Lecture 7

Hypothesis Testing

Last week we learned

Least squares estimation

Properties of the least squares estimator

Estimating variance of the random error term

Confidence intervals

• The matrix form of the MLR model is

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon$$

where

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & x_{1p} \\ 1 & x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{np} \end{bmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{bmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix X is called the design matrix.

• The least squares estimate of β is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = CX^T \boldsymbol{y} \sim N_{n+1}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 C)$$
 where $C = (X^T X)^{-1}$

• The least squares estimate of σ^2 is

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = MS_E = \frac{SS_E}{n - p - 1} = \frac{e^T e}{n - p - 1}$$

where $e = y - \hat{y}$ is the vector of residuals and $\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta}$ is the vector of fitted values.

Confidence and Prediction Intervals

• A $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for the regression coefficient, β_j , is given by

$$\mathrm{CI}(\beta_j) = \left[\hat{\beta}_j - t_{\alpha/2, n-p-1} \cdot \mathrm{se}(\hat{\beta}_j), \ \hat{\beta}_j + t_{\alpha/2, n-p-1} \cdot \mathrm{se}(\hat{\beta}_j) \right]$$

where $\hat{\beta}_j = (CX^T y)_{jj}$ and $\operatorname{se}(\hat{\beta}_j) = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 c_{jj}}$

• A $100(1-\alpha)\%$ CI on the mean response μ_0 at the level x_0 is given by

$$CI(\mu_0) = \left[\hat{\mu}_0 - t_{\alpha/2, n-p-1} \cdot \text{se}(\hat{\mu}_0), \ \hat{\mu}_0 + t_{\alpha/2, n-p-1} \cdot \text{se}(\hat{\mu}_0) \right]$$

where $\hat{\mu}_0 = \mathbf{x}_0^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $\operatorname{se}(\hat{\mu}_0) = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 \mathbf{x}_0^T C \mathbf{x}_0}$.

• A $100(1-\alpha)\%$ PI on a new observation y_0 at x_0 is

$$PI(y_0) = \left[\hat{y}_0 - t_{\alpha/2, n-p-1} \cdot se(\hat{y}_0), \ \hat{y}_0 + t_{\alpha/2, n-p-1} \cdot se(\hat{y}_0) \right]$$

where $\hat{y}_0 = \mathbf{x}_0^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $\operatorname{se}(\hat{y}_0) = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 (1 + \mathbf{x}_0^T C \mathbf{x}_0)}$.

Lecture 7

Hypothesis Testing

Aim: to understand hypothesis tests in MLR models

- 1. Decision making and the hat matrix
- 2. F-test for significance of regression
- 3. t-test for individual regression coefficients
- 4. F-test for a group of predictors
- 5. Coefficient of multiple determination, R^2

Making decisions

- After fitting a MLR model and computing the parameter estimates, $\hat{\beta}$, we have to make some decisions about the model:
 - 1. Is the model a good fit for the data?
 - 2. Do we really need all the predictors in the model?
- Generally, a model with fewer predictors and about the same "predictive power" is better.



Hypothesis testing

There are several hypothesis tests that we can utilise to answer these questions:

- An F-test for significance of regression: checks the significance of the whole regression model.
- 2. A *t*-test for individual regression coefficients: checks the significance of individual regression coefficients.
- An F-test for a group of regression coefficients: simultaneously checks the significance of a number of regression coefficients; it can also be used to test individual coefficients.
- 4. The general linear *F*-test: checks the significance of a hypothesis of a general linear type applied to all regression coefficients.

We need to introduce an object called the hat matrix before we can proceed with testing hypothesis.

The hat matrix

• The vector of fitted values \hat{y} can be written as

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = X\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{y}$$

where H is called the hat matrix.

