engine = new PetrolEngine();
Car car = new Car(engine); // Works fine!
```

✖ Problem 6: Cannot inject dependencies manually

```
java

// Want to create Car manually?
Car car = new Car();
// Now what? engine is private, no way to set it!

// vs Constructor:
Car car = new Car(new PetrolEngine()); // Easy!
```

Field Injection Comparison Table:

Scenario	Field Injection	Constructor Injection
Unit Testing	✗ Needs reflection or Spring context	✓ Simple object creation
Immutability	✗ Cannot use <code>final</code>	✓ Can use <code>final</code>
Dependency Visibility	✗ Hidden in class body	✓ Visible in constructor
Null Safety	✗ Fields can be null	✓ Constructor ensures not null
Manual Creation	✗ Impossible to inject manually	✓ Easy to create manually
Framework Coupling	✗ Tightly coupled to Spring	✓ Works with or without Spring
Code Brevity	✓ Very concise	⚠ More verbose

Why Spring Still Allows Field Injection:

1. **Backwards compatibility** - Removing it would break existing code
2. **Convenience for demos/prototypes** - Fast to write for small examples
3. **Developer choice** - Spring trusts developers to make informed decisions

But Spring's official documentation recommends constructor injection!

Injection Type Comparison Summary

Feature	Constructor	Setter	Field
Required Dependencies	✓ Best	⚠ Possible	⚠ Possible
Optional Dependencies	✗ Verbose	✓ Best	✓ Good
Immutability (<code>final</code>)	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No
Testability	✓ Excellent	✓ Good	✗ Poor
Null Safety	✓ Best	⚠ Must check	⚠ Risky
Circular Dependencies	✗ Fails	✓ Works	✓ Works

Feature	Constructor	Setter	Field
Code Clarity	✓ Clear	✓ Clear	⚠️ Hidden
Boilerplate	⚠️ Medium	⚠️ Medium	✓ Minimal
Framework Coupling	✓ Loosely coupled	✓ Loosely coupled	✗ Tightly coupled

Practical Recommendation

Decision Tree for Choosing Injection Type:

Is the dependency REQUIRED for the class to function?

- YES → Use Constructor Injection
 - ✓ Ensures dependency is provided
 - ✓ Supports immutability (final)
 - ✓ Clear and testable

— NO → Is it truly optional?

- YES → Use Setter Injection
 - ✓ @Autowired(required = false)
 - ✓ Can provide default value
 - ✓ Can change after initialization

— Avoid Field Injection unless:

- ⚠️ Quick prototype only
- ⚠️ You understand the trade-offs
- ✗ Never in production if possible

Best Practice Priority:

1. Constructor Injection (Default Choice)

java

```

@Component
public class Car {
    private final Engine engine; // Required, immutable

    @Autowired // Optional in Spring 4.3+
    public Car(Engine engine) {
        this.engine = engine;
    }
}

```

When:

- Dependency is required
 - Want immutability
 - Need better testability
 - **Use this 90% of the time**
-

2. Setter Injection (Secondary Choice) ★★☆

```

java

@Component
public class Car {
    private GPS gps; // Optional

    @Autowired(required = false)
    public void setGps(GPS gps) {
        this.gps = gps;
    }
}

```

When:

- Dependency is truly optional
 - Dependency might change after creation
 - Need to handle circular dependencies
 - **Use this 10% of the time**
-

3. Field Injection (Avoid) ★

```
java  
  
@Component  
public class Car {  
    @Autowired  
    private Engine engine; // Avoid this!  
}
```

When:

- Quick prototype or demo
 - Simple Spring Boot tutorial
 - **Never in production code**
 - **Use this 0% of the time in serious projects**
-

Complete Real-World Example

Let's build an e-commerce order processing system with all concepts:

```
java
```

```

// ===== DOMAIN LAYER =====

// Interface for payment processing
public interface PaymentProcessor {
    PaymentResult processPayment(double amount, PaymentDetails details);
}

// Concrete implementation
@Component
public class StripePaymentProcessor implements PaymentProcessor {

    @Override
    public PaymentResult processPayment(double amount, PaymentDetails details) {
        // Simulate Stripe API call
        System.out.println("Processing $" + amount + " via Stripe");
        return new PaymentResult(true, "txn_" + System.currentTimeMillis());
    }
}

