
Proving correctness

Proving correctness

- Proof based on loop invariants
 - Loop invariant: An assertion which is satisfied before each iteration of a loop
 - At termination, the loop invariant provides important property that is used to show correctness
 - Steps of proof:
 - Initialization (similar to induction base)
 - Maintenance (similar to induction proof)
 - Termination
-

More on the steps

- **Initialization:** Show loop invariant is true before (or at start of) the first execution of a loop
 - **Maintenance:** Show that if the loop invariant is true before an iteration of a loop, it is true before the next iteration
 - **Termination:** When the loop terminates, the invariant gives us an important property that helps show the algorithm is correct
-

Example: Finding maximum

Findmax(A, n)

maximum = A[0];

for (i = 1; i < n; i++)

if (A[i] > maximum)

maximum = A[i]

return maximum

- What is a loop invariant for this code?

Proof of correctness

- Loop invariant for Findmax(A):

“Before the i^{th} iteration (for $i = 1, \dots, n$) of the for loop maximum = $\max\{A[0], A[1], \dots, A[i - 1]\}$ ”

Initialization

- We need to show loop invariant is true at the start of the execution of the *for* loop
 - Line 1 sets $\text{maximum} = A[0]$
 - So the loop invariant is satisfied at the start of the *for* loop.
-

Maintenance

- Assume that at the start of the i^{th} iteration of the *for* loop

$$\text{maximum} = \max\{A[j] \mid j = 0, \dots, i - 1\}$$

- We will show that before the $(i + 1)^{\text{th}}$ iteration, $\text{maximum} = \max\{A[j] \mid j = 0, \dots, i\}$

- The code computes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maximum} &= \max(\text{maximum}, A[i]) = \max(\max\{A[j] \mid j \\ &= 0, \dots, i - 1\}, A[i]) = \max\{A[j] \mid j = 0, \dots, i\} \end{aligned}$$

Termination

- The loop terminates when $i = n$
- So $\text{maximum} = \max\{A[j] \mid j=0, \dots, n-1\}$

Example: Insertion sort

```
Insertion_Sort(A)
{
  for (i = 1; i < n; i++)
    for (j = i; j >= 1 and a[j] < a[j-1]; j--)
      swap a[j] and a[j-1]
}
```

→ Loop invariant?

Proof of correctness

- Loop invariant for INSERTION_SORT(A):

At the start of the i^{th} iteration of the for loop

- $A[0.. i-1]$ contains the elements originally in $A[0.. i -1]$
- $A[0.. i-1]$ is sorted

Initialization

- We need to show loop invariant is true at the start of the execution of the *for* loop
 - After line 1 sets $i = 1$ and before it compares i to n ($= \text{length}[A]$), we have:
 - Subarray $A[0.. i - 1] = A[0]$ contains the original element in $A[0]$
 - $A[0]$ is sorted.
 - So the loop invariant is satisfied
-

Maintenance

- If the loop invariant is true before this execution of a loop, it is true before the next execution
 - Assume that at the start of the i^{th} iteration of the **for** loop, $A[0.. i-1]$ contains the elements originally in $A[0.. i-1]$ and $A[0.. i-1]$ is sorted
-

Maintenance

- We will show that the loop invariant is maintained before the $(i+1)$ th iteration.
 - We will show that at the start of the $(i+1)$ th iteration of the **for** loop, $A[0..i]$ contains the elements originally in $A[0..i]$ and $A[0..i]$ is sorted
-

Maintenance

- The body of the loop keeps moving to the right until the proper position for $A[i]$ is found and then inserts $A[i]$ into the subarray $A[0.. i]$.
- Thus, the sub array $A[0.. i]$ contains the elements originally in $A[0.. i]$ and $A[0.. i]$ is sorted

Termination

- $i = \text{length}[A]$.
 - The array $A[0.. \text{length}[A]-1]$ contains the elements originally in $A[0.. \text{length}[A]-1]$ and $A[0.. \text{length}[A]-1]$ is SORTED!
-

Loop invariants

1. `sum = 0;`
 2. `for (i = 0; i < n; i++)`
 3. `sum = sum + A[i];`
- What is a loop invariant for this code?