

# **Chapter 15: Amortized Analysis**

# Chapter Outline

---

- **The Basic Idea**
- **Three techniques**
  - **Aggregate analysis**
  - **Accounting method**
  - **Potential method**
- **Illustrating the techniques using 3 examples**
  - **stack with multipop operation**
  - **binary counter**
  - **dynamic table**

# Amortized Analysis

---

- *Amortized analysis* is a cost analysis technique.
- It computes the average time required to perform a sequence of  $n$  operations on a data structure.
- *Goal:* Show that although some individual operations may be expensive, on average the cost per operation is small.
- Often *worst case* analysis is *not tight* and the amortized cost of an operation is less than its worst case.
- Average in this context is not based on averaging over a distribution of inputs.
  - No probability is involved.
- It is about *average cost in the worst case* for a sequence of  $n$  operations.

# Methods

---

- *Aggregate analysis* – the total amount of time needed for the  $n$  operations is computed and divided by  $n$ .
- *Accounting* – operations are assigned an amortized cost. Items of the data structure are assigned a credit.
- *Potential* – the prepaid work (money in the “bank”) is represented as “potential” energy that can be released to pay for future operations.

# Aggregate Analysis

---

## *Basic idea:*

- If  $n$  operations together take  $T(n)$  time, then the amortized cost of an operation on average is  $T(n)/n$ .

# A Stack Example

---

- A stack  $S$  with the following three operations:
  - $push(S, x)$ :  $O(1)$  each  $\Rightarrow O(n)$  for any sequence of  $n$  operations.
  - $pop(S)$ :  $O(1)$  each  $\Rightarrow O(n)$  for any sequence of  $n$  operations.
  - $multipop(S, k)$ : Pop the stack  $k$  times.
    - while not  $empty(S)$  and  $k > 0$ 
      - $Pop(S)$
      - $k = k - 1$
- Running time of  $multipop(S, k)$ :
  - Linear in # of pop operations with each pop costs  $O(1)$ .
  - # of iterations of *while loop* is  $\min\{n, k\}$ , where  $n = \#$  of objects on stack.
  - Therefore, total cost =  $\min\{n, k\}$ .

# Stack: Regular Cost Analysis

---

- Consider a sequence of  $n$  *push*( $S, x$ ), *pop*( $S$ ) and *multipop*( $S, k$ ) operations on a stack having as many as  $n$  items.
- The following is what a regular worst-case cost analysis would do:
  - Worst-case cost of *multipop*( ) is  $O(n)$ .
  - Have  $n$  operations.
- ➔ The worst-case cost of the sequence is  $O(n^2)$ .
- **Question:** Notice anything problematic with the analysis?
- **Answer:** It's impossible to pop  $n$  items  $n$  times for a stack with  $n$  items!

# Stack – Aggregate Analysis

---

- Each item can be popped only once for each time it is pushed.
  - So the total number of times *pop*( ) can be called, either directly or from *multipop*, is bounded by the number of pushes.
  - Assume that the stack is initially empty. Then the number of pushes in a sequence of  $n$  operations is  $\leq n$ .
  - Thus, the number of all pops (including those from *multipop*) is  $O(n)$ .
  - So the total cost of the sequence of  $n$  operations is  $O(n)$ .
- ➔  $O(1)$  per operation on average.



# A Binary Counter Example

---

- A  $k$ -bit binary counter  $A[0 .. k - 1]$  of bits, where  $A[0]$  is the least significant bit and  $A[k - 1]$  is the most significant bit.
- Counts upward from 0.
- Value of the counter is  $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A[i] \cdot 2^i$
- Initially, counter value is 0, so  $A[0 .. k - 1] = 0$ .
- To increment, add 1:

INCREMENT( $A, k$ )

$i = 0$

**while**  $i < k$  and  $A[i] == 1$

$A[i] = 0$

$i = i + 1$

**if**  $i < k$

$A[i] = 1$

- Flip all 1's from right to 0 until encountering the first 0.
- Change this 0 to 1 and stop.

# Binary Counter: An Example

Counter value	A[7]	A[6]	A[5]	A[4]	A[3]	A[2]	A[1]	A[0]	Total cost
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	7
5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	8
6	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	10
7	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	11
8	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	15
9	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	16
10	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	18
11	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	19
12	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	22
13	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	23
14	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	25
15	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	26
16	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	31

- It shows a 8-bit binary counter as its value goes from 0 to 16 by a sequence of 16 **Increment** operations.
- The average cost per operation is  $31/16 < 2$ .

# Binary Counter: Regular Analysis

---

- With a  $k$ -bit binary counter, a single execution of **Increment** may need to flip  $\Theta(k)$  bits in the worst case.
- So the total cost for executing a sequence of  $n$  **Increment** operations is  $O(nk)$  in the worst case.
  - The average per operation cost is  $O(k)$ .
- This bound is correct but not tight.
- We can obtain a better bound of  $O(n)$  using *aggregate analysis*.