// ===== REPOSITORY LAYER =====

@Repository // Data access layer
public class OrderRepository {

    private final DataSource dataSource; // Database connection

    // Constructor injection - dataSource is required
    @Autowired
    public OrderRepository(DataSource dataSource) {
        this.dataSource = dataSource;
    }

    public void saveOrder(Order order) {
        // Save to database
        System.out.println("Saving order: " + order.getId());
    }

    public Order findById(String orderId) {
        // Retrieve from database
        return new Order(orderId);
    }
}

```

```
// ===== SERVICE LAYER =====
```

```
@Service // Business logic layer
```

```
public class OrderService {
```

```
// Required dependencies - constructor injection
```

```
private final PaymentProcessor paymentProcessor;
```

```
private final OrderRepository orderRepository;
```

```
private final EmailService emailService;
```

```
// Optional dependency - setter injection
```

```
private NotificationService notificationService;
```

```
/**
```

```
* Constructor injection for REQUIRED dependencies
```

```
* All three services are essential for order processing
```

```
*/
```

```
@Autowired
```

```
public OrderService(
```

```
    PaymentProcessor paymentProcessor,
```

```
    OrderRepository orderRepository,
```

```
    EmailService emailService) {
```

```
    this.paymentProcessor = paymentProcessor;
```

```
    this.orderRepository = orderRepository;
```

```
    this.emailService = emailService;
```

```
}
```

```
/**
```

```
* Setter injection for OPTIONAL dependency
```

```
* SMS notifications are nice-to-have, not essential
```

```
*/
```

```
@Autowired(required = false)
```

```
public void setNotificationService(NotificationService notificationService) {
```

```
    this.notificationService = notificationService;
```

```
}
```

```
/**
```

```
* Main business logic - process an order
```

```
* This method orchestrates the entire workflow
```

```
*/
```

```
public OrderResult placeOrder(Order order) {
```

```
    // 1. Process payment
```

```
    PaymentResult paymentResult = paymentProcessor.processPayment(
```

```

        order.getTotal(),
        order.getPaymentDetails()
    );

    if (!paymentResult.isSuccess()) {
        return OrderResult.failure("Payment failed");
    }

// 2. Save to database
orderRepository.saveOrder(order);

// 3. Send email confirmation (required)
emailService.sendOrderConfirmation(order);

// 4. Send SMS notification (optional - might be null)
if (notificationService != null) {
    notificationService.sendSMS(
        order.getCustomerPhone(),
        "Your order " + order.getId() + " is confirmed!"
    );
}

return OrderResult.success(order.getId());
}

}

@Service
public class EmailService {

    private final MailSender mailSender;

    @Autowired
    public EmailService(MailSender mailSender) {
        this.mailSender = mailSender;
    }

    public void sendOrderConfirmation(Order order) {
        System.out.println("Sending email to: " + order.getCustomerEmail());
    }
}

@Service
public class NotificationService {

```

```
private final SMSGateway smsGateway;

@Autowired
public NotificationService(SMSGateway smsGateway) {
    this.smsGateway = smsGateway;
}

public void sendSMS(String phone, String message) {
    System.out.println("Sending SMS to " + phone + ": " + message);
}
}

// ===== CONFIGURATION =====

@Configuration
@ComponentScan(basePackages = "com.example")
public class AppConfig {

    /**
     * DataSource bean - database connection pool
     * This is infrastructure, so we define it in configuration
     */
    @Bean
    public DataSource dataSource() {
        HikariConfig config = new HikariConfig();
        config.setJdbcUrl("jdbc:postgresql://localhost:5432/orders");
        config.setUsername("admin");
        config.setPassword("password");
        config.setMaximumPoolSize(10);
        return new HikariDataSource(config);
    }

    /**
     * MailSender bean for email functionality
     */
    @Bean
    public MailSender mailSender() {
        return new MailSender("smtp.gmail.com", 587);
    }

    /**
     * SMSGateway is optional - only create in production
     */
    @Bean
```

```

@Profile("production")
public SMSGateway smsGateway() {
    return new TwilioSMSGateway("account_sid", "auth_token");
}

/**
 * Different payment processors for different environments
 */

@Bean
@Profile("production")
public PaymentProcessor stripeProcessor() {
    return new StripePaymentProcessor();
}

@Bean
@Profile("development")
public PaymentProcessor mockProcessor() {
    return new MockPaymentProcessor(); //Fake processor for dev
}

// ====== MAIN APPLICATION ======
public class ECommerceApplication {

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        // 1. Create Spring container with configuration
        ApplicationContext context =
            new AnnotationConfigApplicationContext(AppConfig.class);

        // 2. Retrieve the fully-wired OrderService
        OrderService orderService = context.getBean(OrderService.class);

