Two-sample testing in data integration

Subhabrata Majumdar

Abstract:			
Keywords:			

1 Model

We have data $\mathcal{Z} = \{\mathcal{Z}^1, \dots, \mathcal{Z}^K\}; \mathcal{Z}^k = (\mathbf{Y}^k, \mathbf{X}^k)$ where $\mathbf{Y}^k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q}, \mathbf{X}^k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ for $1 \le k \le K$.

$$\mathbf{X}^k = (\mathbf{X}_1^k, \dots, \mathbf{X}_p^k)^T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_x^k)$$
(1.1)

$$\mathbf{Y}^k = \mathbf{X}^k \mathbf{B}^k + \mathbf{E}^k; \quad \mathbf{E}^k = (\mathbf{E}_1^k, \dots, \mathbf{E}_p^k)^T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_y^k)$$
(1.2)

$$\Omega_x^k = (\Sigma_x^k)^{-1}; \quad \Omega_y^k = (\Sigma_y^k)^{-1}$$
 (1.3)

Want to estimate $\{(\Omega_x^k, \Omega_y^k, \mathbf{B}^k); 1 \leq k \leq K\}$ in presence of known grouping structures $\mathcal{G}_x, \mathcal{G}_y, \mathcal{H}$ respectively.

Notation: Denote 3-dimensional array objects as elements of $\mathbb{T}(a,b,c)$, the set of all $a \times b \times c$ tensors. Define $\mathcal{S}^x = (\Omega_x^k), \mathcal{S}^y = (\Omega_y^k), \mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{B}^k)$

Estimation of $\{\Omega_x^k\}$ done using JSEM. For the other part, we use the following two-step procedure:

1. Run neighborhood selection on y-network incorporating effects of x-data and an additional blockwise group penalty:

$$\min_{\mathcal{B},\Theta} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{n_k} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{K} \|\mathbf{Y}_i^k - \mathbf{Y}_{-i}^k \boldsymbol{\theta}_i^k - \mathbf{X}^k \mathbf{B}_i^k \|^2 + 2 \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}_y^{ij}} \lambda_{ij}^g \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij}^{[g]}\| \right] + 2 \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}_x \times \mathcal{G}_y \times \mathcal{H}} \eta^b \|\mathbf{B}^{[b]}\| \right\}$$
(1.4)

$$= \min \{ f(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}, \Theta) + P(\Theta) + Q(\mathcal{B}) \}$$
(1.5)

where
$$\Theta = {\Theta_i}, \mathcal{B} = {\mathbf{B}^k}, \mathcal{Y} = {\mathbf{Y}^k}, \mathcal{X} = {\mathbf{X}^k}, \mathcal{E} = {\mathbf{E}^k}.$$

This estimates \mathcal{B} (possibly refit and/or within-group threshold).

2. Step I part 2 and step II of JSEM (see 15-656 pg 6) follows to estimate $\{\Omega_{v}^{k}\}$.

The objective function is bi-convex, so we are going to do the following in step 1-

• Start with initial estimates of \mathcal{B} and Θ , say $\mathcal{B}^{(0)}, \Theta^{(0)}$.

• Iterate:

$$\Theta^{(t+1)} = \arg\min \left\{ f(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}^{(t)}, \Theta^{(t)}) + P(\Theta^{(t)}) \right\}$$
(1.6)

$$\mathcal{B}^{(t+1)} = \arg\min\left\{f(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}^{(t)}, \Theta^{(t+1)}) + Q(\mathcal{B}^{(t)})\right\}$$
(1.7)

• Continue till convergence.

2 Two-sample testing

Suppose there are two disease subtypes: k = 1, 2, and we are interested in testing whether the downstream effect of a predictor is X-data is same across both subtypes, i.e. if $\mathbf{b}_i^1 = \mathbf{b}_i^2$ for some $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$. For this we consider the modified optimization problem:

$$\min_{\mathcal{B},\Theta} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{q} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \|\mathbf{Y}_{j}^{k} - \mathbf{Y}_{-j}^{k} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{j}^{k} - \mathbf{X}^{k} \mathbf{b}_{j}^{k} \|^{2} + \sum_{j \neq j'} \lambda_{jj'} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{jj'}^{*}\| + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \eta_{i} \|\mathbf{B}_{i*}^{*}\| \right\}$$
(2.1)

$$= \min \{ f(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}, \Theta) + P(\Theta) + Q(\mathcal{B}) \}$$
(2.2)

with $n_1 = n_2 = n$ for simplicity; and $\mathbf{B}^k = (\mathbf{b}_1^k, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q^k), (\mathbf{B}_{i*}^*) \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times K}$

3 Conditions

Conditions A1, A2, A3 from JSEM paper.

4 Results

Define

$$\hat{\Theta}^{i} = \underset{\Theta_{i}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n_{k}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \|\mathbf{Y}_{i}^{k} - \mathbf{Y}_{-i}^{k} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}^{k} - \mathbf{X}^{k} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{i}^{k} \|^{2} + 2 \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{y}^{ij}} \lambda_{ij}^{g} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij}^{[g]} \| \right\}$$

$$(4.1)$$

Theorem 4.1. Assume fixed \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E} and deterministic $\hat{\mathcal{B}} = \{\mathbf{B}^k\}$. Also

$$(\mathbf{T1}) \|\hat{\mathbf{B}}_i^k - \mathbf{B}_i^k\| \le v_{\beta};$$

(T2) $\|\mathbf{X}^k(\hat{\mathbf{B}}_i^k - \mathbf{B}_i^k)\| \le c(v_\beta)$ for some non-negative function c(.);

Group uniform IC.