# Binary Counter: Aggregate Analysis

---

- Some observations about Increment( ):
  - Not all bits are flipped for each call.
  - $A[0]$  flips each time,  $A[1]$  flips only every other time, and  $A[2]$  flips only every 4<sup>th</sup> time.
  - In general,  $A[i]$  flips only every  $2^i$ -th time.
- Thus,  $A[i]$  flips only  $\lfloor n/2^i \rfloor$  times in a sequence of  $n$  Increment operations on an initially 0 counter.
- So the total number of flips in the sequence is:

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2^i} \right\rfloor < n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} = 2n.$$

➔  $T(n) = O(n)$

➔ The amortized cost per operation is  $O(n)/n = O(1)$ .

# Accounting Method: Basic Idea

---

- Assign different charges to different operations.
  - Some are charged more than actual cost.
  - Some are charged less than actual cost.
- *Amortized cost = amount we charge.*
- Need to be careful with choosing the right amount to charge to each operation (see later).
- When amortized cost  $>$  actual cost, store the difference on *specific items* in the data structure as *credit*.
- Use credit later to pay for operations whose actual cost  $>$  amortized cost.

# Accounting Method: Credit

---

- Need credit to never go negative.
  - Otherwise, have a sequence of operations for which the amortized cost is not an upper bound on actual cost.
  - Amortized cost would tell us nothing.
- Let  $c_i$  = actual cost of  $i$ -th operation,  
 $\hat{c}_i$  = amortized cost of  $i$ -th operation.
- For all sequences of  $n$  operations, require:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{c}_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^n c_i$$

- Total credit stored =  $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{c}_i - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i$

# Accounting Method: Stack Example

---

Operation	Actual Cost	Amortized Cost
push	1	2
pop	1	0
multipop	$\min\{n, k\}$	0

- **Intuition: When pushing an item, pay \$2.**
  - \$1 pays for the *push*.
  - \$1 is prepayment for it being popped by either *pop* or *multipop*.
  - Since each item on the stack has \$1 credit, the credit can never go negative.
  - The total amortized cost in the worst case is:  $2n \in O(n)$ 
    - It is an upper bound on total actual cost.

# Accounting Method: Binary Counter

## Example

---

- Charge \$2 to set a bit to 1.
  - \$1 pays for setting a bit to 1.
  - \$1 is prepayment for flipping it back to 0.
  - Have \$1 of credit for every 1 in the counter.
  - Therefore, credit  $\geq 0$ .
- Amortized cost of Increment:
  - Cost of resetting bits to 0 is paid by credit.
  - At most 1 bit is set to 1 in each increment operation.
  - Therefore, amortized cost  $\leq \$2$ .
  - For  $n$  operations, the total amortized cost in the worst case is  $2n \in O(n)$ .



# Potential Method: Basic Idea

---

- Like the accounting method, but think of the credit as *potential* stored with the entire data structure.
  - *Accounting method* stores credit with specific items.
  - *Potential method* stores potential in the data structure as a whole.
  - Can release potential to pay for future operations.
  - It is the most flexible among the amortized analysis methods.

# Potential Method: Credit

---

- Let  $D_0$  = initial data structure  
 $D_i$  = data structure after  $i$ -th operation  
 $c_i$  = actual cost of  $i$ -th operation  
 $\hat{c}_i$  = amortized cost of  $i$ -th operation
- **Potential function**  $\Phi$  maps each data structure to a real number, i.e., the *potential* of the data structure.
  - $\Phi(D_i)$  is the **potential** associated with data structure  $D_i$ .
- Define  $\hat{c}_i = c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) = c_i + \Delta \Phi(D_i)$ .
- The total amortized cost for a sequence of  $n$  operations is
$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{c}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1})) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \Phi(D_n) - \Phi(D_0)$$
- In practice,  $\Phi(D_0) = 0$ ,  $\Phi(D_i) \geq 0$  for all  $i \Rightarrow$  the amortized cost is always an upper bound on actual cost.

# Potential Method: Stack Example

---

- Define potential function  $\Phi$  on a stack = number of items on the stack.
- $D_0 = \text{empty} \rightarrow \Phi(D_0) = 0$
- Since the number of items on a stack is always  $\geq 0$ ,  $\Phi(D_i) \geq \Phi(D_0) = 0$

operation	actual cost	$\Delta\Phi$	amortized cost
PUSH	1	$(s + 1) - s = 1$ where $s = \#$ of objects initially	$1 + 1 = 2$
POP	1	$(s - 1) - s = -1$	$1 - 1 = 0$
MULTIPOP	$k' = \min(k, s)$	$(s - k') - s = -k'$	$k' - k' = 0$

- ➔ The total amortized cost of a sequence of  $n$  operations in the worst case is  $2n = O(n)$ .