        // 3. Create an order
        Order order = new Order("ORD-001");
        order.setTotal(99.99);
        order.setCustomerEmail("customer@example.com");
        order.setCustomerPhone("+1234567890");
        order.setPaymentDetails(new PaymentDetails("card_token_123"));

        // 4. Process the order
        OrderResult result = orderService.placeOrder(order);

        if (result.isSuccess()) {

```

```
        System.out.println("Order placed successfully: " + result.getOrderId());
    } else {
        System.out.println("Order failed: " + result.getMessage());
    }
}
```

Line-by-Line Explanation of the Complete Example:

OrderService - The Heart of the Application:

```
java
@Service
public class OrderService {
```

- `@Service` - Marks this as a Spring-managed service layer component
 - Contains the core business logic for order processing
-

```
java
private final PaymentProcessor paymentProcessor;
private final OrderRepository orderRepository;
private final EmailService emailService;
```

- Three REQUIRED dependencies declared as `final`
 - `final` ensures they cannot be null or changed after construction
 - All three are necessary - an order cannot be processed without them
-

```
java
private NotificationService notificationService;
```

- Optional dependency - NOT final
- Can be null (SMS is nice-to-have, not essential)

- Will be injected via setter if available
-

```
java  
  
@Autowired  
public OrderService(  
    PaymentProcessor paymentProcessor,  
    OrderRepository orderRepository,  
    EmailService emailService) {
```

- **Constructor injection for required dependencies**
- Spring will look for beans of these three types
- Application won't start if any are missing (fail-fast)
- All parameters passed by Spring automatically

What Spring does:

1. Finds PaymentProcessor bean → finds StripePaymentProcessor
 2. Finds OrderRepository bean → creates it (with DataSource)
 3. Finds EmailService bean → creates it (with MailSender)
 4. Calls: `new OrderService(stripeProcessor, orderRepo, emailService)`
-

```
java  
  
this.paymentProcessor = paymentProcessor;  
this.orderRepository = orderRepository;  
this.emailService = emailService;
```

- Assigns dependencies to final fields
 - After this, OrderService is fully initialized and ready
 - Dependencies cannot be changed (immutability)
-

```
java
```

```
@Autowired(required = false)
public void setNotificationService(NotificationService notificationService) {
    this.notificationService = notificationService;
}
```

- **Setter injection for optional dependency**
- `[required = false]` - Key difference! If NotificationService bean doesn't exist, this setter won't be called
- Application continues working without SMS notifications

What Spring does:

1. Checks if NotificationService bean exists
2. If YES: Calls `[orderService.setNotificationService(notificationBean)]`
3. If NO: Skips this method, `[notificationService]` remains null

```
java
```

```
public OrderResult placeOrder(Order order) {
```

- Main business method - public API of this service
- Called by controllers or other services

```
java
```

```
PaymentResult paymentResult = paymentProcessor.processPayment(
    order.getTotal(),
    order.getPaymentDetails()
);
```

- Use injected PaymentProcessor
- Don't care if it's Stripe, PayPal, or Mock - polymorphism!
- In production: StripePaymentProcessor
- In development: MockPaymentProcessor

```
java
```

```
if (!paymentResult.isSuccess()) {  
    return OrderResult.failure("Payment failed");  
}
```

- Business logic: Only proceed if payment succeeds
 - Fail fast - don't save order if payment failed
-

```
java
```

```
orderRepository.saveOrder(order);
```

- Use injected repository to persist order
 - Repository handles database complexity
-

```
java
```

```
emailService.sendOrderConfirmation(order);
```

- Required service - we know it's not null (constructor injection)
 - No null check needed
-

```
java
```

```
if (notificationService != null) {  
    notificationService.sendSMS(...);  
}
```

- **MUST check for null** because this is optional (setter injection)
- If SMS gateway not configured, order processing still succeeds
- Graceful degradation

Configuration Class:

```
java

@Configuration
@ComponentScan(basePackages = "com.example")
public class AppConfig {
```

- `@Configuration` - Spring configuration class
 - `@ComponentScan` - Automatically discovers `@Component`, `@Service`, `@Repository` classes
 - Combines annotation-based and Java-based config
-

```
java

@Bean
public DataSource dataSource() {
    HikariConfig config = new HikariConfig();
    config.setJdbcUrl("jdbc:postgresql://localhost:5432/orders");
    config.setUsername("admin");
    config.setPassword("password");
    config.setMaximumPoolSize(10);
    return new HikariDataSource(config);
}
```

- **Explicitly defined bean** (not `@Component`)
 - Why? Because:
 - `DataSource` is a third-party class (HikariCP library)
 - We can't add `@Component` to classes we don't own
 - Configuration requires specific setup
 - Spring calls this method once, stores the `DataSource` in container
 - `OrderRepository` will receive this via constructor injection
-