Then

- (I) Estimation consistency
- (II) Direction consistency

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Part I. Follows proof of thm 1 in 15-656. The proof has 3 parts: consistency of neighborhood regression, selection of edge sets, and finally the refitting step.

For any $g \in \mathcal{G}^{ij}, k \in g$, and $j \neq i$, let

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_i^k = \mathbf{Y}_i^k - \mathbf{Y}_{-i}^k \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,i}^k - \mathbf{X}^k \hat{\mathbf{B}}_i^k; \quad \hat{\zeta}_{ij}^k = \frac{(\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_i^k)^T \mathbf{Y}_j^k}{n}; \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_{ij}^{[g]} = (\hat{\zeta}_{ij}^k)_{k \in g}$$

Consider the random event $\mathcal{A} = \bigcap_{i,j\neq i,g} \mathcal{A}_{ij}^g$ with $\mathcal{A}_{ij}^g = \{2\|\hat{\zeta}_{ij}^{[g]}\| \leq \lambda_{ij}^g\}$.

Proposition 4.2. Given that λ_{ij}^g are chosen as

$$\lambda_{ij}^g \ge \max_{k \in g} \frac{2}{\sqrt{n\omega_{ii}^k}} \left(\sqrt{|g|} + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{q \log G_0} + \sqrt{c(v_\beta)} \right)$$

we shall have $\mathbb{P}(A) \geq 1 - 2pG_0^{1-q}$ for some q > 1.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. We follow the proof of Lemma E.2 in 15-656, with $\mathbf{Y}_{j}^{k}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{i}^{k}, \hat{\zeta}_{ij}^{k}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_{ij}^{[g]}$ in place of $\mathbf{X}_{j}^{k}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i}^{k}, \zeta_{ij}^{k}, \zeta_{ij}^{[g]}$ respectively. Proceeding in a similar fashion we get

$$\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_{ij}^{[g]}\|^2 = \frac{1}{n} (\|\mathbf{Z}^{[g]}\|^2 + 2\sum_{k \in g} Z^k (\mathbf{Q}_j^k)^T \boldsymbol{\delta}_i^k + \|(\mathbf{Q}_j^k)^T \boldsymbol{\delta}_i^k\|^2)$$

where $\mathbf{Z}^{[g]} = (Z^k)_{k \in g}; Z^k = (\mathbf{Q}_j^k)^T \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i^k$ with $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i^k := \mathbf{Y}_i^k - \mathbf{Y}_{-i}^k \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,i}^k - \mathbf{X}^k \mathbf{B}_{0,i}^k$, \mathbf{Q}_j^k is the first eigenvector of $\mathbf{Y}_j^k (\mathbf{Y}_j^k)^T / n$, and $\boldsymbol{\delta}_i^k := \mathbf{X}^k (\mathbf{B}_{0,i}^k - \hat{\mathbf{B}}_i^k)$. Applying Cauchy-schwarz inequality to right side and by assumption (T2),

$$\|\hat{\zeta}_{ij}^{[g]}\| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (\|\mathbf{Z}^{[g]} + \sqrt{c(v_{\beta})})$$

thus

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\mathcal{A}_{ij}^g\}^c) = \mathbb{P}\left(\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_{ij}^{[g]}\| > \frac{\lambda_{ij}^g}{2}\right) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\|\mathbf{Z}^{[g]}\| > \frac{\sqrt{n}\lambda_{ij}^g}{2} - \sqrt{c(v_\beta)}\right)$$

We now proceed through the proof of Lemma E.2 in 15-656 to end up with the choice of λ_{ij}^g .

All subsequent derivations in the theorem go through with the new choice of λ_{ij}^g .

Part II. Proof of Thm 2 in 15-656 follows. We only need a new bound for $Var(\mathbf{Y}_i^k|\mathbf{Y}_{-i}^k,\mathbf{X}^k,\hat{\mathbf{B}}_i^k)$. For this we have

$$Var(\mathbf{Y}_i^k|\mathbf{Y}_{-i}^k,\mathbf{X}^k,\hat{\mathbf{B}}_i^k) = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_i^k)^2 = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i^k + \boldsymbol{\delta}_i^k)^2 \le \left(\frac{1}{d_0} + \frac{c(v_\beta)}{n}\right)^2$$

applying cauchy-schwarz inequality followed by assumption (A2). Now Replace $1/\sqrt{nd_0}$ in choice of λ , α_n in Thm 2 statement with $1/\sqrt{n}(\sqrt{1/d_0} + \sqrt{c(v_\beta)/n})$.

Proposition 4.3. Given fixed $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$, prediction errors follow bound in T2 with high enough probability.

Now concentrate on the k-population estimation problem. We want to obtain

$$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}} = rg \min_{oldsymbol{eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{pqK}} \{ -2oldsymbol{eta} \hat{oldsymbol{\gamma}} + oldsymbol{eta}^T oldsymbol{\Gamma} oldsymbol{eta} + \|oldsymbol{eta}\|_{2,g} \}$$

with

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{B}^1) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{B}^K) \end{bmatrix}; \quad \boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} I_q \otimes (\mathbf{X}^1) T X^1/n) & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & I_q \otimes (\mathbf{X}^K)^T X^K/n) \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem 4.4.