• It is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix:

$$H^{T} = (X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T})^{T} = X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T} = H$$

It is an idempotent:

$$H^{2} = (X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T})^{2} = X(X^{T}X)^{-1}(X^{T}X)(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T} = H$$

• It acts as an identity operator on X:

$$HX = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^TX = X$$

The trace of H and its rank equal p+1:

$$trH = tr(X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T) = tr((X^TX)^{-1}X^TX) = trI_{p+1} = p + 1 = rankH$$

• $H\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1}^T H = \mathbf{1}^T$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is an *n*-dimensional vector of 1's

Lecture 7

Hypothesis Testing

- 1. Decision making and the hat matrix
- 2. F-test for significance of regression
- 3. t-test for individual regression coefficients
- 4. F-test for a group of predictors
- 5. Coefficient of multiple determination, R^2

 The test for significance of regression is a test to determine if there is a linear relationship between the response and any of the predictors:

$$Y \stackrel{?}{\sim} X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p$$

- This procedure is often thought of as an overall or global test of model adequacy.
- The appropriate hypotheses are

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \ldots = \beta_p = 0$$
 vs. $H_1:$ at least one $\beta_j \neq 0$

- The test procedure is a generalization of the analysis of variance used in the simple linear regression model.
- The total sum of squares, SS_T , is partitioned into the sum of squares due to regression, SS_R , and the residual sum of squares, SS_R , where

$$SS_T = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2, \qquad SS_R = \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2, \qquad SS_E = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2.$$

Claim. The analysis of variance identity holds true,

$$SS_T = SS_R + SS_E$$

Proof. We first rewrite SS_R as

$$SS_{R} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{y}_{i} - \bar{y})^{2} = (\hat{y} - \mathbf{1}\bar{y})^{T} (\hat{y} - \mathbf{1}\bar{y})$$

$$= \hat{y}^{T} \hat{y} - \hat{y}^{T} \mathbf{1}\bar{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}^{T} \hat{y} + \bar{y}^{2} \mathbf{1}^{T} \mathbf{1}$$

$$= (Hy)^{T} (Hy) - (Hy)^{T} \mathbf{1}\bar{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}^{T} Hy + n\bar{y}^{2}$$

$$= y^{T} H^{T} Hy - y^{T} H \mathbf{1}\bar{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}^{T} Hy + n\bar{y}^{2}$$

$$= y^{T} Hy - y^{T} \mathbf{1}\bar{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}^{T} y + n\bar{y}^{2}$$

$$= y^{T} Hy - n\bar{y}^{2}$$

since $H\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{1}^T H = \mathbf{1}^T$ and $\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = n\bar{y}$.

Claim. The analysis of variance identity holds true,

$$SS_T = SS_R + SS_E$$

Proof. We have shown that

$$SS_R = \mathbf{y}^T H \mathbf{y} - n\bar{\mathbf{y}}^2$$

In a similar way, we rewrite SS_E as

$$SS_E = e^T e = (y - Hy)^T (y - Hy) = y^T (I - H)^T (I - H)y = y^T (I - H)y.$$

Therefore

$$SS_R + SS_E = \mathbf{y}^T H \mathbf{y} - n\bar{\mathbf{y}}^2 + \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^T H \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} - n\bar{\mathbf{y}}^2$$

On the other hand,

$$SS_T = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \bar{y} + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{y}^2 = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} - n \bar{y}^2$$

which is the wanted result.

• If the null hypothesis H_0 is true, then the sampling distributions of SS_R and SS_E are

$$\frac{SS_R}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_p^2 \qquad \frac{SS_E}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-p-1}^2$$

Moreover, they are independent, giving

$$F = \frac{SS_R/p}{SS_E/(n-p-1)} = \frac{MS_R}{MS_E} \sim F_{p,\,n-p-1}$$

Analysis of variance table

Source of variation	d.o.f.	SS	MS	F	P-value
Regression	p	SS_R	$MS_R = \frac{SS_R}{p}$	$F = \frac{MS_R}{MS_E}$	$lpha_{cal}$
Residual	n-p-1	SS_E	$MS_E = \frac{SS_E}{n-p-1}$		
Total	n-1	SS_T			