```
java
```

```

@Bean
@Profile("production")
public SMSGateway smsGateway() {
    return new TwilioSMSGateway("account_sid", "auth_token");
}

```

- `[@Profile("production")]` - Only create this bean in production
- In development, this bean doesn't exist
- NotificationService won't be injected (required=false)
- Application still works without SMS in development!

How to activate profiles:

```
java -Dspring.profiles.active=production -jar app.jar
```

```

java

@Bean
@Profile("production")
public PaymentProcessor stripeProcessor() {
    return new StripePaymentProcessor();
}

@Bean
@Profile("development")
public PaymentProcessor mockProcessor() {
    return new MockPaymentProcessor();
}

```

- **Two different implementations, same interface**
- Production: Real Stripe API calls
- Development: Fake processor (no real charges)
- Spring chooses based on active profile
- OrderService doesn't know which one - just uses PaymentProcessor interface

Main Application:

```
java  
  
ApplicationContext context =  
    new AnnotationConfigApplicationContext(AppConfig.class);
```

- Creates Spring container
- Loads AppConfig
- Scans com.example package for components
- Creates all beans in correct order
- Injects dependencies

Bean creation order (Spring figures this out):

1. DataSource (no dependencies)
 2. MailSender (no dependencies)
 3. PaymentProcessor (no dependencies)
 4. OrderRepository (needs DataSource)
 5. EmailService (needs MailSender)
 6. NotificationService (needs SMSGateway - might not exist)
 7. OrderService (needs PaymentProcessor, OrderRepository, EmailService)
-

```
java  
  
OrderService orderService = context.getBean(OrderService.class);
```

- Retrieve the fully-initialized OrderService
 - All dependencies already injected
 - Ready to use immediately
-

```
java
```

```
OrderResult result = orderService.placeOrder(order);
```

- Use the service normally
 - Don't worry about dependencies - Spring handled everything
-

Key Takeaways

1. Dependency Injection = Loose Coupling

- Objects don't create their dependencies
- Dependencies provided from outside
- Easy to swap implementations
- Better testability

2. Inversion of Control = Framework Takes Control

- You define WHAT you need
- Spring handles HOW to create and wire
- Focus on business logic, not plumbing

3. Configuration Approaches

XML (Legacy):

```
xml  
<bean id="car" class="Car">  
    <constructor-arg ref="engine"/>  
</bean>
```

- Verbose, external, no type safety
- Use for: Legacy apps

Java Config (Modern):

```
java
```

```
@Bean  
public Car car(Engine engine) {  
    return new Car(engine);  
}
```

- Type-safe, refactor-friendly, centralized
- Use for: Complex setup, conditional logic

Annotations (Fastest):

```
java  
  
@Component  
public class Car {  
    @Autowired  
    public Car(Engine engine) {}  
}
```

- Minimal code, auto-discovery
- Use for: 90% of your beans

4. Injection Types

Constructor ★★★★☆ (Use this):

```
java  
  
private final Engine engine;  
  
@Autowired  
public Car(Engine engine) {  
    this.engine = engine;  
}
```

- Required dependencies
- Immutable (final)
- Testable
- Clear

Setter ★★★ (When needed):

```
java

@Autowired(required = false)
public void setGps(GPS gps) {
    this.gps = gps;
}
```

- Optional dependencies
- Can change after creation
- Circular dependencies

Field ⚠ (Avoid):

```
java

@Autowired
private Engine engine;
```

- Hard to test
- No immutability
- Hides dependencies
- Only for quick prototypes

Golden Rule

"Constructor for required, Setter for optional, Never field in production!"

Summary Table

Concept	What It Solves	How to Use
DI	Tight coupling	Inject dependencies from outside
IoC	Manual object management	Let Spring create and wire objects
XML Config	External configuration	Legacy apps, no recompilation
Java Config	Type-safe config	Modern apps, complex setup

Concept	What It Solves	How to Use
Annotation Config	Minimal boilerplate	Most beans, rapid development
Constructor Injection	Required dependencies	Use <code>final</code> fields, best practice
Setter Injection	Optional dependencies	Use <code>required=false</code>
Field Injection	Quick prototypes	Avoid in production

This completes the comprehensive Spring DI and IoC notes. Each concept is explained from first principles with detailed code explanations!