

# Model-based Rank Aggregation (Normal)

Based on discussions with Tom Dietterich and Andrew Emmott

## Generative Process with Separate $\lambda$ for each detector

1. Draw  $\pi \sim \text{Beta}(\text{shape} = 0.05, \text{rate} = 100)$ . This is the proportion of anomalous points
2. Draw  $\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(\text{shape} = 1.1, \text{rate} = 1.0)$ . This is the score “bonus” for being anomalous
3. For each point  $x$ ,
  - a. Draw  $\theta_x \sim \text{Bern}(\pi)$
  - b. If  $\theta_x = 0$  then  $x$  is “normal” and  $\text{score}(x, i) \sim \text{Norm}(\lambda_r, \sigma^2)$
  - c. Else  $x$  is “anomalous”, and  $\text{score}(x, i) \sim \text{Norm}(\lambda_a, \sigma^2)$
4. Sort the  $\{x\}$  into descending order and assign ranks such that  $\text{rank}(x, i)$  is the position of  $x$  in the sorted list.

Assume there are  $D$  detectors.  $\mathbf{x}_i = \{x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iD}\}$  are the scores reported by detectors  $d_1, \dots, d_D$ . Let  $\lambda_r = \{\lambda_{r1}, \dots, \lambda_{rD}\}$  be the mean scores for regular instances and let  $\lambda_a = \{\lambda_{a1}, \dots, \lambda_{aD}\}$  be the means for anomaly scores. The likelihood of a score under the distribution for ‘regular’ scores is:

$$f_r(\mathbf{x}_i | \lambda_r, \sigma^2) \sim \text{Norm}(\lambda_r, \sigma^2) = \prod_{d=1}^D \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_{id}-\lambda_{rd})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad (1)$$

And the likelihood of a score under the distribution for ‘anomalous’ scores is:

$$f_a(\mathbf{x}_i | \lambda_a, \sigma^2) \sim \text{Norm}(\lambda_a, \sigma^2) = \prod_{d=1}^D \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_{id}-\lambda_{ad})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad (2)$$

The likelihood of each score assuming we know whether it is normal or anomalous is:

$$f(\mathbf{x}_i | \pi, \lambda_a, \lambda_r) = ((1 - \pi)f_r(\mathbf{x}_i | \lambda_r))^{1-\theta_i} (\pi f_a(\mathbf{x}_i | \lambda_a))^{\theta_i} \quad (3)$$

The priors on  $\pi, \lambda_a, \lambda_r$  are:

$$f_\pi(\pi) = \frac{\pi^{\alpha-1}(1-\pi)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)}, \quad f_{\lambda_a}(\lambda_{ad} | \alpha_a, \beta_a) = \frac{\beta_a^{\alpha_a}}{\Gamma(\alpha_a)} \lambda_{ad}^{\alpha_a-1} e^{-\beta_a \lambda_{ad}},$$

$$f_{\lambda_r}(\lambda_{rd} | \alpha_r, \beta_r) = \frac{\beta_r^{\alpha_r}}{\Gamma(\alpha_r)} \lambda_{rd}^{\alpha_r-1} e^{-\beta_r \lambda_{rd}}$$

Where we assume that  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha_a, \beta_a, \alpha_r$  and  $\beta_r$  are known constants;  $B(\alpha, \beta)$  is the Beta function and  $\Gamma(\alpha_a), \Gamma(\alpha_r)$  are Gamma functions.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}_i, \pi, \lambda_a, \lambda_r; \sigma^2) &= f(\mathbf{x}_i | \pi, \lambda_a, \lambda_r; \sigma^2) f_{\lambda_a}(\lambda_a) f_{\lambda_r}(\lambda_r) f_\pi(\pi) \\ &= \left( \pi \prod_{d=1}^D f_a(x_{id} | \lambda_{ad}) \right)^{\theta_i} \left( (1 - \pi) \prod_{d=1}^D f_r(x_{id} | \lambda_{rd}) \right)^{1-\theta_i} \prod_{d=1}^D \left\{ \frac{\beta_a^{\alpha_a}}{\Gamma(\alpha_a)} \lambda_{ad}^{\alpha_a-1} e^{-\beta_a \lambda_{ad}} \frac{\beta_r^{\alpha_r}}{\Gamma(\alpha_r)} \lambda_{rd}^{\alpha_r-1} e^{-\beta_r \lambda_{rd}} \right\} \frac{\pi^{\alpha-1}(1-\pi)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The complete-data likelihood of all scores across all detectors is (where  $n$  is the number of instances):