• We reject the null hypothesis H_0 at significance level α if

$$F_{cal} > F_{crit} = F_{\alpha, p, n-p-1} \iff \alpha_{cal} < \alpha_{crit}$$

Lecture 7

Hypothesis Testing

- 1. Decision making and the hat matrix
- 2. F-test for significance of regression
- 3. t-test for individual regression coefficients
- 4. F-test for a group of predictors
- 5. Coefficient of multiple determination, R^2

t-test for individual regression coefficients

- Once we have determined that at least one of the predictors is important, a logical question becomes which one(s).
- Adding a variable to a regression model always causes the regression sum of squares, SS_R , to increase, and the residual sum of squares, SS_E , to decrease.
- We must decide whether the increase in SS_R is sufficient to warrant using the additional predictor in the model.
- We must be careful to include only predictors that are of real value in explaining the response.

t-test for individual regression coefficients

• The hypotheses for significance of any individual regression coefficient, such as $oldsymbol{eta}_j$, are

$$H_0: \beta_j = 0$$
 vs. $H_1: \beta_j \neq 0$.

They test whether β_i is significantly different from zero.

• The test statistic for the null hypothesis is

$$\hat{\beta}_j \sim N(\beta_j, \sigma^2 c_{jj}) \implies T = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 c_{jj}}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{\operatorname{se}(\hat{\beta}_j)} \sim t_{n-p-1}$$

where c_{jj} is the jjth matrix element of $C = (X^TX)^{-1}$.

• The null hypothesis $H_0: \beta_j = 0$ is rejected if the calculated value t_{cal} of T is

$$|t_{cal}| > t_{crit} = t_{\alpha/2, n-p-1} \iff \alpha_{cal} < \alpha_{crit}$$

t-test for individual regression coefficients

• The *t*-test is really a partial or marginal test. This means that the test statistic depends not on the *j*-th predictor only, but also on all other predictors that are included in the model at the same time. This is because

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sim N_{p+1}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 C) \implies \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_i, \hat{\beta}_j) = \sigma^2 c_{ij}$$

- Thus, if any predictor is added or removed from a regression model, hypothesis tests for individual slopes need to be repeated.
- If the null hypothesis is rejected, we conclude that the *j*-th predictor has a significant influence on the response, given the other predictors in the model at the same time.

Lecture 7

Hypothesis Testing

- 1. Decision making and the hat matrix
- 2. F-test for significance of regression
- 3. t-test for individual regression coefficients
- 4. F-test for a group of predictors
- 5. Coefficient of multiple determination, R^2

- Checking significance of regression variables one-by-one may sometimes be not the most effective approach in model building.
- We might want to simultaneously check the significance of a subset of regression coefficients instead
- The extra sum of squares method allows us to directly determine the significance of a subset of regression coefficients.

 Suppose our model has p predictors. We can then partition predictors into two groups,

$$(X_1,...,X_{p-r})$$
 and $(X_{p-r+1},...,X_p)$.

We want to simultaneously test, whether the latter group of r predictors can be removed from the model.

Suppose we partition the vector of regression coefficients accordingly into two parts

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$oldsymbol{eta}_1 = egin{pmatrix} eta_0 \ dots \ eta_{p-r} \end{pmatrix} \qquad oldsymbol{eta}_1 = egin{pmatrix} eta_{p-r+1} \ dots \ eta_p \end{pmatrix}$$

We want to test the hypothesis

$$H_0: \beta_2 = \mathbf{0}$$
 vs. $H_1: \beta_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$

The full model may be written as

$$y = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = X^{red}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + X^{extra}\boldsymbol{\beta}_2 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon},$$

• The X^{red} is the $n \times (p-r+1)$ reduced design matrix consisting of the columns of X associated with β_1

$$X^{red} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & \dots & x_{1p-r} \\ 1 & x_{21} & \dots & x_{2p-r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n1} & \dots & x_{np-r} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \pmb{\beta}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{p-r} \end{bmatrix}$$