# Potential Method: Binary Counter (1)

---

- Define potential function  $\Phi = b_i =$  number of 1's in the counter *after* the  $i$ -th **Increment**.
- Suppose the  $i$ -th operation resets  $t_i$  bits to 0.
- Then the actual cost  $c_i \leq t_i + 1$ : reset  $t_i$  bits plus set at most one bit to 1.
- If  $b_i = 0$ , the  $i$ -th operation resets all  $k$  bits to 0 but no bit is set to 1, so  $b_{i-1} = t_i = k \Rightarrow b_i = b_{i-1} - t_i = 0$ .
  - This happens only when all  $k$  bits are 1 before  $i$ -th operation.
- If  $b_i > 0$ , the  $i$ -th operation resets  $t_i$  bits to 0 and sets one bit to 1, so  $b_i = b_{i-1} - t_i + 1$ .
- Either way,  $b_i \leq b_{i-1} - t_i + 1$ .

# Potential Method: Binary Counter (2)

---

- Since  $b_i \leq b_{i-1} - t_i + 1$ ,  
 $\Delta(D_i) = \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) = b_i - b_{i-1} \leq (b_{i-1} - t_i + 1) - b_{i-1} = 1 - t_i$
  - Thus,  $\hat{c}_i = c_i + \Delta(D_i) \leq (t_i + 1) + (1 - t_i) = 2$
  - If counter starts at 0,  $\Phi(D_0) = 0$ .
- ➔ amortized cost of a sequence of  $n$  operations =

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{c}_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n 2 = 2n = O(n)$$

# Dynamic Table

---

- A table is a *dynamic table* if its content can change and we can't predict its maximum size.
- Examples: object tables and hash tables.
- We consider *in-memory tables* here.
- When the table fills up and needs more space (*table overflow*), create a new table with a larger space, copying all contents into the new table.
- *Question*: Why create the new table?
- *Answer*: In-memory tables are usually implemented using arrays, which need contiguous space.
- When it gets sufficiently small, *might* want to reallocate with a smaller size.

# Dynamic Table: Table Expansion

---

- **When a new insert causes a table overflow, create a new table with double the size of the old table.**

TABLE-INSERT( $T, x$ )

if  $T.size == 0$

    allocate  $T.table$  with 1 slot

$T.size = 1$

if  $T.num == T.size$

// expand?

    allocate  $new-table$  with  $2 \cdot T.size$  slots

    insert all items in  $T.table$  into  $new-table$

//  $T.num$  elem insertions

    free  $T.table$

$T.table = new-table$

$T.size = 2 \cdot T.size$

insert  $x$  into  $T.table$

// 1 elem insertion

$T.num = T.num + 1$

# Dynamic Table: Cost Analysis (1)

---

- **Question:** What is the worst-case cost of an insert?
- There are two types of inserts:
  - **Type 1:** It simply inserts a single object into an existing table.
  - **Type 2:** It causes the creation of a new table, copying of the old table contents to the new table, and removing the old table.
    - We assume that allocating memory for a new table and freeing the space for an old table takes constant time.
- Clearly **Type 2** is the worst-case scenario and the cost can be very high due to the copying of the old table.
  - We assume the **cost is the number of objects to be inserted**.
- But how about the total cost for a sequence of  $n$  inserts?



# Dynamic Table: Cost Analysis (2)

---

- Let  $c_i$  = cost of the  $i$ -th insert. We have

$$c_i = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } i - 1 \text{ is an exact power of } 2 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- | Example: | Operation  | Table Size | Cost  |
|----------|------------|------------|-------|
|          | Insert (1) | 1          | 1     |
|          | Insert (2) | 2          | 1 + 1 |
|          | Insert (3) | 4          | 1 + 2 |
|          | Insert (4) | 4          | 1     |
|          | Insert (5) | 8          | 1 + 4 |
|          | Insert (6) | 8          | 1     |
|          | Insert (7) | 8          | 1     |
|          | Insert (8) | 8          | 1     |
|          | Insert (9) | 16         | 1 + 8 |

# Dynamic Table: Aggregate Analysis

---

- In general, the total cost of a sequence of  $n$  insert operations is

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \leq n + \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \lg n \rfloor} 2^j = n + \frac{2^{\lfloor \lg n \rfloor + 1} - 1}{2 - 1}$$
$$\leq n + (2n - 1) < 3n$$

- Per operation average cost of operation is  $T(n) / n = O(1)$ .

➔ a dynamic table has the same asymptotic cost as a fixed-size table

- Both  $O(1)$  per insert operation.

# Dynamic Table: Accounting Method

---

- For each new object  $x$  inserted, charge \$3 amortized cost.
    - \$1 for inserting  $x$  into the current table  $T_1$  of starting size  $m$ .
    - \$1 for moving  $x$  to new table  $T_2$  of size  $2m$  after expanding  $T_1$ .
    - \$1 for moving another object that has been moved once to  $T_2$ .
      - Suppose there was no credit left after  $T_1$  was created.
      - $T_1$  will expand again after another  $m$  insertions.
      - Each insertion (allocate \$3, use \$1 for itself) will put \$1 credit on each of the  $m$  items that were in  $T_1$  when  $T_1$  was created and will put \$1 credit on each new object inserted.
      - Will have \$2m of credit by the time  $T_1$  expands to  $T_2$ , when there will be  $2m$  objects to move.
- ➔ Dynamic table has constant (amortized) cost per operation.