$$L(\mathbf{x}; \pi, \lambda_{da}, \lambda_{dr}, \sigma^2) = [\{\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{d=1}^D f(x_{id} | \pi, \lambda_{ad})\} \{\prod_{d=1}^D f_{\lambda_a}(\lambda_{ad}) f_{\lambda_r}(\lambda_{rd})\}] f_\pi(\pi) \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ \left( \pi \prod_{d=1}^D f_a(x_{id}|\lambda_{ad}) \right)^{\theta_i} \left( (1 - \pi) \prod_{d=1}^D f_r(x_{id}|\lambda_{rd}) \right)^{1-\theta_i} \right\} \right] \prod_{d=1}^D \left\{ \frac{\beta_a^{\alpha_a}}{\Gamma(\alpha_a)} \lambda_{ad}^{\alpha_a-1} e^{-\beta_a \lambda_{ad}} \frac{\beta_r^{\alpha_r}}{\Gamma(\alpha_r)} \lambda_{rd}^{\alpha_r-1} e^{-\beta_r \lambda_{rd}} \right\} \frac{\pi^{\alpha-1} (1-\pi)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \\
&\quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

Instead of assigning the hard class labels  $((1 - \theta_i), \theta_i)$  which will be hard to infer, we will use soft-assignments (i.e., responsibilities) denoted by  $z_{ai}$  and  $z_{ri}$  which refer to the probability of assigning  $i$ -th score of  $d$ -th detector to ‘anomaly’ and ‘normal’ classes respectively;  $z_{ai} + z_{ri} = 1$ . We rewrite  $L(\mathbf{x}_i; \pi, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ \left( \pi \prod_{d=1}^D f_a(x_{id}|\lambda_{ad}) \right)^{z_{ai}} \left( (1 - \pi) \prod_{d=1}^D f_r(x_{id}|\lambda_{rd}) \right)^{z_{ri}} \right\} \right] \left[ \prod_{d=1}^D \left\{ \prod_{k \in \{a, r\}} \frac{\beta_k^{\alpha_k}}{\Gamma(\alpha_k)} \lambda_{kd}^{\alpha_k-1} e^{-\beta_k \lambda_{kd}} \right\} \right] \frac{\pi^{\alpha-1} (1-\pi)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \\
&\quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

The log-likelihood is:

$$\begin{aligned}
l(\mathbf{x}; \pi, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_a, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_r, \sigma^2) &= \log(L(\mathbf{x}; \pi, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_a, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_r, \sigma^2)) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ai} \left\{ \log(\pi) + \sum_{d=1}^D \log(f_a(x_{id}|\lambda_{ad})) \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ri} \left\{ \log(1 - \pi) + \sum_{d=1}^D \log(f_r(x_{id}|\lambda_{rd})) \right\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{k \in \{a, r\}} \left\{ (\alpha_k - 1) \log(\lambda_{kd}) - \beta_k \lambda_{kd} + \log\left(\frac{\beta_k^{\alpha_k}}{\Gamma(\alpha_k)}\right) \right\} + (\alpha - 1) \log(\pi) + (\beta - 1) \log(1 - \pi) \\
&\quad - \log(B(\alpha, \beta)) \\
&\quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

After substituting  $f_a(x_{id}|\lambda_{ad})$  and  $f_r(x_{id}|\lambda_{rd})$  in the above equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
l(\mathbf{x}; \pi, \lambda_{ad}, \lambda_{rd}, \sigma^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ai} \left\{ \log(\pi) + \sum_{d=1}^D \left\{ -\frac{(x_{id} - \lambda_{ad})^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) \right\} \right\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ri} \left\{ \log(1 - \pi) + \sum_{d=1}^D \left\{ -\frac{(x_{id} - \lambda_{rd})^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) \right\} \right\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{k \in \{a, r\}} \left\{ (\alpha_k - 1) \log(\lambda_{kd}) - \beta_k \lambda_{kd} + \log\left(\frac{\beta_k^{\alpha_k}}{\Gamma(\alpha_k)}\right) \right\} + (\alpha - 1) \log(\pi) \\
&\quad + (\beta - 1) \log(1 - \pi) - \log(B(\alpha, \beta)) \\
&\quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