• The X^{extra} is the n imes r extra matrix consisting of the columns of X associated with $oldsymbol{eta}_2$

$$X^{extra} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1p-r+1} & \dots & x_{1p} \\ x_{2p-r+1} & \dots & x_{2p} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{np-r+1} & \dots & x_{np} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{p-r+1} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_p \end{bmatrix}$$

• The full model $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ is described by the "full" hat matrix

$$H^{full} = H = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$$

and the "full" sums of squares

$$SS_R^{full} = \mathbf{y}^T H \mathbf{y} - n \, \bar{\mathbf{y}}^2$$
 $v_R^{full} = p$
 $SS_E^{full} = \mathbf{y}(I - H)\mathbf{y}$ $v_E^{full} = n - p - 1$

• The reduced model $y = X^{red} \beta_1 + \varepsilon$ is described by the "reduced" hat matrix

$$H^{red} = X^{red} (X^{red T} X^{red})^{-1} X^{red T}$$

and the "reduced" sums of squares

$$SS_R^{red} = \mathbf{y}^T H^{red} \mathbf{y} - n \bar{\mathbf{y}}^2$$
 $v_R^{red} = p - r$
 $SS_E^{red} = \mathbf{y}(I - H^{red}) \mathbf{y}$ $v_E^{red} = n - p + r - 1$

The total sum of squares is the same for both models

$$SS_T^{full} = SS_T^{red} = SS_T = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} - n\bar{\mathbf{y}}.$$

ullet The regression sum of squares due to $oldsymbol{eta}_2$ given that $oldsymbol{eta}_1$ is already in the model is

$$SS_{R}^{extra} = SS_{R}^{full} - SS_{R}^{red}$$

$$= (\mathbf{y}^{T}H\mathbf{y} - n\bar{\mathbf{y}}^{2}) - (\mathbf{y}^{T}H^{red}\mathbf{y} - n\bar{\mathbf{y}}^{2})$$

$$= \mathbf{y}^{T}(H - H^{red})\mathbf{y}$$

is called the extra sum of squares $(\nu_R^{extra} = r)$ due to β_2 .

- It measures the increase in the regression sum of squares that results from adding predictors $X_{p-r+1}, X_{p-r+2}, \dots, X_p$ to a model that already contains X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{p-r} .
- The extra sum of squares is sometimes also written as

$$SS_R(\boldsymbol{\beta}_2|\boldsymbol{\beta}_1) = SS_R(\boldsymbol{\beta}_1,\boldsymbol{\beta}_2) - SS_R(\boldsymbol{\beta}_1)$$

The Analysis of Variance identity implies that

$$SS_{R}^{extra} = SS_{R}^{full} - SS_{R}^{red} = SS_{E}^{red} - SS_{E}^{full}$$

• Assuming the null hypothesis $H_0: \pmb{\beta}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ is true, the sampling distributions of SS_R^{extra} and SS_E^{full} are

$$\frac{SS_R^{extra}}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_r^2 \qquad \frac{SS_E^{full}}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-p-1}^2$$

Moreover, they are independent, giving

$$F = \frac{SS_R^{extra}/r}{SS_E^{full}/(n-p-1)} = \frac{MS_R^{extra}}{MS_E^{full}} \sim F_{r,n-p-1}$$

- We reject H_0 if $F_{cal} > F_{crit} = F_{\alpha,r,n-p-1}$ concluding that at least one of the parameters in β_2 is non-zero, and consequently at least one of the predictors $X_{p-r+1}, \ldots, X_{p-1}, X_p$ contributes significantly to the regression model.
- This test is also called a partial F-test because it measures the contribution of the predictors in X^{extra} given that the other predictors in X^{red} are already in the model.

• ANOVA table for the extra sum of squares analysis:

Source of variation	d.o.f.	SS	MS	F
Residual Reduced	$v_{\scriptscriptstyle E}^{\scriptscriptstyle red}=n{-}p{+}r{-}1$	SS_E^{red}		
Residual Full	$v_E^{full} = n - p - 1$			
Extra	$v_R^{extra} = r$	SS_R^{extra}	$MS_R^{extra} = \frac{SS_R^{extra}}{v_R^{extra}}$	$F = \frac{MS_R^{extra}}{MS_F^{full}}$

Lecture 7

Hypothesis Testing

- 1. Decision making and the hat matrix
- 2. F-test for significance of regression
- 3. t-test for individual regression coefficients
- 4. F-test for a group of predictors
- 5. Coefficient of multiple determination, R^2

Coefficient of multiple determination, R^2

The coefficient of multiple determination

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{SS_E}{SS_T} \in [0, 1]$$

indicates the amount of total variability explained by the model.

- The positive square root of R^2 is called the multiple correlation coefficient and measures the linear association between Y and predictors, $X_1, X_2, ..., X_p$.
- The value of R^2 increases (or remains the same) as more predictors are added to the model, even if the new predictors do not contribute significantly to the model.
- An increase in the value of \mathbb{R}^2 cannot be taken as a sign to conclude that the new model is superior to the older model.

Adjusted coefficient of multiple determination, R^2

A better statistic to use is the adjusted R²

$$R_{adj}^2 = 1 - \frac{MS_E}{MS_T} = 1 - \frac{SS_E/(n-p-1)}{SS_T/(n-1)}$$

- The adjusted R^2_{adj} only increases when significant terms are added to the model.
- Addition of unimportant predictors may lead to a decrease in the value of R^2_{adj} .
- Removal of unimportant predictors will generally lead to increase in the value of R^2_{adj} .

Summary

• The overall F-test tests if there is a linear relationship between the response Y and any of the predictors X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p . The appropriate hypotheses are

$$H_0$$
 : all slopes $\beta_j=0$ vs. H_1 : at least one slope $\beta_j \neq 0$

The test statistic is

$$F = \frac{SS_R/p}{SS_E/(n-p-1)} = \frac{MS_R}{MS_E} \sim F_{p,n-p-1}$$

where

$$SS_R = \mathbf{y}^T H \mathbf{y} - n \,\bar{\mathbf{y}}^2$$
 $SS_E = \mathbf{y}^T (I - H) \mathbf{y}$ $H = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$

• An individual *t*-test tests the significance of any individual regression coefficient, including the intercept. The appropriate hypotheses are

$$H_0: \beta_i = 0$$
 vs. $H_1: \beta_i \neq 0$

The test statistic is

$$T_j = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 c_{jj}}} \sim t_{n-p-1}$$

where

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = MS_E = \frac{SS_E}{n - p - 1}$$
 $c_{jj} = (C)_{jj} = ((X^TX)^{-1})_{jj}$

Summary

ullet A partial F-test determines, whether a group of r predictors can be removed from the model. The appropriate hypotheses are

$$H_0: \beta_{p-r+1} = \ldots = \beta_p = 0$$
 vs. $H_1:$ at least one $\beta_j \neq 0$

The test statistic is

$$F = \frac{SS_R^{extra}/r}{SS_E^{full}/(n-p-1)} = \frac{MS_R^{extra}}{MS_E^{full}} \sim F_{r,n-p-1}$$

where SS_{R}^{extra} is the extra sum of squares

$$SS_{R}^{extra} = SS_{R}^{full} - SS_{R}^{reduced} = SS_{E}^{reduced} - SS_{E}^{full}$$

The coefficient of multiple determination

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{SS_E}{SS_m} \in [0, 1]$$

• The adjusted coefficient of multiple determination

$$R_{adj}^2 = 1 - \frac{MS_E}{MS_T} = 1 - \frac{SS_E/(n-p-1)}{SS_T/(n-1)}$$

Next week

Diagnostics and Model Building