**M-Step:** Derive the MLE of parameters by differentiation.

MLE for  $\pi$ :

$$\frac{\partial l(\mathbf{x}; \pi, \lambda_{ad}, \lambda_{rd}, \sigma^2)}{\partial \pi} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ai} - \frac{1}{1 - \pi} \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ri} + \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{\pi} - \frac{(\beta - 1)}{1 - \pi} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Rightarrow (1 - \pi) \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ai} - \pi \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ri} + (1 - \pi)(\alpha - 1) - \pi(\beta - 1) = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ai} + (\alpha - 1) = \pi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ai} + \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ri} + (\alpha - 1) + (\beta - 1) \right) \\
&\Rightarrow \hat{\pi} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n z_{ai} + (\alpha - 1)}{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ai} + \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ri} + (\alpha - 1) + (\beta - 1) \right)} \\
&\Rightarrow \hat{\pi} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n z_{ai} + (\alpha - 1)}{(n + (\alpha - 1) + (\beta - 1))}
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The above follows from the observation that:  $z_{ai} + z_{ri} = 1$ .

MLE for  $\lambda_{kd}$  for  $k \in \{a, r\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial l(\mathbf{x}; \pi, \lambda_{ad}, \lambda_{rd}, \sigma^2)}{\partial \lambda_{kd}} = \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ki} \left\{ \frac{(x_{id} - \lambda_{kd})}{\sigma^2} \right\} + \frac{(\alpha_k - 1)}{\lambda_{kd}} - \beta_k = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ki} \lambda_{kd} (x_{id} - \lambda_{kd}) + (\alpha_k - 1) \sigma^2 - \beta_k \sigma^2 \lambda_{kd} = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ki} \lambda_{kd}^2 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ki} x_{id} - \beta_k \sigma^2 \right) \lambda_{kd} - (\alpha_k - 1) \sigma^2 = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_{kd} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n z_{ki} x_{id} - \beta_k \sigma^2 \pm \sqrt{(\sum_{i=1}^n z_{ki} x_{id} - \beta_k \sigma^2)^2 + 4(\sum_{i=1}^n z_{ki})(\alpha_k - 1)\sigma^2}}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ki}}
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

MLE for  $\sigma^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial l(\mathbf{x}; \pi, \lambda_{ad}, \lambda_{rd}, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \in \{a, r\}} z_{ki} \sum_{d=1}^D \left\{ \frac{(x_{id} - \lambda_{kd})^2}{2(\sigma^2)^2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \right\} = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \in \{a, r\}} z_{ki} \sum_{d=1}^D ((x_{id} - \lambda_{kd})^2 - \sigma^2) = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \in \{a, r\}} z_{ki} \sum_{d=1}^D (x_{id} - \lambda_{kd})^2 = \sigma^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \in \{a, r\}} D z_{ki} \right) \\
&\Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \in \{a, r\}} z_{ki} \sum_{d=1}^D (x_{id} - \lambda_{kd})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n D \sum_{k \in \{a, r\}} z_{ki}} = \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \in \{a, r\}} z_{ki} \sum_{d=1}^D (x_{id} - \lambda_{kd})^2}{nD} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The above follows from the observation that:  $z_{ai} + z_{ri} = 1$ .

**E-Step:** Compute:

$$E[z_{ai} = 1 | \mathbf{x}] = P(z_{ai} = 1) = \frac{\pi \prod_{d=1}^D f_a(x_{id} | \lambda_{ad}, \sigma^2)}{\pi \prod_{d=1}^D f_a(x_{id} | \lambda_{ad}, \sigma^2) + (1 - \pi) \prod_{d=1}^D f_r(x_{id} | \lambda_{rd}, \sigma^2)} \tag{13}$$

and,

$$E[z_{ri} = 1|\mathbf{x}] = P(z_{ri} = 1) = \frac{(1-\pi) \prod_{d=1}^D f_r(x_{id}|\lambda_{rd}, \sigma^2)}{\pi \prod_{d=1}^D f_a(x_{id}|\lambda_{ad}, \sigma^2) + (1-\pi) \prod_{d=1}^D f_r(x_{id}|\lambda_{rd}, \sigma^2)} \quad ( 14 )$$